





# Time-Lock Puzzles In the Random Oracle Model

Mohammad Mahmoody, Tal Moran, Salil Vadhan

### **Time-Lock Puzzles**

- Sending an encrypted message to the future
  - shouldn't be revealed before some future date
  - no safe storage for secrets
- Encode key as a "time-lock" puzzle
  - Bounds for computation time to solve puzzle
    - e.g., can be solved in 25 years on reasonable computer
    - Requires at least 20 on today's fastest computer
  - Puzzle generation is fast



Also useful for: fair contract signing, sealed-bid auctions, coin flipping and more [RSW96,BN00,...]



# Naïve Puzzle



- Invert a one-way function
  - Give some of the input to reduce search space
  - (Assume brute-force is the only attack)

 $y=f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{100}), x_1, x_2, ..., x_{50}$ 

- Attackers might have many more computers!
  - e.g., Botnets, "cloud" servers.
  - Shouldn't gain a large advantage over legitimate solver (with one computer)



Want a puzzle that is inherently sequential

## Known Solution [RSW96]

• Exponentiation (modulo N)

 $f(x)=2^{2^{x}} \mod N$ 

- Fastest known method is repeated squaring
  - takes Ω(x) time
- Can solve puzzle quickly if  $\varphi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)$  is known
  - compute  $x'=2^x \mod \varphi(N)$
  - compute 2<sup>x'</sup> mod N

Takes time O(log(x)+log(N))

- Requires RSA assumption
  - what about quantum botnets?
  - Can we use other assumptions?



# The Random Oracle Model

- Answer to each query is uniformly random (independently of other queries)
- The same query always gets the same answer
- Complexity: count # of queries
- Random Oracle is one-way even for computationally unbounded players
  - Impossibility results in RO rule out black-box constructions in standard model
- Heuristic for converting RO protocols to standard model
  - Replace RO with cryptographic hash (e.g. SHA256)
  - Not provably secure, but is used in practice



\$#@%: Yes

# **Our Results: Overview**

- Main Result:
  - Time-lock puzzles that require n queries to generate can be solved in n parallel steps.
  - Rules out black-box constructions from one-way/hash functions
- Positive result:
  - Simple Time-lock puzzle satisfying
    - n *parallel* queries to construct
    - n sequential queries required to solve





(total # queries polynomial in honest solver)

Generator with n parallel CPUs n times faster than solver

#### Main Result

Based on ideas from attacks on key-exchange protocols in the random oracle model [IR89,BM09]



### Main Result

- High-level Sketch:
  - Construct adversary that finds *intersection queries*



# Main Result

From generator's point of view, "real" answers are identical to "fake" on unqueried indices

- High-level Sketch:
  - Construct adversary that finds *intersection queries*

- Run honest solver with simulated oracle
  - Answer known queries correctly, others random
- Success prob. identical to honest solver-
- Main hurdle: find intersections with *low adaptivity*

### **Finding Intersection Queries**

(efficient adversary with non-optimal adaptivity)

- For all ε, adversary uses <u>η/ε rounds</u> of queries
  - Queries in each round can be done in parallel
- In each round:
  - Simulate honest solver
  - Answer known queries correctly, others randomly
  - Ask all queries to real oracle in parallel after every round

# queries

used by

generator

Adversary's

error prob.

• Output results of randomly chosen round



#### Finding Intersection Queries: Analysis

- Success probability: 1-ε
  - If simulation in output round did not hit any new intersection queries: simulated output is identically distributed to honest output (success probability is 1)
  - Generator asks at most n queries
    - Adv. asks a *new* intersection query in at most n rounds
  - Random round hits all intersection queries with prob.  $1-\epsilon$
- Query complexity: nm/ε
- Computational complexity:
  - polynomial in honest solver complexity

# queries for honest solver

### **Positive Construction**

- Time-lock puzzle encodes "pointer chain"
  - Generator queries in parallel
  - Solver must serially follow pointers



# **Discussion and Open Questions**

- Optimally Adaptive (but inefficient) adversary
  - Uses n rather than  $n/\epsilon$  adaptive rounds
  - Based on new learning algorithm for intersection queries.
- Corollary:
  - "Merkle puzzles" can be solved in linear parallel time
- Our negative result does not rule out "proofs of work"
  - In a proof-of-work, puzzle generator can verify solution quickly but not solve.
  - Positive solutions exist (work in progress)



- Still open:
  - Other time-lock puzzles in standard model?
  - Time-lock puzzles for quantum computers?
    - Related to [BHKKLS11] (coming soon to a lecture hall near you!)

