

Highly Efficient Architecture of NewHope-NIST on FPGA using Low-Complexity NTT/INTT

Neng Zhang, Bohan Yang, Chen Chen, Shouyi Yin, Shaojun Wei and Leibo Liu

Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China



Outline

1. Introduction

- □ 2. Low-Complexity NTT/INTT
- **3.** Hardware Architecture
- **4.** Implementation Results



□ NewHope: a PQC algorithm for key encapsulation mechanism (KEM)

NewHope-USENIX

NewHope-Simple

NewHope-NIST

□ A candidate in the 2nd round of NIST PQC standardization process, but not in the 3rd round

□ Low-complexity NTT/INTT can be utilized by other algorithms.





□ Main mathematical objects of NewHope

polynomials over the ring $\ \mathbb{R}_q = \mathbb{Z}_q[x]/ig\langle x^N + 1 ig angle$			
q	12289	ω_N	Primitive N-th root of unit over Z_q
Ν	1024 or 512	γ_{2N}	Square root of ω_N

□ Encryption-based KEM

Key Generation	2 NTTs
Encryption	2 NTTs, 1 INTT
Decryption	1 INTT







□ Why do we need low-complexity ?





Cost of the pre-processing is considerable

(N/2) log N + N

 ↑
 FFT pre-processing



Number of modular multiplications of NTT

Low-Complexity NTT

A low-complexity NTT with twiddle factors computed on-the-fly [1].
 Merge the pre-processing into the DIT FFT with twiddle factors pre-computed.

[1] S. Roy, et al., Compact ring-lwe cryptoprocessor. CHES 2014



Derivation of the low-complexity NTT

Inspired by the strategy of the Cooley-Turkey FFT
 Follow the divide-and-conquer method of FFT that divides in time domain (DIT)

First, the pre-processing and the FFT are written together as a summation of N items

$$\hat{a}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} a_j \gamma_{2N}^j \omega_N^{ij} \mod q$$

Second, the summation is split into two groups according to parity of the index of a

$$\hat{a}_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{N/2-1} a_{2j} \omega_{N}^{2ij} \gamma_{2N}^{2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{N/2-1} a_{2j+1} \omega_{N}^{i(2j+1)} \gamma_{2N}^{2j+1} \mod q$$



Derivation of the low-complexity NTT

>Third, the equation is grouped into two parts according to the size of index i.

$$\hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} = \sum_{j=0}^{N/2-1} a_{2j} \omega_{N/2}^{ij} \gamma_{N}^{j} \mod q, \\ \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} = \sum_{j=0}^{N/2-1} a_{2j+1} \omega_{N/2}^{ij} \gamma_{N}^{j} \mod q.$$

$$\hat{a}_{i} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} + \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i}^{(0)} - \omega_{N}^{i} \gamma_{2N} \hat{a}_{i}^{(1)} \mod q$$

$$\hat{a}_{i+N/2} = \hat{a}_{i+N/2} + \hat$$

N/4-point NTT

N/4-point NTT



N/2-point NTT

2-point NTT



 A_6

а

$$\omega_m^j \gamma_{2m} \equiv \gamma_{2m}^{2j+1} \equiv \gamma_{2N}^{(2j+1)N/m} \pmod{q}$$

Butterfly of low-complexity NTT

 $A = a + b\omega_m^j \gamma_{2m}^1 mod q$

 $B = a - b\omega_m^j \gamma_{2m}^1 mod q$

Dataflow of a 8-point low-complexity NTT







□ Cost of the post-processing is greater than pre-processing



Low-Complexity INTT

Number of modular multiplications of NTT and INTT





(b) INTT

>[1] merges the scaling of λ_{2N}^{-i} into the FFT. >Further merge the scaling of N⁻¹ into the FFT

[1] T. Pöppelmann, et al., High-performance ideal lattice-based cryptography on 8-bit atxmega microcontrollers. LATINCRYPT 2015



□ Derivation of the low-complexity INTT

Inspired by the strategy of the Gentleman-Sande FFT
 Follow the divide-and-conquer method of FFT that divides in frequency domain (DIF)

First, the post-processing and the FFT are written together as a summation of N items

$$a_i = N^{-1} \gamma_{2N}^{-i} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \hat{a}_j \omega_N^{-ij} \mod q$$

 \succ Second, the summation is split into two groups according to the size of index of \hat{a}

$$a_i = N^{-1} \gamma_{2N}^{-i} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{N/2-1} \hat{a}_j \omega_N^{-ij} + \sum_{j=N/2}^{N-1} \hat{a}_j \omega_N^{-ij} \right) \bmod q$$



Derivation of the low-complexity INTT

>Third, the equation is grouped into two parts according to the parity of i.



