## **Compact and Tightly Selective-Opening Secure Public-key Encryption Schemes**

Asiacrypt 2022

Jiaxin Pan and Runzhi Zeng

December 7, 2022



























Sender Selective Opening (SO) Security:

Even if the adversary can open some of the challenge ciphertexts, the unopened challenge ciphertexts remain secure.

Motivations:

Sender corruptions, randomness leakage...







- Dates back to [DNRS99]
- Definitions for SO security [DNRS99, BHY09, HLOV11, BHK12...]



- Two flavors of SO(-CCA) security notions.
  - Indistinguishability-based SO (IND-SO) Security [BHY09, BHK12]
  - Simulation-based SO (SIM-SO) Security [DNRS99, BHY09]



- Two flavors of SO(-CCA) security notions.
  - Indistinguishability-based SO (IND-SO) Security [BHY09, BHK12]
  - Simulation-based SO (SIM-SO) Security [DNRS99, BHY09]
- SIM-SO implies (weak) IND-SO [BHK12]



### SIM-SO-CCA Security

- Real game and Ideal game
  - Real game models real world scenario
  - $\blacktriangleright~{\cal S}$  learns trivial information in the ideal game



































#### SIM-SO-CCA Security

SIM-SO-CCA security:  $\forall A$ , there exists a simulator S (both are PPT) such that...



S simulates the "behavior" of A (e.g., they choose the same messages distribution, open the same ciphertexts, produce the same output...)



SIM-SO-CCA is strictly stronger than IND-CCA [BDWY11]



- SIM-SO-CCA is strictly stronger than IND-CCA [BDWY11]
- ► Non-trivial to achieve...



- SIM-SO-CCA is strictly stronger than IND-CCA [BDWY11]
- Non-trivial to achieve...
  - Hybrid argument + IND-CCA does not work





- SIM-SO-CCA is strictly stronger than IND-CCA [BDWY11]
- Non-trivial to achieve...
  - Hybrid argument + IND-CCA does not work





- SIM-SO-CCA is strictly stronger than IND-CCA [BDWY11]
- Non-trivial to achieve...
  - Hybrid argument + IND-CCA does not work



Cannot open  $c_1...$ 



- SIM-SO-CCA is strictly stronger than IND-CCA [BDWY11]
- Non-trivial to achieve...
  - Hybrid argument + IND-CCA does not work
- "Guess" technique?
  - may work [HJKS15], but non-tight...

- ► Security reduction:  $\epsilon_A \leq L \cdot \epsilon_P$ 
  - $\circ~\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$ : Advantage of breaking SO security
  - $\circ \epsilon_P$ : Advantage of breaking some hard problem P
  - L: Security loss
- Tight security: L = O(1).
- ▶ Non-tight: L = O(n), L = O( # RO queries ),...

- ► Security reduction:  $\epsilon_A \leq L \cdot \epsilon_P$ 
  - $\circ~\epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}$ : Advantage of breaking SO security
  - $\circ~\epsilon_{P}$ : Advantage of breaking some hard problem P
  - L: Security loss
- ▶ Tight security: L = O(1).
- ▶ Non-tight: L = O(n), L = O( # RO queries ),...
- Practical relevance:
  - Parameters selection...



Can we have SIM-SO-CCA scheme with tight security?



Can we have SIM-SO-CCA scheme with tight security?

Yes, but with long ciphertext or long public key...

Table: Some group-based SIM-SO PKEs with tight security

Scheme	$ public _{\mathbb{G}}$	$ ciphertext _{\mathbb{G}}$
[HJR16]	$O(\ell^2)$	<b>O</b> (1)
[LLHG18]	O(1)	$O(\ell)$
[JL21]	$\mathit{O}(\ell^2)$	$O(\ell / \log \lambda)$

- \*  $\lambda$ : security parameter
- \*  $\ell$ : Length of message
- \*  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{G}}$ : The number of group element



Can we have SIM-SO-CCA scheme with tight security?

Yes, but with long ciphertext or long public key... (Not compact)

Table: Some group-based SIM-SO PKEs with tight security

Scheme	$ public _{\mathbb{G}}$	$ ciphertext _{\mathbb{G}}$
[HJR16]	$O(\ell^2)$	<b>O</b> (1)
[LLHG18]	O(1)	$O(\ell)$
[JL21]	$\mathit{O}(\ell^2)$	$O(\ell / \log \lambda)$

- \*  $\lambda$ : security parameter
- \*  $\ell$ : Length of message
- \*  $|\cdot|_{\mathbb{G}}$ : The number of group element



#### Can we have a SIM-SO-CCA scheme achieves

- ► Tight security
- Compact public key
- Compact ciphertext

#### at the same time?



#### Can we have a SIM-SO-CCA scheme achieves

- ► Tight security
- Compact public key
- Compact ciphertext

#### at the same time? Even in the random oracle model (ROM)?



Compact and tightly SIM-SO-CCA secure PKE in the ROM:

- Three direct constructions
  - Based on strong Diffie-Hellman (stDH)
  - Based on computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH), by using TDH technique [CKS08]
  - Based on decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH)
- Generic construction
  - Fujisaki-Okamoto's tranformation [FO13]
  - Based on lossy encryption [BHY09]



Compact and tightly SIM-SO-CCA secure PKE in the ROM:

- Three direct constructions
  - Based on strong Diffie-Hellman (stDH)
  - Based on computational Diffie-Hellman (CDH), by using TDH technique [CKS08]
  - Based on decisional Diffie-Hellman (DDH)
- Generic construction
  - Fujisaki-Okamoto's tranformation [FO13]
  - Based on lossy encryption [BHY09]



