

# B-SIDH: supersingular isogeny Diffie-Hellman using twisted torsion

Craig Costello

Microsoft Research, USA  
craigco@microsoft.com

**Abstract.** This paper explores a new way of instantiating isogeny-based cryptography in which parties can work in both the  $(p + 1)$ -torsion of a set of supersingular curves and in the  $(p - 1)$ -torsion corresponding to the set of their quadratic twists. Although the isomorphism between a given supersingular curve and its quadratic twist is not defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  in general, restricting operations to the  $x$ -lines of both sets of twists allows all arithmetic to be carried out over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  as usual. Furthermore, since supersingular twists always have the same  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational  $j$ -invariant, the SIDH protocol remains unchanged when Alice and Bob are free to work in both sets of twists.

This framework lifts the restrictions on the shapes of the underlying prime fields originally imposed by Jao and De Feo, and allows a range of new options for instantiating isogeny-based public key cryptography. These include alternatives that exploit Mersenne and Montgomery-friendly primes, as well as the possibility of halving the size of the primes in the Jao-De Feo construction at no known loss of asymptotic security. For a given target security level, the resulting public keys are smaller than the public keys of all of the key encapsulation schemes currently under consideration in the NIST post-quantum standardisation effort.

The best known attacks against the instantiations proposed in this paper are the classical path finding algorithm due to Delfs and Galbraith and its quantum adaptation due to Biasse, Jao and Sankar; these run in respective time  $O(p^{1/2})$  and  $O(p^{1/4})$ , and are essentially memory-free. The upshot is that removing the big- $O$ 's and obtaining concrete security estimates is a matter of costing the circuits needed to implement the corresponding isogeny. In contrast to other post-quantum proposals, this makes the security analysis of B-SIDH rather straightforward.

Searches for friendly parameters are used to find several primes that range from 237 to 256 bits, which all offer a conjectured security comparable to the 434-bit prime used to target NIST level 1 security in the SIKE proposal. One noteworthy example is a 247-bit prime for which Alice's secret isogeny is 7901-smooth and Bob's secret isogeny is 7621-smooth.

**Keywords:** Post-quantum cryptography, supersingular isogenies, SIDH, SIKE, quadratic twists.

## 1 Introduction

The best known attacks against Jao and De Feo’s SIDH protocol [23] try to recover either Alice’s secret  $2^m$ -isogeny  $\phi_A: E_0 \rightarrow E_A$ , or Bob’s secret  $3^n$ -isogeny  $\phi_B: E_0 \rightarrow E_B$ , and both of these problems are instances of the *supersingular isogeny problem*: given a finite field  $K$  and two supersingular elliptic curves  $E, E'$  defined over  $K$  such that  $\#E = \#E'$ , compute an isogeny  $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$ . For the cases of interest where  $K = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and  $p$  is a large prime, the best known classical algorithm for solving the supersingular isogeny problem is the Delfs-Galbraith algorithm [14], which requires  $O(p^{1/2})$  isogeny operations to find a collision (of walks from  $E$  and  $E'$ ) in the graph of size  $O(p)$ . However, the special isogenies computed in SIDH above give rise to appreciably easier instances of the supersingular isogeny problem; they are of a fixed, known degree close to  $p^{1/2}$ , and this allows for a classical meet-in-the-middle attack that, asymptotically, requires only  $O(p^{1/4})$  isogeny operations [23, §5]. Roughly speaking, the difference between the difficulty of the isogeny problems that arise in SIDH and that of the general supersingular isogeny problem is due to the fact that Alice and Bob only take about half as many steps as the diameters of each of their graphs. In other words, the number of possible destination nodes for the secret walks of Alice and Bob is close to the square root of the total number of nodes in the graph.

Jao and De Feo chose primes of the form  $p = 2^m 3^n - 1$  and half-length walks so that Alice and Bob can both compute their isogenies using arithmetic in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ ; they represent each isomorphism class by a supersingular elliptic curve  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  with group order  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) = (p + 1)^2 = (2^m 3^n)^2$ , which facilitates a full  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational  $2^m$ -torsion and full  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational  $3^n$ -torsion. When all of the subgroups of order  $2^m$  and  $3^n$  are  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational, so are the corresponding isogeny computations.

A first observation that sets the scene for this work is that in general there are two choices of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational elliptic curve groups corresponding to every node in the supersingular isogeny graph: those whose group orders are  $(p + 1)^2$ , and those whose group orders are  $(p - 1)^2$ . Although curves from these two sets are not isomorphic (or even isogenous!) to one another over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , they do become isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ , and therefore share the same  $j$ -invariant in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  [38, Proposition III.1.4]. Indeed, for any curve whose group order is  $(p + 1)^2$ , its *quadratic twist* over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  has group order  $(p - 1)^2$ .

The main point of this paper is to exploit the fact that the SIDH protocol does not have to restrict to working in one of the two sets of quadratic twists: it can stay in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  while working in *both* the  $(p + 1)$ -torsion *and* the  $(p - 1)$ -torsion. Moreover, Alice and Bob can work in the torsion corresponding to opposite sets of quadratic twists with no change to the protocol. Optimised Montgomery arithmetic [30] in the SIDH setting only needs the  $x$ -coordinates of points [23] and the  $A$  coefficient of the curve [11], and as such is entirely *twist-agnostic*; in other words, the twisting morphism (which only alters  $y$ -coordinates and the  $B$  coefficient) leaves  $x$ -coordinates and  $A$  coefficients unchanged, so the lifting to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$  described above becomes a mere theoretical technicality that is not visible in cryptographic implementations – see Section 3.

The price to pay for working with both twists is that at least one of Alice or Bob must now perform walks comprised of steps in multiple  $\ell$ -isogeny graphs, i.e. switching between multiple values of  $\ell$ . This changes the underlying hardness assumption for one or both parties, but (as is discussed in Section 4) there is no known reason to believe that switching between many  $\ell$ 's makes the resulting SIDH problems any easier, so long as the number of destination nodes remain roughly the same size as in the Jao-De Feo instantiation.

Allowing torsion from both sets of twists unlocks a number of new options and trade-offs for isogeny-based public key cryptography; many examples are given in Section 5 to illustrate these possibilities. At a high level, these options fall into two categories: the first is where Alice gets to compute significantly faster  $2^m$ -isogenies (than in existing SIDH/SIKE implementations) at the expense of a heavy slowdown on Bob's side; the second, and perhaps the more interesting, is the possibility of halving the sizes of the underlying fields at no known loss of asymptotic security. Furthermore, this possibility gives rise to the number of secret walks (i.e. possible destination nodes) for both Alice and Bob being very close to the total number of nodes in the graph.

Concrete instantiations of smaller primes are put forward in Section 5. For example, B-SIDHp247 uses a 247-bit prime to achieve roughly the same conjectured security as the 434-bit SIKE prime to target NIST's security category 1 [22]. The public keys for B-SIDHp247 are 186 bytes, which are a little over half the size of the 330-byte uncompressed public keys of SIKEp434, and are still smaller than the 196-byte keys that are obtained in SIKEp434 when compression is enabled.

## 1.1 Naming

The instantiation proposed in this paper is dubbed B-SIDH<sup>1</sup> in order to distinguish it from the original Jao-De Feo SIDH instantiation, and to avoid muddying the waters in the case that future cryptanalysis weakens any variants described herein. Although switching between multiple  $\ell$ -isogeny graphs during a secret isogeny computation does not decrease security in any known way, it may turn out that using torsion with many prime factors is a bad idea, or that decreasing  $p$  relative to the degrees of the secret isogenies is a bad idea. Of course, it may also turn out that the one (or both) of the converse statements is true, but in any case it should be emphasised that the instantiations proposed in this paper rely on *different security assumptions* than SIDH and SIKE – see Section 4.

## 1.2 Performance vs. SIDH

There are no performance claims made in this paper, except in the scenarios where Alice's performance will clearly be improved (over her performance in the

---

<sup>1</sup>Pronounced “B-side”, in reference to the analogy between the set of supersingular curves of cardinality  $(p - 1)^2$  and the less popular, sometimes forgotten ‘flip-side’ of a record.

SIDH/SIKE setting at a comparable security level) thanks to a faster underlying prime, but where it should be reiterated that Bob will almost always suffer a colossal slowdown. The main takeaway of this paper is that the primes and the public keys in the optimal scenarios of Section 5 are significantly smaller than the SIDH/SIKE counterparts. Moreover, these public keys will remain smaller even when compression techniques [2,10,45,31] are applied to the SIDH and SIKE public keys. If the ECC+SIDH/SIKE hybrid is used as in [11], these gaps will widen further.

In order to make the performance of the proposed approach competitive with that of SIDH/SIKE, the main research obstacles that arise are (i) finding faster methods of computing  $\ell$ -isogenies for the sizes of  $\ell$  that arise in Section 5, and (ii) finding primes  $p$  for which both  $p + 1$  and  $p - 1$  have large enough factors that are as smooth as possible.

