Dynamic Credentials and Ciphertext Delegation for ABE

Amit Sahai, Hakan Seyalioglu, Brent Waters







Attribute-Based Encryption [S-Waters 2005, GPSW'06, BSW'07]

Different users will have credentials (attributes).



Attribute-Based Encryption [S-Waters 2005, GPSW'06, BSW'07]

Different users will have credentials (attributes).



 $\mathsf{KeyGen}(MSK, S) \to K_S$ Attribute set = Top Secret, Forensics



has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$





has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$







has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$



can decrypt





has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$



can decrypt



has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

can decrypt

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$





has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$



can decrypt





has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

can decrypt

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$



8



has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

can decrypt

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$



8



has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$





has a message, wants to send it to everyone authorized to receive it.

Encryption takes as input a policy. $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) \to C_P$



This work: Dynamic Credentials

Users' credentials change over time

If a user's credentials change, his old key is revoked and he is issued a new key

If a user's credentials change, his old key is revoked and he is issued a new key

(Usual) Framework to make this possible:

If a user's credentials change, his old key is revoked and he is issued a new key

(Usual) Framework to make this possible:

• Periodic broadcasts by key authority

If a user's credentials change, his old key is revoked and he is issued a new key

(Usual) Framework to make this possible:

- Periodic broadcasts by key authority
- Unrevoked keys can be updated and can decrypt data encrypted at new time

If a user's credentials change, his old key is revoked and he is issued a new key

(Usual) Framework to make this possible:

- Periodic broadcasts by key authority
- Unrevoked keys can be updated and can decrypt data encrypted at new time

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

If a user's credentials change, his old key is revoked and he is issued a new key

(Usual) Framework to make this possible:

- Periodic broadcasts by key authority
- Unrevoked keys can be updated and can decrypt data encrypted at new time

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

This work: Dynamic Credentials

Are the security concerns the same as standard revocation?

No: standard revocation is for *broadcast*: you only care about protecting the future

We illustrate with a motivating example:

Inspired by a wonderful conversation with Thomas King and Daniel Manchala (Xerox LA) Our thanks to them for inspiring this work!

Setting:

Company with ABE based access control

Normally, employee only accesses files he needs (enforced by access logs).



Employee Termination:

Employee's key is revoked. Standard guarantee: he can't access files added in the future.







Employee Termination:

Employee's key is revoked. Standard guarantee: he can't access files added in the future.

Problem: He hacks into server and uses old key to decrypt old files that he didn't download earlier.







Employee Termination:

Employee's key is revoked. Standard guarantee: he can't access files added in the future.







Employee Termination:

Employee's key is revoked. Standard guarantee: he can't access files added in the future.

Problem: He hacks into server and uses old key to decrypt old files that he didn't download earlier.







Employee Termination:

Employee's key is revoked. Standard guarantee: he can't access files added in the future.

Problem: He hacks into server and uses old key to decrypt old files that he didn't download earlier.

Serious problem: balance between strict security and ease of use: Necessitates broader access policies, with countermeasures against misuse of privilege.

Preventing access to old files, even if they match old access policy, is important security concern.

What security property do we need?

What security property do we need?

After termination, employee should not be able to access anything he doesn't already have.

What security property do we need?

After termination, employee should not be able to access anything he doesn't already have.

This breaks down into two guarantees.

1. Files encrypted in the past.

1. Files encrypted in the past.

How can we protect old files that the employee could access with his old key in the past?

1. Files encrypted in the past.

How can we protect old files that the employee could access with his old key in the past? First time considered to the best of our knowledge

1. Files encrypted in the past.

How can we protect old files that the employee could access with his old key in the past? First time considered to the best of our knowledge

2. Files added to system in future

1. Files encrypted in the past.

How can we protect old files that the employee could access with his old key in the past? First time considered to the best of our knowledge

2. Files added to system in future

1. Files encrypted in the past.

How can we protect old files that the employee could access with his old key in the past? First time considered to the best of our knowledge

2. Files added to system in future

Looked at only to a limited extent in the past for IBE/ABE [Boldyreva-Goyal-Kumar'08] Only weak notions of security achieved.

1. Files encrypted in the past.

How can we protect old files that the employee could access with his old key in the past? First time considered to the best of our knowledge

2. Files added to system in future

Looked at only to a limited extent in the past for IBE/ABE [Boldyreva-Goyal-Kumar'08] Only weak notions of security achieved.

Main Result: First ABE scheme to address both of these problems simultaneously.

- Assume we have security for new files:
 Encrypt(PK, M, P, t) can only be decrypted by users with secret key for time ≥t.
 (e.g., user with credential for time t+2 can decrypt)
- How can we get security for old files?

Decrypting and Re-encrypting: Every night, re-encrypt all files on server

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

Decrypting and Re-encrypting: Every night, re-encrypt all files on server

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

Decrypting and Re-encrypting: Every night, re-encrypt all files on server

Encrypt(PK, M, P, t) \downarrow Decrypt and re-encrypt for time t+1 Encrypt(PK, M, P, t + 1)

Decrypting and Re-encrypting: Every night, re-encrypt all files on server

Encrypt(
$$PK, M, P, t$$
)

Decrypt and re-encrypt for time t+1

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t+1)$

Problem: Maintenance requires master secret key. We do not want to trust the server with this.

Decrypting and Re-encrypting: Every night, re-encrypt all files on server

Encrypt
$$(PK, M, P, t)$$

Decrypt and re-encrypt for time t+1

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t+1)$

Problem: Maintenance requires master secret key. We do not want to trust the server with this.

