Cryptographic Applications of Capacity Theory

Ted Chinburg, Brett Hemenway, Nadia Heninger, and

Zachary Scherr

Asiacrypt, Dec. 8, 2016

A theorem of Coppersmith

Theorem (Coppersmith)

Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is monic of degree d and $N \in \mathbb{Z}$. There is an polynomial time algorithm in $\log N$ and d for finding all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which

$$f(m) \equiv 0 \mod N \quad \text{and} \quad |m| < N^{1/d}.$$

Main Question: Can one increase $N^{1/d}$ in this theorem to $N^{(1/d)+\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$? What if N = pq for two distinct but unknown primes p and q?

Answer: One can't use Coppersmith's method. We prove the required auxiliary functions do not exist.

What sort of auxiliary functions did Coppersmith use?

He used LLL to find a non-zero polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ of the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{i,j} x^{j} \left(\frac{f(x)}{N}\right)^{j} \quad \text{with} \quad a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (1)

such that

$$|h(z)| < 1$$
 for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|z| < N^{1/d}$. (2)

Why h(x) is useful:

Suppose $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f(m) \equiv 0 \mod N$ and $|m| < N^{1/d}$. Then:

$$h(m) \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 from (1) and $|h(m)| < 1$ from (2).

So h(m) = 0, and one can then find all roots m of h(x) quickly.

Our main theorem

You can't improve on Coppersmith's bounds for univariate polynomials using auxiliary polynomials the way he does.

Theorem

Let $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be monic of degree d. Suppose $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\epsilon > 0$. There is no non-zero auxiliary polynomial of the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{i,j} a_{i,j} x^i \left(\frac{f(x)}{N}\right)^j$$
 with $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$

so that |h(z)| < 1 for all complex z satisfying $|z| \le N^{1/d+\epsilon}$.

The main tool: Capacity Theory

Let ${\it E}$ be a compact subset of ${\mathbb C}$ closed under complex conjugation. Let

$$F_n = \{ p(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x], \deg p(x) \le n, \sup_{z \in E} |p(z)| < 1 \}$$

Then

$$F_n \subseteq \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} x \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R} x^n = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

is a convex symmetric subset.

Definition (Sectional capacity of E)

$$\log \gamma(E) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-2 \log \text{Vol}(F_n)}{n^2}$$

Fekete Szegő Theorems

[Fekete 1923, Szegő 1955]

Theorem

Let E be a compact subset of $\mathbb C$ closed under complex conjugation.

- If $\gamma(E) < 1$, there is a non-zero polynomial $h(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that |h(z)| < 1 for all $z \in E$.
- If $\gamma(E) > 1$, no such h(z) exists.

Application

Theorem

- If $\gamma(E)$ < 1, then there are finitely many irreducible monic polynomials with integer coefficients with all roots in E.
- If $\gamma(E) > 1$, then for every open neighborhood U of E, there are infinitely many irreducible monic polynomials with integer coefficients with all roots lying in U.

Sketch of the first half of the Fekete-Szegö Theorem

Suppose $\gamma(E)$ < 1. Let

$$L_n = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} x \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z} x^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$$

Minkowski's theorem There will be a non-zero h(x) in $F_n \cap L_n$ once $Vol(F_n) > 2^{n+1} Vol(\mathbb{R}^n/L_n) = 2^{n+1}$.

Computation of volume growth as $n \to \infty$:

$$\log \operatorname{Vol}(F_n) \approx (-n^2/2) \log \gamma(E)$$

If $\gamma(E) < 1$ then $-\log \gamma(E) > 0$ so for large n:

$$\log \operatorname{Vol}(F_n) \approx (n^2/2)(-\log \gamma(E)) > (n+1)\log 2$$

So $Vol(F_n) > 2^{n+1}$ for large n and Minkowski's theorem applies.

Linking capacity theory and Coppersmith's method

• In the Fekete-Szegö theorem, one starts with a compact $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ compact, stable under complex conjugation. One then asks:

When does there exist a non-zero $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] - \{0\}$ so that |h(z)| < 1 if $z \in E$?

• For Coppersmith's theorem, we are looking for a non-zero auxiliary polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ of the form

$$h(x) = \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^{i} \left(\frac{f(x)}{N} \right)^{J}, \qquad a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (3)

satisfying |h(z)| < 1 for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with |z| < T when $T = N^{1/d}$.