The first preprint of this paper left both (i) and (ii) as open avenues for future work, but in the time that has passed since that version went online, progress has been made in both directions. In regards to (i), a leap forward was recently made by Bernstein, De Feo, Leroux and Smith [4]: for  $P$  a point of prime order  $\ell$  in  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ , they give an algorithm for evaluating the quotient isogeny  $\phi$  with  $\ker(\phi) = \langle P \rangle$  at a point  $Q \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  using only  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\ell})$  operations in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . This is a huge improvement over the conventional algorithms for isogeny computations that all computed Vélu’s formulas [43] using  $\tilde{O}(\ell)$  operations in  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The authors of [4] note that their algorithm implies an asymptotic speedup for B-SIDH as the security level increases, and give several software implementations that illustrate the (rather large) performance improvements that can be expected for the sizes of isogenies needed in this paper. They note, however, that their implementations are not constant-time, and that “it is too early to guess what the final performance of constant-time B-SIDH will be on top of our  $\ell$ -isogeny algorithm” [4, §A.4].

Regarding (ii), this version of the paper puts forward much better parameters than those in the prior version(s); this is a result of improved search techniques and more compute time – see Section 5.

### 1.3 Related work

A few days after a preprint of this paper went online, Matsuo sent us his non-peer-reviewed Japanese article [28] from March 2019 that had previously proposed the idea of working in both quadratic twists simultaneously. However, his execution of the idea is very different from that in this paper. In particular, Matsuo did not lift the restriction of Alice and Bob computing their respective  $2^m$  and  $3^n$  isogenies, and his search for primes  $p$  such that  $2^m \mid p + 1$  and  $3^n \mid p - 1$  (or vice versa) forces huge cofactors which produces primes that are, for the most part, either the same size or are larger than their original SIDH counterparts. A crucial difference in this work is allowing at least one of the two parties to compute secret isogenies whose composite degrees have many prime factors, which gives way to a range of new possibilities.

Comments on an earlier version of this paper revealed that De Feo should be credited as the first to mention the idea of exploiting quadratic twists in the realm of SIDH/SIKE. In his habilitation thesis (dated December 2018), De Feo writes [15, p. 50]: “*One particular trick in CSIDH that is completely absent in SIDH is using the quadratic twist to perform part of the computations. I have thought of this for a while, and I see no fundamental reason why it should not work for SIDH, if it was not for the fact that finding suitable parameters seems computationally unfeasible. My favorite example is  $p = 17$ , so  $p^2 - 1 = 2^5 3^2$ ; if it were possible to find large primes with similar properties, the gain would be spectacular*”.

Section 3 not only confirms De Feo’s intuition that there is no obstruction to the use of quadratic twists, it shows that quadratic twists can be used out-of-the-box inside the twist-agnostic SIDH framework. The purpose of Section 5 is to start paving the way towards the types of large primes De Feo envisioned, and while it remains to be seen whether the practical gains can be *spectacular*, the work he recently coauthored [4] will almost certainly play a part of any gains that are afforded by the instantiations explored herein.

## 2 Twist-agnostic SIDH

The parameter that governs the security of Jao and De Feo’s supersingular isogeny Diffie-Hellman (SIDH) protocol is the large prime  $p$ . As soon as  $p$  is chosen, a set of roughly  $\lfloor p/12 \rfloor$  elements is defined: these are the entire set of supersingular  $j$ -invariants over  $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ , and they are the nodes on the graphs that Alice and Bob walk on during the protocol. Alice and Bob share this set of nodes, but their graphs have different edges that depend on the degrees of their secret isogenies. Following [23], for any prime  $\ell \nmid p$ , there are  $\ell + 1$  isogenies (counting multiplicities, and up to isomorphism) of degree  $\ell$  that emanate from a given supersingular isomorphism class. Moreover, Pizer [33,34] showed that this gives rise to a connected  $(\ell + 1)$ -regular multigraph that satisfies the Ramanujan property and thus has optimal expansion properties.

### 2.1 Rational $(p + 1)$ -torsion

The prime  $p$  also governs the efficiency of SIDH, where Alice and Bob both compute isogenies whose degrees are of the form  $\ell^e$ . In theory, Alice and Bob could choose any value of  $\ell$  they like (so long as their individual choices of  $\ell$  are coprime), but it is more efficient if the  $\ell^e$ -torsion is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . Observing that the smallest primes  $\ell$  give rise to the most efficient  $\ell^e$ -isogenies, Jao and De Feo construct the prime  $p$  to guarantee this rationality condition by setting  $p = f \cdot 2^m 3^n - 1$  (allowing for a small *cofactor*  $f$ ), and representing nodes in the graph by elliptic curves  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  with

$$E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}. \tag{1}$$

For any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r \mid p + 1$ , the entire  $r$ -torsion  $E[r] \cong \mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_r$  is then contained in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ . With  $p$  chosen as above, it follows that the full  $2^m$ -torsion  $E[2^m] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ , and the full  $3^n$ -torsion  $E[3^n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}$ , are both  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational. Since every (separable) isogeny  $\phi: E \rightarrow E'$  of degree  $d$  is in one-to-one correspondence with a kernel subgroup of order  $d$  [38, Proposition III.4.12], and each such isogeny is computed using rational functions of the input curve and the given kernel subgroup [43], it follows that if both of these inputs are  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational, then so is the isogeny computation.

## 2.2 SIDH

With  $p = f \cdot 2^m 3^n - 1$  as above, the SIDH protocol specifies the following public parameters: a starting supersingular curve  $E_0/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , a basis  $\{P_A, Q_A\}$  for  $E[2^m] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$ , and a basis  $\{P_B, Q_B\}$  for  $E[3^n] \cong \mathbb{Z}_{3^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}$ . To generate her public key, Alice chooses two secret integers  $(\alpha_A, \beta_A) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2^m} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^m}$  such that her secret point  $S_A = [\alpha_A]P_A + [\beta_A]Q_A$  is of order  $2^m$ . She then composes  $m$  2-isogenies to give her secret  $2^m$ -isogeny  $\phi_A: E_0 \rightarrow E_A$ , where  $E_A = E_0/\langle S_A \rangle$ . Along the way, she moves the basis points  $P_B$  and  $Q_B$  through the isogeny computation, eventually obtaining their images under  $\phi_A$ . Her public key is then  $\text{PK}_A = (E_A, \phi_A(P_B), \phi_A(Q_B))$ . On Bob's side, he chooses  $(\alpha_B, \beta_B) \in \mathbb{Z}_{3^n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{3^n}$ , computes his secret point  $S_B = [\alpha_B]P_B + [\beta_B]Q_B$ , and then uses it to compute his secret  $3^n$ -isogeny  $\phi_B: E_0 \rightarrow E_B$  (via  $n$  consecutive 3-isogenies), such that  $E_B = E_0/\langle S_B \rangle$ . His public key is  $\text{PK}_B = (E_B, \phi_B(P_A), \phi_B(Q_A))$ .

Upon receiving  $\text{PK}_B$ , Alice uses her secret integers to compute a new secret point  $S'_A = [\alpha_A]\phi_B(P_A) + [\beta_A]\phi_B(Q_A)$  of order  $2^m$  on  $E_B$ , and then uses it to compute the  $2^m$ -isogeny  $\phi'_A: E_B \rightarrow E_B/\langle S'_A \rangle$ . Bob uses his secret integers and  $\text{PK}_A$  to compute the point  $S'_B = [\alpha_B]\phi_A(P_B) + [\beta_B]\phi_A(Q_B)$  of order  $3^n$  on  $E_A$ , and then uses it to compute the  $3^n$ -isogeny  $\phi'_B: E_A \rightarrow E_A/\langle S'_B \rangle$ . Both parties then compute the same shared secret as the  $j$ -invariant of their respective image curves  $E_B/\langle S'_A \rangle$  and  $E_A/\langle S'_B \rangle$ , since  $E_B/\langle S'_A \rangle \cong E_A/\langle S'_B \rangle$  [23].

## 2.3 Twist-agnostic isogenies

Jao and De Feo exploited the fact that all of the arithmetic in the above computations can be performed on the Kummer line of the associated curves, i.e. in  $E/\{\pm 1\}$  rather than  $E$ , and furthermore that this arithmetic is particularly efficient if the curves are in Montgomery form [30]

$$E_{(A,B)}: By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x.$$

Henceforth,  $E_{(A,B)}$  or  $E$  will be used instead of  $E_{(A,B)}/\{\pm 1\}$  or  $E/\{\pm 1\}$  for simplicity, and unless explicitly stated,  $y$ -coordinates will be ignored (using ‘—’). Furthermore, the  $B$  coefficients of Montgomery curves can also be ignored in the SIDH framework [11]; they are merely used to specify which quadratic twist we are working on and are not needed in optimised explicit formulas. In other words,

optimised explicit formulas for Montgomery arithmetic ignore  $B$  and  $y$  and work irrespective of quadratic twist.