➢In this way, N-point INTT can be resolved with two N/2-point INTTs







Dataflow of a 8-point low-complexity INTT



Butterfly of low-complexity INTT

$$\begin{split} \omega_m^{-j} \gamma_{2m}^{-1} &\equiv \gamma_{2m}^{-(2j+1)} \\ &\equiv \gamma_{2N}^{-(2j+1)N/m} \pmod{q} \end{split}$$







□ The architecture of NTT/INTT



[1] W. Wang, et al., VLSI design of a large number multiplier for fully homomorphic encryption. IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems, 22(9):1879–1887, Sept 2014.



Institute of Microelectronics, Tsinghua University.

□ Multi-bank memory

➤Address generator [1]: $\left\lceil \frac{1}{2} \log_2 N \right\rceil - 1$ $BankAddr = \sum addr[2i+1:2i] \mod 4$ NewAddr = addr >> 2,≻Log N: Even $\sqrt{}$ Odd × \blacktriangleright The execution order of the last s-loop is rearranged as : for j = 0 to N/4 - 1 $A_j \leftarrow A_j + \gamma_{2N}^{2j+1} A_{j+N/2}$ $A_{i+N/2} \leftarrow A_i - \gamma_{2N}^{2j+1} A_{i+N/2}$ $A_{j+N/4} \leftarrow A_{j+N/4} + \gamma_{2N}^{2j+N/2+1} A_{j+3N/4}$ $A_{i+3N/4} \leftarrow A_{i+N/4} - \gamma_{2N}^{2j+N/2+1} A_{i+3N/4}$



$$\frac{x}{2} \equiv \left(2\lfloor\frac{x}{2}\rfloor + 1\right)\frac{q+1}{2} \equiv \lfloor\frac{x}{2}\rfloor(q+1) + \frac{q+1}{2} \equiv \lfloor\frac{x}{2}\rfloor + \frac{q+1}{2} \pmod{q}$$
 (mod q)



D Low-Complexity Modular Multiplication

 $2^{14} \equiv 2^{12} - 1 \bmod 12289$

 $z \equiv 2^{14} z [27:14] + z [13:0]$ $\equiv 2^{12} z [27:14] - z [27:14] + z [13:0]$ $\equiv 2^{14} z [27:16] + 2^{12} z [15:14] - z [27:14] + z [13:0]$



No additional multiplication;

Time-constant



□ The architecture of NewHope-NIST





□ Timing hiding

➢ Resource conflict

>data dependency

A RAM may be read and write by operations in the same line.

Algorithm 7 Pseudo-code for implementation of NewHope-CPA-PKE Encryption

Input: $pk, \mu, coin$. Output: $EncodePolynomial(\hat{u}), h$.

1: $R_2 \leftarrow Sample(coin, 0)$

2: $R_2 \leftarrow NTT(R_2); R_0 \leftarrow GenA(pk[0:31])$

3: $R_0 \leftarrow R_0 \circ R_2; R_1 \leftarrow R_2; R_2 \leftarrow Sample(coin, 1)$

 $4 \cdot R_2 \leftarrow NTT(R_2)$

5: output $EncodePolynomial(R_0 + R_2)$; $R_2 \leftarrow DecodePolynomial(pk[32:7n/4+31])$

b: $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 \circ R_1; R_0 \leftarrow Sample(coin, 2)$

- 7: $R_2 \leftarrow INTT(R_2)$
- 8: $R_0 \leftarrow PolyBitRev(R_2) + R_0; R_1 \leftarrow Encode(\mu)$
- 9: output $Compress(R_0 + R_1)$



4 Implementation Results

Implementation platform

≻Xilinx Artix-7 FPGA

➢Vivado 2019.1.1

DImplementation Results of NTT/INTT





4 Implementation Results

□ Implementation Results of NewHope-NIST







Conclusion

□ Low-complexity NTT/INTT

- ►NTT: no pre-processing
- >INTT: no post-processing

□ A highly efficient architecture of NewHope-NIST

>A clear advantage in both speed and ATP

D Low-complexity NTT/INTT can benefit other NTT-inside algorithms



Thanks !