Overwrite Encryption:

Every night, re-encrypt all ciphertexts on server

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

Overwrite Encryption: Every night, re-encrypt all ciphertexts on server

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

Encrypt the ciphertext at time t+1

Overwrite Encryption: Every night, re-encrypt all ciphertexts on server

Encrypt(PK, M, P, t) Encrypt the ciphertext at time t+1

Overwrite Encryption: Every night, re-encrypt all ciphertexts on server

Encrypt(PK, M, P, t) Encrypt the ciphertext at time t+1

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, \mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t), P, t+1)$

Overwrite Encryption: Every night, re-encrypt all ciphertexts on server

Encrypt(PK, M, P, t) Encrypt the ciphertext at time t+1

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, \mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t), P, t+1)$

Overwrite Encryption:

Every night, re-encrypt all ciphertexts on server

We ask: Can we allow server to "refresh" the encryption without needing any secret keys, and without growing the ciphertext?

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, \mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t), P, t+1)$

Directly Refreshing Ciphertext: Increment the time component using public data

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

Directly Refreshing Ciphertext: Increment the time component using public data

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$

We say such a scheme has *Revocable Storage*

Directly Refreshing Ciphertext: Increment the time component using public data

$$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t)$$

$\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P, t+1)$

We say such a scheme has *Revocable Storage*

Directly Refreshing Ciphertext: Increment the time component using public data



We say such a scheme has *Revocable Storage*

Directly Refreshing Ciphertext: Increment the time component using public data



Directly Refreshing Ciphertext: Increment the time component using public data



More generally, for standard ABE:

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) = C$ $\mathsf{Delegate}(PK, C, P') \equiv \mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P')$

More generally, for standard ABE:

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) = C$ $\mathsf{Delegate}(PK, C, P') \equiv \mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P')$

where P' is a more restrictive policy than P.

We call this problem *Ciphertext Delegation*.

More generally, for standard ABE:

 $\mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P) = C$ $\mathsf{Delegate}(PK, C, P') \equiv \mathsf{Encrypt}(PK, M, P')$

where P' is a more restrictive policy than P.

We call this problem *Ciphertext Delegation*.

An example of **ciphertext delegation** in ABE [BSW07]:

$$MSK = \alpha, \beta \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
$$PK = g^{\beta}, g^{1/\beta}, e(g, g)^{\alpha}$$

An example of **ciphertext delegation** in ABE [BSW07]:

$$MSK = \alpha, \beta \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
$$PK = g^{\beta}, g^{1/\beta}, e(g, g)^{\alpha}$$
$$\uparrow$$

An example of **ciphertext delegation** in ABE [BSW07]:

$$MSK = \alpha, \beta \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
$$PK = g^{\beta}, g^{1/\beta}, e(g, g)^{\alpha}$$
$$\bigwedge f$$

An example of **ciphertext delegation** in ABE [BSW07]:

$$MSK = \alpha, \beta \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
$$PK = g^{\beta}, g^{1/\beta}, e(g, g)^{\alpha}$$
$$\bigwedge \int$$
(Only used in decryption)

Encryption.

Take the ciphertext policy: "Has `top secret (ts.)' and `accounting (ac.)' attributes"

$$s \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$

$$s = q_{ts.} + q_{ac.}$$

$$C = (Me(g,g)^{\alpha s} ,$$

$$C_{ts.} = g^{q_{ts.}} , C'_{ts.} = H(ts.)^{q_{ts.}} ,$$

$$C_{ac.} = g^{q_{ac.}} , C'_{ac.} = H(ac.)^{q_{ac.}})$$

Can we delegate this to the policy: "Has attributes `top secret (ts.)' and `accounting (ac.)' and `director (dir.)' "

We are given the ciphertext:

$$Me(g,g)^{\alpha s} \\ C_{ts.} = g^{q_{ts.}} , \quad C'_{ts.} = H(ts.)^{q_{ts.}} , \\ C_{ac.} = g^{q_{ac.}} , \quad C'_{ac.} = H(ac.)^{q_{ac.}}$$

where: $s = q_{ts.} + q_{ac.}$ and the public key: $g^{\beta}, g^{1/\beta}, e(g,g)^{\alpha}$

Generate: $q_{dir.} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

$$C = (Me(g,g)^{\alpha s}e(g,g)^{\alpha q_{dir.}} = Me(g,g)^{\alpha(s+q_{dir.})})$$

$$\begin{split} C_{ts.} &= g^{q_{ts.}} , \quad C'_{ts.} = H(ts.)^{q_{ts.}} , \\ C_{ac.} &= g^{q_{ac.}} , \quad C'_{ac.} = H(ac.)^{q_{ac.}} \\ C_{dir.} &= g^{q_{dir.}} , \quad C'_{dir.} = H(dir.)^{q_{dir.}}) \end{split}$$

Why is this a good ciphertext? $s' = s + q_{dir.}$

Plus: Use re-randomization to prevent subtle attacks.

Types of Delegation

We show most current ABE schemes support a variety of efficient ciphertext delegation ops:

- Increasing node thresholds
- Increasing node thresholds and adding nodes
- Deleting subtrees

We also conduct survey of delegation operations on LSSS matrix based schemes [GPSW06, Waters11, LOSTW10].

Conclusion

- 1. We define ciphertext delegation and give a number of efficient methods for ciphertext delegation.
- 2. We use ciphertext delegation to solve the problem of revocable storage.
- 3. We also construct fully secure ABE schemes that achieve revocation security vs. future encryptions.
- We show how to combine these elements to achieve the first fully secure ABE schemes for dynamic credentials.