Isogenies of composite degree  $L = \prod_{i=1}^k \ell_i^{e_i}$  can be computed as the composition of  $e_1$  isogenies of degree  $\ell_1$ , followed by  $e_2$  isogenies of degree  $\ell_2$ , and so on. Conventional isogeny algorithms evaluate prime degree  $\ell$ -isogenies in  $\tilde{O}(\ell)$  field operations [43,9], whereas the recent Bernstein-De Feo-Leroux-Smith [4] algorithm computes the same result using only  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\ell})$  field operations; both of these algorithms are already optimised within the twist-agnostic Montgomery framework above. Generally speaking, it follows that for a given target security level (i.e. for a given size of  $L$  – see Section 4), the most efficient  $L$ -isogenies will correspond to the smoothest values of  $L$ .

### 3 Using torsion from the quadratic twists

Let  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  be an elliptic curve, let  $t_n$  be the trace of the  $p^n$ -power Frobenius endomorphism, and recall that (i)  $E$  is supersingular if and only if  $t_n$  is a multiple of  $p$  [38, Exercise V.5.10(a)], and that (ii)  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^n}) = p^n + 1 - t_n$  with  $|t_n| \leq 2\sqrt{p^n}$  [38, Theorem V.1.1]. When  $n = 1$ , there is only one possible value of  $t_1$  that is a multiple of  $p$  such that  $|t_1| \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ , i.e.  $t_1 = 0$ , and thus it follows that  $E/\mathbb{F}_p$  is supersingular if and only if  $\#E(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$ . In other words, there is only one possible group order for supersingular elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

The first observation that sets the scene for this work is that there are multiple possibilities for  $t_2$  that correspond to  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  being supersingular: taking  $t_2 \in \{-2p, -p, 0, p, 2p\}$  satisfies (i) and (ii). Of particular interest in the present context are the two possibilities  $t_2 = -2p$  and  $t_2 = 2p$ . All known instantiations of SIDH and SIKE fall into the former case by default. They define a starting supersingular curve  $E_0/\mathbb{F}_p$  and lift to work in  $E_0(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ ; since  $E_0(\mathbb{F}_p) \mid E_0(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$  and  $\#E_0(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$ , it must be that  $\#E_0(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) = p^2 + 1 + 2p = (p + 1)^2$  and hence that  $t_2 = -2p$ .

Upon starting on a curve with  $t_2 = -2p$ , a choice has seemingly been made among the possibilities for  $t_2$ ; two elliptic curves are  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -isogenous if and only if they have the same group order over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  [41, Theorem 1(c)], so computing  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -rational isogeny walks means walking on curves with the same number of points as  $E_0/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . However, any curve with  $t_2 = -2p$  corresponds to the *quadratic twist* of a curve with  $t_2 = 2p$ , meaning that they not only become isogenous over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ , they become isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ . Moreover, as we saw in §2.3, optimised isogeny arithmetic works correctly independently of the quadratic twist, so the explicit formulas that are used on the curves with  $t_2 = -2p$  can also be used to work on the curves with  $t_2 = 2p$ .

It is crucial to note that even though two quadratic twists are not isomorphic over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , they will still have the same  $j$ -invariant in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  [38, Proposition 1.4(b)]. Put another way, every node in the supersingular isogeny graph can actually be represented by two different  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -isomorphism classes: those with  $t_2 = -2p$  and the same group structure as  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  in (1), or those with  $t_2 = 2p$  and with group

structure

$$E^t(\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}.$$

Every such supersingular curve with group structure  $\mathbb{Z}_{p-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$  is the *quadratic twist* of a supersingular curve with group structure  $\mathbb{Z}_{p+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p+1}$ , and vice versa. Moreover, in the same way that any factor  $r$  of  $p+1$  gave rise to a full rational  $r$ -torsion in  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ , any factor  $s$  of  $p-1$  gives rise to a full rational  $s$ -torsion in  $E^t(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ .

For Alice and Bob to freely work with points coming from the  $(p+1)$ -torsion and the  $(p-1)$ -torsion, it appears that the entire protocol must be lifted to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ . While this is technically true, the lifting will ultimately not be visible in an optimised implementation<sup>2</sup>.

The point and isogeny formulas ignore the  $y$ -coordinates of points and the  $B$  coefficients of Montgomery curves, and this is where all the twisting arithmetic happens. The upshot is that while the protocol will be lifted to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ , where  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^4}) \cong E^t(\mathbb{F}_{p^4}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^2-1}$ , Alice and Bob are still in a position to work entirely in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  as usual. They can then choose a secret kernel point whose order divides  $p+1$ , or whose order divides  $p-1$ , or (more generally) whose order divides the product  $p^2-1$ .

To make this concrete, let  $B$  be a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , let  $\gamma$  be a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , take  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4} = \mathbb{F}_{p^2}(\delta)$  with  $\delta^2 = \gamma$ , and write

$$E_{A,B}: By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x \quad \text{and} \quad E_{A,\gamma B}^t: \gamma By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$$

as models<sup>3</sup> for  $E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and  $E^t/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . The map

$$\sigma: E_{A,\gamma B}(\mathbb{F}_{p^4}) \rightarrow E_{A,B}(\mathbb{F}_{p^4}), \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, \delta y) \quad (2)$$

is a group isomorphism that leaves  $x$ -coordinates unchanged.

Write  $f(x) = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$ . For any  $u \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , either (i)  $f(u)$  is a square in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ , in which case  $(u, \sqrt{f(u)/B})$  is a point in  $E_{A,B}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ , (ii)  $f(u)$  is a non-square in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^*$ , in which case  $f(u)/(\gamma B)$  is a square, and  $(u, \sqrt{f(u)/(\gamma B)})$  is a point in  $E_{A,\gamma B}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ , or (iii)  $f(u) = 0$ , in which case  $(u, 0)$  is one of the three 2-torsion points (on both  $E_{A,B}$  and  $E_{A,\gamma B}$ ).

Let  $P_1 = (u_1, -)$  be a point corresponding to case (i), let  $P_2 = (u_2, -)$  be a point corresponding to case (ii), and suppose  $\phi_1: E_{A,B} \rightarrow E_{A,B}/\langle P_1 \rangle$  and  $\phi_2: E_{A,\gamma B} \rightarrow E_{A,\gamma B}/\langle P_2 \rangle$ . It does not make sense to evaluate  $\phi_1$  at  $P_2$  or  $\phi_2$  at  $P_1$  (these points do not even lie on  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -isogenous curves, let alone the same curve), but this is fixed by lifting to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$  and precomposing with the twisting morphisms. Setting  $\phi'_1 = (\phi_1 \circ \sigma)$  and  $\phi'_2 = (\phi_2 \circ \sigma^{-1})$  gives the isogenies  $\phi'_1: E_{A,\gamma B} \rightarrow E_{A,\gamma B}/\langle \sigma(P_2) \rangle$  and  $\phi'_2: E_{A,B} \rightarrow E_{A,B}/\langle \sigma^{-1}(P_1) \rangle$ , which are well-defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ .

<sup>2</sup>This is reminiscent of Bernstein's twist-agnostic Curve25519 construction. He also uses a quadratic extension field in the specification of the Curve25519 function [3, Theorem 2.1], but this extension is a technicality that is not seen in the implementation.

<sup>3</sup>The idea works analogously for more general (i.e. short Weierstrass) elliptic curves, but all of the instantiations discussed in this paper allow for Montgomery form.



The key observation from (2) is that  $\sigma: (x, -) \mapsto (x, -)$  and  $\sigma^{-1}: (x, -) \mapsto (x, -)$  induce the identity map when working on the corresponding Kummer lines, so the twisting morphisms can simply be ignored in the implementation. Thus, Alice can take her secret points from the  $(p + 1)$ -torsion of  $E_{A,B}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$  and Bob can take his secret points from the  $(p - 1)$ -torsion of  $E_{A,\gamma B}$ , and the implementation of the SIDH protocol can otherwise remain unchanged.

### 3.1 B-SIDH in a nutshell

Henceforth, for a given prime  $p$ ,  $M$  and  $N$  will be used to denote the two coprime degrees of Alice and Bob’s secret isogenies (e.g. in the traditional setup with  $p = 2^m 3^n - 1$  described above, we have  $M = 2^m$  and  $N = 3^n$ ). Alice’s degree  $M$  will always be defined such that  $M \mid p + 1$ , and Bob’s will be  $N$  such that  $N \mid p - 1$ .

Since  $M$  and  $N$  must be coprime, the even one will always be chosen according to whichever of  $p + 1$  and  $p - 1$  is the multiple of 4; otherwise, the remaining factors of  $p + 1$  and  $p - 1$  are necessarily coprime. The efficacy of the construction in this paper is closely tied to the *smoothness* of  $M$  and  $N$  (see §2.3), so obtaining B-SIDH-friendly parameters boils down to searching for primes  $p$  such that  $p + 1$  and  $p - 1$  both contain factors that are large enough to reach a target security level, but smooth enough to be efficiently computable.