This looks a lot like the capacity theory we were talking about, except h(x) might not be in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

But we know (3) implies that if z and f(z)/N are algebraic integers then h(z) is an algebraic integer.

New Problem

When is there a non-zero $h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ so that

- 1. |h(z)| < 1 if $z \in E \subset \mathbb{C}$
- 2. h(z) is an algebraic integer for all algebraic integers z satisfying $f(z) \equiv 0 \mod N$ in the ring of all algebraic integers.

Cantor and Rumely's enhanced capacity theory

Suppose E_p is a subset of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ for each prime p, and that E_∞ is a subset of \mathbb{C} . If these satisfy the appropriate hypotheses, one can define a capacity

$$\gamma(\mathbb{E}) = \gamma_{\infty}(\mathsf{E}_{\infty}) \cdot \prod_{\rho} \gamma_{\rho}(\mathsf{E}_{\rho})$$

associated to $\mathbb{E} = \prod_{p} \textit{E}_{p} \times \textit{E}_{\infty}$ for which the following is true:

Theorem (Cantor)

• If $\gamma(\mathbb{E}) < 1$ then there exists a nonzero polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ satisfying

$$|h(z)|_{p}\leq 1 \ \forall p \ \mathrm{and} \ z\in E_{p} \quad and \quad |h(z)|_{\infty}<1 \ \mathrm{for} \ z\in E_{\infty}.$$

• If $\gamma(\mathbb{E}) > 1$ then no such polynomial exists.

Now we let:

$$E_p = f^{-1}\left(\left\{z \mid |z|_p \le |N|_p\right\}\right)$$
 and $E_{\infty} = \left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \le T\right\}$

With these choices, a polynomial $h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ has the above properties if and only if:

- 1. For all algebraic integers z for which $f(z) \equiv 0 \mod N$ in the ring of algebraic integers, h(z) is an algebraic integer.
- 2. For all complex z with $|z| \le T$ one has |h(z)| < 1.

One now computes, using Rumely and Cantor's formulas, that

$$\gamma(\mathbb{E}) = TN^{-1/d}$$
.

Then $\gamma(\mathbb{E})$ < 1 is equivalent to

$$T < N^{1/d}$$

and this is why Coppersmith's method cannot be improved!

Lattices of binomial polynomials

Definition (Binomial polynomial)

$$b_i(x) = {x \choose i} = x \cdot (x-1) \dots (x-i+1)/i!$$

 $b_i(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem (Polya)

 $h(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $h(z) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{Z} \iff h(x)$ is a integer combination of binomial polynomials $b_i(x)$.

Coppersmith asked if one could improve the theorem using binomial polynomials:

$$h(x) = \sum_{i,j>0} a_{i,j}b_i(x)b_j(\frac{f(x)}{N})$$

These no longer have the property that h(z) is an algebraic integer whenever both z and f(z)/N are.

Binomial polynomials don't help

Theorem

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and M a positive integer, $319 \le M \le 1.48774N^{\epsilon}$. If there is a nonzero polynomial

$$h(x) = \sum_{0 \le i,j \le M} a_{i,j} b_i(x) b_j(\frac{f(x)}{N})$$

with $a_{i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|h(z)| < 1 \text{ for } z \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| \le N^{1/d + \epsilon}\}$$

then N must have a prime factor less than M.

Moral: If N does not already have a very small prime factor, any auxiliary polynomial h(x) constructed from binomial polynomials would have to be of too large a degree to be useful for an algorithm that runs in polynomial time in $\ln(N)$.

Summary

Cryptographic applications of capacity theory: On the optimality of Coppersmith's method for univariate polynomials Ted Chinburg, Brett Hemenway, Nadia Heninger, and Zachary Scherr http://arxiv.org/abs/1605.08065

- If you want to improve univariate Coppersmith theorem, you will need to use a new method.
- New links between capacity theory and cryptography.

Current and Future Work

- The same approach shows you can't improve the exponent 1/4 in Coppersmith's proof that if N = pq and the larger of the primes p and q is known to within $N^{1/4}$ then one can find p and q quickly.
- Bivariate polynomials.
- · Solving equations modulo divisors.
- Multivariate polynomials.