### 3.2 Handling large $\ell$ -degree isogenies

The sizes of  $\ell$  that are encountered in this paper are significantly larger than those in previous works, so it is important to look for ways that such isogenies can be sped up in practice. As mentioned in Section 1, Bernstein, De Feo, Leroux and Smith [4] recently gave a drastic improvement for the computation of large prime-degree isogenies:  $\ell$ -isogenies now require only  $\tilde{O}(\sqrt{\ell})$  field operations, rather than  $\tilde{O}(\ell)$  field operations. The two possibilities below were written in an earlier version of this paper that predates [4], but nevertheless are still worth mentioning, since it is currently unclear how a constant-time variant of [4] performs in practice, i.e., exactly how large  $\ell$  would need to be for such a variant to reign supreme over prior methods or over the more obvious optimisations below. Moreover, either or both of these techniques could be used in conjunction with the algorithm in [4] to give even faster B-SIDH isogenies in practice.

**Parallelisation.** Let  $P$  be a point of order  $\ell = 2d + 1$ . The algorithm in [9] requires the first  $d$  multiples  $\{[i]P\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$  of the input point, which is what makes  $\ell$ -isogeny computations become rather expensive for large  $\ell$ . However, this process parallelises almost perfectly: for  $t$  processors,  $\lceil t/2 \rceil$  steps of the Montgomery ladder are used to compute  $[i]P$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . The  $i$ -th processor can then compute  $[i + jt]P$  as the differential sum of  $[i + (j - 1)t]P$ ,  $[t]P$ , and  $[i + (j - 2)t]P$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \lceil d/t \rceil$ . After the initial phase that assigns the three values to each processor, no communication is required between the processors until the end, where

the subproducts (which were independently accumulated in the same manner as [9, §5]) can all be collected and multiplied together. In the case of computing image points, then one final squaring and one final multiplication are used to finish the routine [9, Theorem 1]; in the case of computing image curves, then  $\log(\ell)$  final multiplications and squarings are required [29]. Note that this parallelisation can be exploited across any of the prime degree isogenies that are large enough to make it worthwhile.

**Precomputation.** Assume Bob is tasked with large prime degree isogenies and he is the one generating ephemeral public keys. The runtime of his public key generation procedure can be improved if storage permits a significant offline precomputation. For example, if his largest prime-degree isogeny is an  $\ell$ -isogeny, he could precompute all of the  $\ell + 1$  possible image curve/point triples (see §2.2), and at runtime he could simply select the triple corresponding to his secret key.

## 4 Security analysis

There are two main changes to the usual computational isogeny problems underlying SIDH and SIKE [16, Problems 5.1–5.4] that are implicit in this paper. The first is that the isogeny walks now use multiple values of  $\ell$ ; the vertex set of a given graph stays fixed, but the edges now change between successive steps. The second is that the walks are no longer *half-length* (i.e. around half the bitlength of  $p$ ); lowering the size of the primes relative to the length of the walks means that other avenues of attack become relevant with respect to the usual meet-in-the-middle attacks<sup>4</sup>. This section studies the implications of these changes with respect to known attacks from the literature.

### 4.1 Multiple edge sets.

Based on current knowledge, there is no reason to believe that a walk consisting of many different prime degree isogenies makes the underlying problem appreciably easier than that of a walk in a fixed  $\ell$ -isogeny graph, provided the number of possible destination nodes is around the same size. When computing  $L$ -isogenies with  $L = \prod \ell_i^{e_i}$ , the number of cyclic subgroups of order  $L$  inside any given group  $E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$  is  $\prod (\ell_i + 1)\ell_i^{e_i - 1}$ , and so long as this is around the same size as

---

<sup>4</sup>Comments on an earlier version of this paper illustrated some confusion over whether or not torsion point attacks [32] become relevant in this setting. Note that these attacks only become relevant when either (i) Alice and Bob’s isogeny degrees are extremely unbalanced, e.g. when one is greater than the square of the other, or (ii) when a secret isogeny degree is *much* larger than the size of the prime  $p$ . It is important to stress that neither (i) or (ii) is proposed in this paper, and moreover, that it is unclear how one could possibly achieve (i) or (ii) while working in the proposed framework. The secret isogeny degrees  $M$  and  $N$  must both be coprime and their product must divide  $p^2 - 1$ , so their being balanced (i.e.  $M \approx N$ ) immediately rules out one of them being much larger than  $p$ .

$(\ell+1)\ell^{e-1}$ , the difficulty of recovering an  $L$ -isogeny appears to be no easier than that of recovering an  $\ell^e$ -isogeny. The generalisation of the problems underlying SIDH to isogenies of multiple degrees has already been considered in prior works (e.g. [32], [19, §2.3], and [7]), where the same conclusion was drawn (or the same assumption was made).

## 4.2 Security of non-commutative vs. commutative schemes

There are currently two main umbrellas of isogeny-based public-key cryptography under public scrutiny: those like SIDH [23] and SIKE [22] where the curves involved have non-commutative endomorphism rings, and those like CRS [13,36] and CSIDH [6] where the associated endomorphism rings are commutative. It is important to note that, while there are similarities between the instantiations herein and CSIDH (like the use of many different prime isogeny degrees in the same secret computation), this paper falls entirely under the non-commutative umbrella. This means B-SIDH inherits two security virtues from SIDH: the first is that it is seemingly immune to Kuperberg’s algorithm [25], meaning that the best known quantum algorithms are exponential (see §4.4); the second is that it lends itself to regular algorithms and therefore more simple constant-time implementations. On the other hand, it inherits the same drawback as SIDH of being susceptible to active attacks [18], so requires the same transformations that were used in the SIKE proposal – see [22].

## 4.3 Classical cryptanalysis.

When  $L = \prod \ell_i^{e_i} \approx p^{1/2}$ , as in the original SIDH proposal, the *meet-in-the-middle* or *claw-finding* algorithms [16, §5.3] stand alone as the best known attacks against SIDH and SIKE. However, the most interesting instantiations proposed in this paper have  $L \gg p^{1/2}$ , and as  $L$  tends towards  $p$ , algorithms other than the meet-in-the-middle attacks become relevant. In what follows it will be assumed that  $L \approx p$ , since this is the extreme case where the alternative attack avenues are most relevant. The underlying problem is to find the isogeny  $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  of degree  $L$ , where  $E_1/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and  $E_2/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  are supersingular.

**Claw-finding algorithms.** Let  $L_1 \approx L_2 \approx p^{1/2}$  with  $L_1 L_2 = L$ . The claw-finding algorithm cited by Jao and De Feo [23, §5.2] uses  $O(L_1)$  time to compute a table of all of the curves  $L_1$ -isogenous to  $E_1$ , and stores them using  $O(L_1)$  memory. It then proceeds by trying one  $L_2$ -isogeny at a time, this time emanating from  $E_2$ , until a match is found in the table and the problem is solved; this stage requires  $O(L_2)$  time and essentially no memory. It follows that the claw-finding algorithm runs in  $O(p^{1/2})$  time and requires  $O(p^{1/2})$  memory.

Adj, Cervantes-Vázquez, Chi-Domínguez, Menezes and Rodríguez-Henríquez [1] argued that the van Oorschot-Wiener (vOW) parallel collision finding algorithm [42] has a lower overall cost for finding  $\phi$ , and thus should be used to assess the security of SIDH and SIKE. Their implementation confirmed that the

original vOW runtime analysis [42] is sharp in the context of finding the isogeny  $\phi$ . If  $w$  is the number of entries that can be stored in the table above,  $m$  is the number of processors running in parallel, and  $t$  is the time taken to compute  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  isogenies, then the vOW algorithm finds  $\phi$  in expected runtime  $T = \frac{2.5}{m} \cdot \left(\frac{p^{3/4}}{w^{1/2}}\right) \cdot t$ . Adj et al. conclude that  $w > 2^{80}$  is infeasible, so conduct their analysis by setting  $w = 2^{80}$ . With this choice of  $w$ , it helps to point out that for  $p = 2^{160}$ , the runtime of vOW (on one processor) is  $T = 2.5 \cdot t \cdot p^{1/2}$ ; thus, when  $p \gg 2^{160}$ , the vOW runtime is  $T \gg p^{1/2}$ .

**Random walk algorithms for any path.** There are two styles of applicable random walk algorithms that can be used to solve the general supersingular isogeny problem: both Pollard rho [35] and Delfs-Galbraith [14] find *some* path between  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . The former finds an isogeny between  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  by taking two pseudo-random walks in the graph of size  $O(p)$ ; the number of steps required until these two walks collide is  $O(p^{1/2})$  by the birthday paradox. The latter algorithm, which is preferred in practice (see [14, §4] or [5]), uses two self-avoiding random walks to find paths from each curve to two subfield curves,  $\tilde{E}_1/\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\tilde{E}_2/\mathbb{F}_p$ , and then connects these two subfield curves. Since there are  $O(p^{1/2})$  subfield curves in the graph of size  $O(p)$ , the first step requires  $O(p^{1/2})$  steps, and since connecting the two subfield curves requires  $O(p^{1/4})$  steps [14], the entire algorithm takes  $O(p^{1/2})$  steps to find an isogeny connecting  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ . Like vOW, the Delfs-Galbraith algorithm parallelises perfectly, but unlike vOW, it does not have large storage requirements.

Both of these algorithms are likely to terminate with a path that is not the secret path corresponding to  $\phi$ . However, since  $E_1$  is typically a special curve with a known endomorphism ring  $\text{End}(E_1)$ , it is prudent to assume that this can be used to modify the path into the correct one via the techniques discussed at length in [18, §4].

#### 4.4 Quantum cryptanalysis.

The best known quantum algorithm for solving SIDH and SIKE instances is, asymptotically, Tani's algorithm [40]. Roughly speaking, as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , Tani's algorithm solves the claw-finding problem for secret isogenies of degree  $O(p^{1/2})$  in time  $O(p^{1/6})$  on a quantum computer. Translating to the setting of isogenies of degree  $L \approx p$ , this would give an  $O(p^{1/3})$  quantum claw-finding algorithm; note that recent work of Jaques and Schanck [24] shows that (even under the assumption of a large amount of quantum resources) the concrete complexity of Tani's algorithm is much closer to the classical claw-finding complexity. Nevertheless, when  $L \approx p$ , Tani's algorithm is no longer the superior algorithm for solving the corresponding isogeny problem. In [5], Biasse, Jao and Sankar give a quantum algorithm for the general supersingular isogeny problem (in characteristic  $p$ ) that runs in time  $O(p^{1/4})$ . Their algorithm is essentially the Delfs-Galbraith algorithm (from above) ported to the quantum setting; they use Grover's algorithm [20] to get a quadratic speedup from  $O(p^{1/2})$  to  $O(p^{1/4})$  on the phase that

finds the two supersingular subfield curves  $\tilde{E}_1/\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\tilde{E}_2/\mathbb{F}_p$ , and then develop a subexponential algorithm (based on the Childs-Jao-Soukharev subexponential algorithm [8] for the ordinary case) to connect the subfield path. The memory requirements of this algorithm are small; Biasse, Jao and Sankar define a set of  $N$  isogenies of degree  $3^\lambda$ , where  $\lambda \in O(\log(p))$  is chosen large enough so that this set contains a walk that passes through a subfield curve with probability  $1/2$ . As long as there are enough (i.e.  $O(\log(p))$ ) qubits to encode such a path, then this algorithm succeeds with probability  $1/4$  [5, Proposition 2].

As in the classical algorithms, since  $\text{End}(E_1)$  is typically known, the path obtained by the above process can presumably be modified into the path corresponding to  $\phi$  at no additional asymptotic cost.

#### 4.5 Security summary.

When  $\phi: E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is an isogeny between two supersingular curves  $E_1/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  and  $E_2/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$  of degree  $L = \prod_{i=1}^k \ell_i^{e_i} \approx p$ , the best known classical algorithm for finding  $\phi$  is the Delfs-Galbraith algorithm [14]; it runs in  $O(p^{1/2})$  time and (unlike claw-finding or vOW) does not have large storage requirements. Applying Grover's speedup to the Delfs-Galbraith algorithm also gives the best known quantum algorithm [5]; it requires  $O(\log(p))$  qubits, run in time  $O(p^{1/4})$ , and does not have large storage requirements. In the classical case, Delfs-Galbraith parallelises perfectly, where as Grover's algorithm is well-known to give a  $\sqrt{m}$  speedup when parallelised across  $m$  quantum processors [44].

## 5 Searching for friendly instances

This section presents a variety of example primes for which the approach in this paper becomes interesting in practice. Recall from §2.3 and §3.1 that the most interesting primes are those where  $M \mid p+1$  and  $N \mid p-1$  are both large enough to reach a requisite security level and are as smooth as possible.

At a high level, the methods of searching for these primes fall into three categories:

- **Fast, pre-existing primes.** These are primes that are already popular in the classical ECC literature, e.g. Mersenne and Riddingood primes: here a large power of 2 typically divides  $p+1$ , which is an upshot of  $p$  being cherry-picked to support fast finite field arithmetic. In the present context, it also means that Alice can compute  $2^m$ -isogenies as usual, meaning that she obtains a speedup over typical SIDH/SIKE isogenies due solely to the faster underlying arithmetic. On the other hand, the scarcity of these primes means that  $p-1$  is unlikely to be smooth, so Bob's isogenies tend to be a lot worse than the  $3^n$ -isogenies he computes in SIDH/SIKE. Examples of these primes are given in §5.1.

- **Extended Euclidean algorithm.** The first method of searching for new primes involves taking  $a$  and  $b$  coprime, e.g.  $a = 2^u$  and  $b = 3^v$ , using the extended Euclidean algorithm to find integers  $s$  and  $t$  such that  $st < 0$  and  $as + bt = 1$ , and then sieving over integer values of  $k$  until the (unique) integer lying between  $|2a(s - kb)|$  and  $|2b(s + ka)|$  is prime. Alice and Bob can then take  $M = a \cdot |s - kb|$  and  $N = b \cdot |s + ka|$  and have a large part (i.e., around half in the balanced case) of their isogeny product being a small prime power. Examples found with this technique are in §5.2.
- **Primes of the form  $p = 2x^n - 1$ .** The second method of searching for friendly instances involves fixing  $n$  as a very small integer (e.g.  $n = 6$ ), and searching over  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  until  $p = 2x^n - 1$  is prime. Restricting  $x$  to be  $B$ -smooth guarantees that  $p + 1$  is  $B$ -smooth, and the factorisation of  $p - 1 = 2(x^n - 1)$  for certain values of  $n$  increases the likelihood that  $p - 1$  is also smooth. This method is arguably the most successful in terms of giving both Alice and Bob fast isogenies, and it is detailed in §5.3.

The most interesting examples from §5.2 and §5.3 are collected and compared in §5.4.

### 5.1 Fast primes: accelerating Alice, burdening Bob

Many fast primes are of the form

$$p = 2^m \cdot c - 1, \tag{3}$$

which allow Alice to compute  $2^m$ -isogenies just like she would in SIDH. However, unlike the primes in SIDH where  $c = 3^n \approx 2^m$ , the values of  $c$  that are of interest here are when  $c$  is either chosen to facilitate faster field arithmetic in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , is much smaller than  $2^m$  so that  $p$  is smaller than usual, or both. Here Alice’s computations will benefit from the faster field arithmetic, but Bob’s computations become significantly slower due to his isogenies no longer being  $3^n$ -isogenies, but rather  $(\prod \ell_i^{e_i})$ -isogenies. Depending on the efficacy of the methods in §3.2, in almost all such cases the factor slowdown incurred on Bob’s side will be much worse than the factor speedup enjoyed by Alice, meaning that the runtime of one protocol instance will be significantly slower in general. However, there are real-world scenarios where such a trade-off would be welcomed. One such scenario is in TLS, where servers are oftentimes performing orders of magnitude more runs of the protocol than an individual client is; here slowdowns on the client side could be tolerated (or even unnoticed) to afford a speedup to the server. An example of the opposite scenario, i.e. when the priority becomes the client’s performance, is in the arena of lightweight cryptography (e.g. IoT); here it is often the case that resource-constrained devices are communicating with a relatively unconstrained sever.

**Mersenne primes.** Putting  $c = 1$  into (3) yields Mersenne primes, for which only  $m \in \{127, 521\}$  are of interest in this paper. With  $m = 521$ , write the

factorisation  $p-1 = 2^{521} - 2 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11 \cdot \dots \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot q_3 \cdot \dots$ , where  $q_1 = 7623851$  (23 bits),  $q_2 = 34110701$  (26 bits) and  $q_3 = 2400573761$  (32 bits). Alice can use  $2^e$ -isogenies for any  $e \leq m$ , and can subsequently scale her security up and down over the same field (e.g. to match the security of any of the SIKE instances). On Bob's side, he can compute  $L$ -isogenies for any  $L \mid p-1$ , e.g. with  $L = \prod_{\ell_i \leq q_n} \ell_i^{e_i}$ , he can take  $n = 1$  to match SIKEp434,  $n = 2$  to match SIKEp503, and  $n = 3$  to match SIKEp610. Taking  $m = 127$  is too small to offer any reasonable security in the elliptic curve setting, however combining the security analyses in [17, §4.1] and [12] reveals that B-SIDH construction in the genus-2 setting could achieve good post-quantum security over this smaller Mersenne prime. The factorisation  $p-1 = 2^{127} - 2 = 2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 43 \cdot 73 \cdot 127 \cdot 337 \cdot 5419 \cdot 92737 \cdot 649657 \cdot 77158673929$  shows that the product of all odd primes up to 649657 (20 bits) could build a genus-2 isogeny that is large enough to obtain 128 bits of classical security and 64 bits of quantum security.

**The Ridinghoods.** Putting  $c = 2^m - 1$  into (3) yields *Ridinghood* primes, which offer fast Karatsuba-style arithmetic in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ ; the most famous of these has  $c = 2^{224}$  and underlies Hamburg's Goldilocks curve [21]. Here Alice can meet the security offered by SIKEp434 by computing  $2^{224}$ -isogenies. If Bob is to compute  $L$ -isogenies with  $L \mid p-1$ , he would need to compute a prime isogeny whose degree is 78 bits in length. However, allowing Bob to work on both sides (by including factors of  $c$ ) shows that he can meet the same requisite security when  $L$ 's largest prime factor is only 24 bits. Of the other Ridinghoods with  $m \in \{161, 208, 224, 225, 240, 354\}$ , the most striking example is with  $m = 225$ ; here the largest prime-degree isogeny needed for Bob to match the security of SIKEp434 is  $\ell = 2^{16} + 1$ . Note that both of these examples are subject to the caveat in discussed in the paragraph below.

*Bob on both sides.* In the Ridinghood scenarios above, Bob is better off computing isogenies of order  $N = N_1 N_2$ , where  $N \nmid p-1$  but where  $N_1 \mid p+1$  and  $N_2 \mid p-1$ . In this case, general points in  $E_{A,B}[N]$  no longer have their  $x$ -coordinate in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , but rather in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$ , and performing arithmetic in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^4}$  would hamper the efficiency of the isogeny algorithms significantly. One way to approach this scenario is to instead have Bob use two bases  $\langle P_1, Q_1 \rangle = E_{A,B}[N_1]$  and  $\langle P_2, Q_2 \rangle = E_{A,\gamma B}[N_2]$ , which can both be defined such that all four  $x$ -coordinates are in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . His secret keys are then of the form  $(s_1, s_2) \in [0, N_1) \times [0, N_2)$ , which generate the secret kernels  $S_1 = P_1 + [s_1]Q_1$  and  $S_2 = P_2 + [s_2]Q_2$ . Bob can compute  $\phi_1: E_0 \rightarrow E_0/\langle S_1 \rangle$  and then  $\phi_2: E_0/\langle S_1 \rangle \rightarrow (E_0/\langle S_1 \rangle)/\langle \phi_1(S_2) \rangle$ , which corresponds to the secret isogeny  $\phi_B = (\phi_2 \circ \phi_1)$ ; his public key is then  $(E_B, P'_A, Q'_A) = (\phi_B(E_0), \phi_B(P_A), \phi_B(Q_A))$ , which is the same size as usual. On the other side, Alice's public keys must include the images of all four of Bob's basis points under her secret isogeny, so they become between 1.6x and 1.7x larger (if a static-ephemeral version of Diffie-Hellman à la SIKE [22] is used, then the setup would likely be arranged to make the static key the larger key). Computing these extra image points also incurs some additional overhead, but





with

$$M = 3^{115} \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 31^2 \cdot 157 \cdot 241 \quad \text{and}$$

$$N = 2^{188} \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 73 \cdot 193,$$

which are such that  $2^{213} < M < 2^{214} < N < 2^{215}$ . With these sizes, the security of the resulting instantiation is comparable to SIKEp434, but with a prime that fits into six 64-bit words, rather than seven. Alice and Bob pay the price of having to do a handful of slightly larger isogenies, but on the other hand *all* of their arithmetic now takes place over a smaller field.

*Example 2.* Restricting  $a$  and  $b$  to be powers of primes restricts the number of inputs to the process. The following example was found by instead letting  $a$  and  $b$  vary over  $2^5$ -smooth numbers. The coprime numbers  $a = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{16} \cdot 17^9 \cdot 31^8$  and  $b = 11^{18} \cdot 19 \cdot 23^{13}$  yield the 253-bit prime

$p = 0x1935BECE108DC6COAAD0712181BB1A414E6A8AAA6B510FC29826190FE7EDA80F$

with

$$M = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 7^{16} \cdot 17^9 \cdot 31^8 \cdot 311 \cdot 571 \cdot 1321 \cdot 5119 \cdot 6011 \cdot 14207 \cdot 28477 \cdot 76667 \quad \text{and}$$

$$N = 11^{18} \cdot 19 \cdot 23^{13} \cdot 47 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 151 \cdot 3347 \cdot 17449 \cdot 33461 \cdot 51193,$$

which are such that  $M > 2^{224}$  and  $N > 2^{213}$ .

*Example 3.* Increasing the smoothness bound on  $a$  and  $b$  to  $2^7$  found the 255-bit prime

$p = 0x76042798BBFB78AEBD02490BD2635DEC131ABFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF$

with

$$M = 2^{110} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 67 \cdot 223 \cdot 4229 \cdot 9787 \cdot 13399 \cdot 21521 \cdot 32257 \cdot 47353 \quad \text{and}$$

$$N = 3^{34} \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 53^2 \cdot 97 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 131 \cdot 137 \cdot 197 \cdot 199$$

$$\cdot 227 \cdot 251 \cdot 5519 \cdot 9091 \cdot 33997 \cdot 38201,$$

which are such that  $M > 2^{215}$  and  $N > 2^{212}$ .

*Example 4.* Unbalancing the inputs  $a$  and  $b$  to the extended Euclidean algorithm can produce the sorts of unbalanced B-SIDH instantiations that are geared towards the scenarios mentioned at the beginning of §5.1. On input of  $a = 2^{216}$  and  $b = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 29$ , the process finds the 255-bit Montgomery-friendly prime

$p := 0x6E052A4E15FF$

Here Alice can take  $M = 2^{217}$  and Bob can take

$$N = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 67 \cdot 431 \cdot 467 \cdot 607 \cdot 1579 \cdot 24169 \cdot 68947$$

$$\cdot 345229 \cdot 12676847 \cdot 38334727 \cdot 41110859 \cdot 51040879,$$

which is greater than  $2^{216}$ . In this case Alice can expect a large speedup over her analogous isogeny computations in SIDH/SIKE: she can still compute her  $2^{216}$  isogenies exactly as before, but now she is performing arithmetic over a 255-bit prime (instead of the 434-bit prime). Moreover, her public keys are already smaller than the comparable compressed public keys in SIKEp434, i.e., she need not incur the additional compression overhead, which is significant in SIKE [22]. If the above prime was used in the SIKE scenario with the long-term static secret being an  $N$ -isogeny, the estimated speedup on the encapsulator side lies somewhere between a factor 2.5 and a factor 3.5.

### 5.3 Primes of the form $p = 2x^n - 1$

This subsection focusses on the second method to find primes that are particularly suited to the B-SIDH construction. In terms of a balanced smoothness for both Alice and Bob, it has found the most promising examples to date.

An earlier version of this paper aimed to find primes  $p$  such that  $p - 1$  and  $p + 1$  are minimally smooth by way of Störmer’s theorem [39] (see also [26]). For a given smoothness bound  $B$ , Störmer’s theorem says that there are a finite number of integers,  $x$ , such that  $x - 1$  and  $x + 1$  are  $B$ -smooth; moreover, it gives a way to find this set in its entirety. If there are  $t$  primes up to  $B$ , then finding this set of integers amounts to solving all Pell equations of the form  $x^2 - Dy^2 = 1$ , where  $D$  is both squarefree and  $B$ -smooth; there are clearly  $2^t$  such  $D$ , and therefore  $2^t$  Pell equations to be solved [26]. Unfortunately, the sizes of  $B$  for which this task is feasible did not produce any values of  $x$  that offer meaningful security (at least, not in the case where the primes are chosen to underly *elliptic* curves). For example, with  $B = 47$ , the largest  $x$  such that  $x - 1$  and  $x + 1$  are  $B$ -smooth is (the 42-bit integer)  $x = 2218993446251$ . With  $B = 113$ , the largest such  $x$  is  $x = 38632316754147847668001$  (76 bits), and the largest prime such  $x$  is  $x = 151908300112120373249$  (68 bits); this required solving  $2^t = 2^{30}$  Pell equations, and was the largest  $B$  exhaustively searched in this work.

Although it was infeasible to extend this method to the sizes of  $B$  required to produce  $p > 2^{200}$ , it did prove useful in showing factorisation patterns that often arose for values in the larger ranges. In particular, the largest prime values were often of the form  $p = 2z^n - 1$ , with  $z$  and  $n$  both integers, and where  $n > 1$ . Indeed, searching for primes of this form has proven to be the most useful method to date, and the reason is best illustrated via an example. With  $n = 2$ , we can search over  $B$ -smooth  $x$  such that  $p = 2x^2 - 1$  is prime, at which point we are guaranteed that  $p + 1$  is  $B$ -smooth and we are hoping that  $p - 1 = 2x^2 - 2 = 2(x - 1)(x + 1)$  is also  $B$ -smooth. In other words, we are hoping that two values in  $O(\sqrt{p})$  are  $B$ -smooth. In contrast, a naive search (i.e. a search with  $n = 1$ ) would be hoping to find one value in  $O(p)$  that is  $B$ -smooth. Under the heuristic assumption that  $x - 1$  and  $x + 1$  are uniformly distributed in  $O(\sqrt{p})$ , and taking into account well-established smoothness probabilities (cf. [27]), it becomes clear that the search with  $n = 2$  is far superior.

This same reasoning extends to larger values of  $n$ , and it is readily seen that (for a fixed smoothness bound  $B$  and desired size of  $p$ ) the success probability

of the search becomes tied to the ratio  $d/n$ , where  $d$  is the degree of the largest irreducible factor(s) of  $x^n - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Larger values of  $n$  can be chosen to minimise this ratio, however a larger  $n$  means fewer values of  $x$  to search over (for a desired size of  $p = 2x^n - 1$ ). Though some examples were found with  $n > 6$  (see §5.3), the *sweet spot* when aiming for primes between 192 and 256 bits proved to be  $n = 4$  and  $n = 6$ .

**Searching with  $n = 4$ .** Write  $p(x) = 2x^4 - 1$ , and let the smoothness bound be  $B$  as usual. A search for primes of this form such that  $2^{230} < p < 2^{256}$  must look for  $x \in [2^{57.5}, 2^{63.75})$ . With the computing resources at hand, an exhaustive search of this domain was out of the question. However, one can do better than searching over smooth values of  $x$  by observing that

$$p(x) - 1 = 2(x - 1)(x + 1)(x^2 + 1).$$

When inputting  $B$ -smooth values of  $x \approx 2^{64}$ , the hope is to find  $x - 1$ ,  $x + 1$  and  $x^2 + 1$  as all being  $B$ -smooth. Again, under the heuristic assumption that the smoothness probabilities of these values are independent of one another, this naive search is then hoping for two 64-bit numbers ( $x - 1$  and  $x + 1$ ) and one 128-bit number ( $x^2 + 1$ ) to be  $B$ -smooth.

A better approach is to instead search through values of  $x \approx 2^{64}$  such that  $x^2 + 1$  necessarily factors into two numbers of at most  $2^{64}$ . This can be achieved by choosing a subset of the primes less than  $B$ , say  $\{q_1, \dots, q_t\}$ , and solving the equation  $x_i^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q_i}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . These  $t$  values of  $x_i$  can then be combined using the CRT to give  $x$  such that  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\prod q_i}$ . In this case each of the  $q_i$  must be such that  $q_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , so that  $x_i^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q_i}$  has a solution. The trick is to keep choosing random subsets of these primes such that the CRT will output values of  $x \in [2^{57.5}, 2^{63.75})$ ; this way, the search is now hoping to stumble on three 64-bit values that are  $B$ -smooth, which is far more likely than the naive search above.

Note that each time a subset is chosen, there are  $2^t$  combinations of solutions (corresponding to the  $t$  choices of *sign*) that can be checked. Furthermore, the  $q_i$  need not be distinct; solutions to  $x_i^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q_i^z}$  are computed via Hensel lifting [37, §12.5.2]. The following example, which is perhaps the most striking example in this paper, was found in precisely this manner.

*Example 5.* With the smoothness bound  $B = 2^{13}$ , the primes

$$(q_1, \dots, q_5) = (4481, 4801, 6673, 7537, 7621)$$

gave one of the solutions for  $x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q_1 \cdots q_5}$  as  $x = 2811207061409479600$  (lifted to  $\mathbb{Z}$ ). Moreover,

$$x = 2^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 23 \cdot 79 \cdot 107 \cdot 307 \cdot 2129 \cdot 7901$$

is also  $B$ -smooth, and yields a (247-bit) prime  $p = 2x^4 - 1$ . Alice and Bob can take

$$\begin{aligned} M &= 2^{17} \cdot 5^8 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 23^4 \cdot 79^4 \cdot 107^4 \cdot 307^4 \cdot 2129^4 \cdot 7901^2 \quad \text{and} \\ N &= 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 241 \cdot 349 \cdot 421 \cdot 613 \cdot 983 \cdot 1327 \cdot 1667 \cdot 2969 \cdot 3769 \\ &\quad \cdot 4481 \cdot 4649 \cdot 4801 \cdot 4877 \cdot 5527 \cdot 6673 \cdot 7103 \cdot 7537 \cdot 7621, \end{aligned}$$

which are such that  $2^{220} < M < 2^{221}$  and  $2^{210} < N < 2^{211}$ .

**Searching with  $n = 6$ .** In the case of  $p = 2x^6 - 1$ , it was possible to exhaustively search through the full set of  $x$  ranging up to  $2^{255/6} < 2^{43}$  (though analogous methods to those described above could be applied if  $n = 6$  was used to target higher security levels). Interestingly, this did not produce any factorisations of  $p - 1$  that were as smooth as Example 5, so none of the below examples below are as good for Bob as that one. However, some very smooth values of  $x$  (which favour Alice) did find examples where  $B \approx 2^{16}$  was enough to give Bob the requisite security. Three such examples are given below.

*Example 6.* The 237-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 53^2)^6 - 1$  has

$$\begin{aligned} p - 1 &= 2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 43 \cdot 73 \cdot 103 \cdot 269 \cdot 439 \cdot 881 \cdot 883 \cdot 1321 \cdot 5479 \cdot 9181 \\ &\quad \cdot 12541 \cdot 15803 \cdot 20161 \cdot 24043 \cdot 34843 \cdot 48437 \cdot 62753 \cdot 72577 \cdot 709153. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 7.* The 247-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^5 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 31 \cdot 37)^6 - 1$  has

$$\begin{aligned} p - 1 &= 2 \cdot 13 \cdot 19^2 \cdot 29 \cdot 43 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 107 \cdot 643 \cdot 661 \cdot 733 \cdot 1447 \cdot 2347 \cdot 7753 \\ &\quad \cdot 28879 \cdot 29527 \cdot 38281 \cdot 64609 \cdot 76651 \cdot 86311 \cdot 228841 \cdot 745309897. \end{aligned}$$

*Example 8.* The 250-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (5^3 \cdot 101 \cdot 211 \cdot 461 \cdot 2287)^6 - 1$  has

$$\begin{aligned} p - 1 &= 2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 37 \cdot 79 \cdot 107 \cdot 109 \cdot 199 \cdot 349 \cdot 433 \cdot 487 \cdot 1607 \cdot 1993 \cdot 3067 \\ &\quad \cdot 5701 \cdot 6199 \cdot 6373 \cdot 7883 \cdot 8821 \cdot 11497 \cdot 19507 \cdot 57037 \cdot 78301 \cdot 486839. \end{aligned}$$

**Larger  $n$ .** Although setting  $n > 6$  shrinks the search space for primes  $p = 2x^n - 1$  of a certain size, interesting examples were still found in some cases. These typically have  $p$  much larger than the degree of feasible isogenies on Bob's side, so fall back into the umbrella of the types of primes explored in §5.1 (here there is typically a comfortable enough margin between  $p$  and the isogeny degrees that claw-finding goes back to being the best classical attack). For brevity, write  $\ell$  as the largest prime factor of a given  $N \mid p - 1$  in each case. The 331-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (3^2 \cdot 13)^{48} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{213}$  with  $\ell < 2^{23}$ . The 367-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (3^2 \cdot 127)^{36} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{216}$  with  $\ell < 2^{22}$ . The 354-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3)^{30} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{201}$  with  $\ell < 2^{23}$ . The 362-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 17)^{30} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{208}$  and the 363-bit  $p = 2 \cdot (2^3 \cdot 23^2)^{30} - 1$  with  $N > 2^{212}$ , both with  $\ell < 2^{24}$ . The 258-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 23)^{24} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{229}$  with  $\ell < 2^{21}$ . The 325-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 13 \cdot 29)^{24} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{270}$  with  $\ell < 2^{26}$  and  $N > 2^{220}$  with  $\ell < 2^{21}$ . The 250-bit prime  $p = 2 \cdot (29 \cdot 31 \cdot 1901)^{12} - 1$  has  $N > 2^{211}$  with  $\ell < 2^{18}$  and the largest factor of  $p - 1$  is 20 bits.

**Table 1.** Summary of various B-SIDH-friendly primes  $p$ . Further explanation in text.

ex.	$p$ (bits)	$\ell_{\text{Alice}}^{\max}$ (bits)	$\ell_{\text{Bob}}^{\max}$ (bits)	classical		quantum	PK (bytes)	
				DG	vOW	BJS	B-SIDH	hybrid
1	382	8	8	-	123	-	287*	335*
2	253	17	16	127	123	64	190	222
3	255	16	16	128	122	64	192	224
4	255	2	26	128	125	64	192	224
5	247	13	13	124	120	62	186	217
6	237	6	17	119	125	60	178	208
7	247	6	18	124	125	62	186	217
8	250	12	16	125	122	63	188	219

#### 5.4 Summary

For the examples from this section, Table 1 lists the bitlengths of the maximum prime isogeny degrees required by Alice and Bob, runtime complexities of the relevant classical and quantum attacks (written as base-2 logarithms), and the public key sizes of both standalone B-SIDH and a B-SIDH+ECDH hybrid. Following Section 4, the runtime of the Delfs-Galbraith (DG) algorithm is taken as  $p^{1/2}$ , the runtime of van Oorschot-Weiner (vOW) is taken as  $2.5 \cdot L^{3/4}/2^{40}$  (with  $L$  the degree of the respective isogeny), and the runtime of Biassa-Jao-Sankar (BJS) is taken as  $p^{1/4}$ ; concrete runtimes in all three cases could be obtained by multiplying these complexities with the time taken for the corresponding isogeny computations. While the DG and BJS algorithms depend on the size of  $p$ , the complexity of the vOW algorithm depends on the number of possible isogenies computed by a given party (see §4.1). In the larger examples, Bob’s use of all of the odd factors of  $p - 1$  can be overkill, so in these instances two options for Bob’s isogenies and the subsequent vOW runtime estimates are given. For Example 1, the best quantum attack is not BJS (see the analysis in [22] instead), and public keys could be compressed.

Following [11], B-SIDH public keys are three elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ , and partnering with an ECDH hybrid adds one additional element of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (the  $x$ -coordinate of the public key corresponding to a non-supersingular Montgomery curve with a strong ECDLP). It is worth pointing out that the asymptotic runtime of Delfs-Galbraith against B-SIDH matches the asymptotic runtime of Pollard rho [35] against the ECDLP, making the simplicity of the hybrid approach in [11, §8] particularly attractive.

**Acknowledgement.** Special thanks to Kevin Kane for setting up a cluster of machines that were used to search for parameters.

## References

1. G. Adj, D. Cervantes-Vázquez, J. Chi-Domínguez, A. Menezes, and F. Rodríguez-Henríquez. On the cost of computing isogenies between supersingular elliptic curves. In *SAC 2018*, pages 322–343. Springer, 2018.
2. R. Azarderakhsh, D. Jao, K. Kalach, B. Koziel, and C. Leonardi. Key compression for isogeny-based cryptosystems. In *AsiaPKC*, pages 1–10. Springer, 2016.
3. D. J. Bernstein. Curve25519: new Diffie-Hellman speed records. In *International Workshop on Public Key Cryptography*, pages 207–228. Springer, 2006.
4. D. J. Bernstein, L. De Feo, A. Leroux, and B. Smith. Faster computation of isogenies of large prime degree. *Fourteenth Algorithmic Number Theory Symposium, ANTS-XIV*, 2020.
5. J. Biasse, D. Jao, and A. Sankar. A quantum algorithm for computing isogenies between supersingular elliptic curves. In *INDOCRYPT 2014*, pages 428–442. Springer, 2014.
6. W. Castryck, T. Lange, C. Martindale, L. Panny, and J. Renes. CSIDH: an efficient post-quantum commutative group action. In *ASIACRYPT 2018*, pages 395–427. Springer, 2018.
7. D. Cervantes-Vázquez, E. Ochoa-Jiménez, and F. Rodríguez-Henríquez. eSIDH: the revenge of the SIDH. Preprint, 2020. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2020/021>.
8. A. M. Childs, D. Jao, and V. Soukharev. Constructing elliptic curve isogenies in quantum subexponential time. *J. Mathematical Cryptology*, 8(1):1–29, 2014.
9. C. Costello and H. Hisil. A simple and compact algorithm for SIDH with arbitrary degree isogenies. In *ASIACRYPT 2017*, pages 303–329. Springer, 2017.
10. C. Costello, D. Jao, P. Longa, M. Naehrig, J. Renes, and D. Urbanik. Efficient compression of SIDH public keys. In *EUROCRYPT 2017*, pages 679–706. Springer, 2017.
11. C. Costello, P. Longa, and M. Naehrig. Efficient algorithms for supersingular isogeny Diffie-Hellman. In *CRYPTO 2016*, pages 572–601. Springer, 2016.
12. C. Costello and B. Smith. The supersingular isogeny problem in genus 2 and beyond. In *PQCrypto 2020*, pages 151–168, 2020.
13. J. M. Couveignes. Hard homogeneous spaces. Preprint, 2006. <http://eprint.iacr.org/2006/291>.
14. C. Delfs and S. D. Galbraith. Computing isogenies between supersingular elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 78(2):425–440, 2016.
15. L. De Feo. Exploring isogeny graphs. Habilitation thesis, December, 2018. Manuscript available at <https://defeo.lu/hdr/>.
16. L. De Feo, D. Jao, and J. Plüt. Towards quantum-resistant cryptosystems from supersingular elliptic curve isogenies. *J. Mathematical Cryptology*, 8(3):209–247, 2014.
17. E. V. Flynn and Y. B. Ti. Genus two isogeny cryptography. In *PQCrypto 2019*, pages 286–306. Springer, 2019.
18. S. D. Galbraith, C. Petit, B. Shani, and Y. B. Ti. On the security of supersingular isogeny cryptosystems. In *ASIACRYPT 2016*, pages 63–91. Springer, 2016.
19. S. D. Galbraith, C. Petit, and J. Silva. Identification protocols and signature schemes based on supersingular isogeny problems. In *ASIACRYPT 2017*, pages 3–33. Springer, 2017.
20. L. K. Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *STOC 1996*, pages 212–219. ACM, 1996.

21. M. Hamburg. Ed448-Goldilocks, a new elliptic curve. *IACR Cryptology ePrint Archive*, 2015:625, 2015.
22. D. Jao, R. Azarderakhsh, M. Campagna, C. Costello, L. De Feo, B. Hess, A. Jalali, B. Koziel, B. LaMacchia, P. Longa, M. Naehrig, J. Renes, V. Soukharev, and D. Urbanik. SIKE: Supersingular Isogeny Key Encapsulation. Manuscript available at [sike.org/](http://sike.org/), 2017.
23. D. Jao and L. De Feo. Towards quantum-resistant cryptosystems from supersingular elliptic curve isogenies. In *PQCrypto 2011*, pages 19–34. Springer, 2011.
24. S. Jaques and J. M. Schanck. Quantum cryptanalysis in the RAM model: Claw-finding attacks on SIKE. In *CRYPTO 2019*, pages 32–61. Springer, 2019.
25. G. Kuperberg. A subexponential-time quantum algorithm for the dihedral hidden subgroup problem. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 35(1):170–188, 2005.
26. D. H. Lehmer. On a problem of Störmer. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 8(1):57–79, 1964.
27. Arjen K. Lenstra. Smoothness probability. In *Encyclopedia of Cryptography and Security*. 2005.
28. K. Matsuo. SIDH over quadratic twists. In *Proc. of SCIS2019 -2019 Symposium on Cryptography and Information Security, 3B3-1*, January 2019 <https://www.iwsec.org/scis/2019/>.
29. M. Meyer and S. Reith. A faster way to the CSIDH. In *INDOCRYPT 2018*, pages 137–152. Springer, 2018.
30. P. L. Montgomery. Speeding the Pollard and elliptic curve methods of factorization. *Mathematics of computation*, 48(177):243–264, 1987.
31. M. Naehrig and J. Renes. Dual isogenies and their application to public-key compression for isogeny-based cryptography. In *ASIACRYPT 2019*, pages 243–272. Springer, 2019.
32. C. Petit. Faster algorithms for isogeny problems using torsion point images. In *ASIACRYPT 2017*, pages 330–353. Springer, 2017.
33. A. K. Pizer. Ramanujan graphs and Hecke operators. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 23(1):127–137, 1990.
34. A. K. Pizer. Ramanujan graphs. *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, 7:159–178, 1998.
35. J. M. Pollard. Monte Carlo methods for index computation (mod  $p$ ). *Mathematics of computation*, 32(143):918–924, 1978.
36. A. Rostovtsev and A. Stolbunov. Public-key cryptosystem based on isogenies. Preprint, 2006. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2006/145>.
37. V. Shoup. *A computational introduction to number theory and algebra*. Cambridge university press, 2009.
38. J. H. Silverman. *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves, 2nd Edition*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 2009.
39. C. Störmer. Quelques théorèmes sur l'équation de Pell  $x^2 - dy^2 = \pm 1$  et leurs applications. *Christiania Videnskabens Selskabs Skrifter, Math. Nat. Kl.*, (2):48, 1897.
40. S. Tani. Claw finding algorithms using quantum walk. *Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 410(50):5285–5297, 2009.
41. J. Tate. Endomorphisms of abelian varieties over finite fields. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 2(2):134–144, 1966.
42. P. C. van Oorschot and M. J. Wiener. Parallel collision search with cryptanalytic applications. *J. Cryptology*, 12(1):1–28, 1999.
43. J. Vélu. Isogénies entre courbes elliptiques. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. AB*, 273:A238–A241, 1971.

44. C. Zalka. Grover's quantum searching algorithm is optimal. *Physical Review A*, 60(4):2746, 1999.
45. G. Zanon, M. A. Simplicio Jr., G. C. C. F. Pereira, J. Doliskani, and P. S. L. M. Barreto. Faster key compression for isogeny-based cryptosystems. *IEEE Trans. Computers*, 68(5):688–701, 2019.