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

▶ 
$$pk = g^x \in \mathbb{G}$$
,  $sk = x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ 



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

▶ 
$$pk = g^x \in \mathbb{G}$$
,  $sk = x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

Encrypt a plaintext m:

1. 
$$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
  
2.  $R := g^r, Z = pk^r$   
3.  $(K, k) = H(R, Z)$  (where H is a hash function)



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

▶ 
$$pk = g^x \in \mathbb{G}$$
,  $sk = x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

Encrypt a plaintext m:

1. 
$$r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$$
  
2.  $R := g^r, Z = pk^r$   
3.  $(K, k) = H(R, Z)$  (where *H* is a hash function  
4.  $d = K \oplus m$   
5.  $t = MAC_k(R, d)$   
6. Output  $(R, d, t)$ 



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

► The ciphertext has this form:

 $(R = g^r, d = K \oplus m, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ , where  $(K, k) = H(R, pk^r)$ 

▶ Randomness:  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

► The ciphertext has this form:

 $(R = g^r, d = K \oplus m, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ , where  $(K, k) = H(R, pk^r)$ 

▶ Randomness:  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

Proof Sketch (SIM-SO-CCA security).

▶ Use *n*-stDH: Given  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i \in [n]})$  and DDH<sub>X</sub> oracle, find CDH $(X, R_i)$ .



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

► The ciphertext has this form:

 $(R = g^r, d = K \oplus m, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ , where  $(K, k) = H(R, pk^r)$ 

- ▶ Randomness:  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$
- Proof Sketch (SIM-SO-CCA security).
  - ▶ Use *n*-stDH: Given  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i \in [n]})$  and DDH<sub>X</sub> oracle, find CDH $(X, R_i)$ .
  - *n* challenge ciphertexts:  $(g^{r_1}, d_1, t_1), ..., (g^{r_n}, d_n, t_n)$ .



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

► The ciphertext has this form:

 $(R = g^r, d = K \oplus m, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ , where  $(K, k) = H(R, pk^r)$ 

- ▶ Randomness:  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$
- Proof Sketch (SIM-SO-CCA security).
  - ▶ Use *n*-stDH: Given  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i \in [n]})$  and DDH<sub>X</sub> oracle, find CDH $(X, R_i)$ .
  - *n* challenge ciphertexts:  $(\mathbf{R}_1, d_1, t_1), ..., (\mathbf{R}_n, d_n, t_n)$ .



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

► The ciphertext has this form:

 $(R = g^r, d = K \oplus m, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ , where  $(K, k) = H(R, pk^r)$ 

▶ Randomness:  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

#### Proof Sketch (SIM-SO-CCA security).

- ▶ Use *n*-stDH: Given  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i \in [n]})$  and DDH<sub>X</sub> oracle, find CDH $(X, R_i)$ .
- *n* challenge ciphertexts:  $(\mathbf{R}_1, d_1, t_1), ..., (\mathbf{R}_n, d_n, t_n)$ .
- Cannot open  $(R_i, d_i, t_i)$ , since  $r_i$ 's are unknown



The DHIES scheme in [HJKS15]

► The ciphertext has this form:

 $(R = g^r, d = K \oplus m, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ , where  $(K, k) = H(R, pk^r)$ 

▶ Randomness:  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

Proof Sketch (SIM-SO-CCA security).

- ▶ Use *n*-stDH: Given  $(X, \{R_i\}_{i \in [n]})$  and DDH<sub>X</sub> oracle, find CDH $(X, R_i)$ .
- *n* challenge ciphertexts:  $(\mathbf{R}_1, d_1, t_1), ..., (\mathbf{R}_n, d_n, t_n)$ .
- Cannot open  $(R_i, d_i, t_i)$ , since  $r_i$ 's are unknown
- Non-tight reduction:
  - Use "Guess" technique, O(n).
  - RO does not help for tightness...



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

CiphertextRandomnessDHIES: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R))$  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

## Ciphertext Randomness

**DHIES:** 
$$(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$$
  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

**Our:** 
$$(R_0 = g^{r_0}, R_1 = g^{r_1}, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

CiphertextRandomnessDHIES:  $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

**Our:** 
$$(R_0 = g^{r_0}, R_1 = g^{r_1}, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$

• (K, k) is derived from  $CDH(pk, R_0)$  or  $CDH(pk, R_1)$ 



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

# CiphertextRandomnessDHIES: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

**Our:** 
$$(R_0 = g^{r_0}, R_1 = g^{r_1}, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$

► 
$$(K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$$
, where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ 



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

CiphertextRandomnessDHIES: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

**Our:** 
$$(R_0 = g^{r_0}, R_1 = g^{r_1}, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$

▶ 
$$(K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$$
, where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ 

Forget the dlog: Oblivious randomness



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

CiphertextRandomnessDHIES: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

**Our:** 
$$(R_0 = g^{r_0}, R_1 = g^{r_1}, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$

▶ 
$$(K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$$
, where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ 

Forget the dlog: Oblivious randomness



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

CiphertextRandomnessDHIES: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$  $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

**Our:** 
$$(R_0 = g^{r_0}, R_1 = g^{r_1}, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$

▶ 
$$(K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$$
, where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ 

Forget the dlog: Oblivious randomness

$$r_{1-b} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, R_{1-b} := g^{r_{1-b}}$$

• Or 
$$R_{1-b} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$$
 (if  $\mathbb{G}$  is sampleable...)



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

# CiphertextRandomnessOriginal: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

**Our:** 
$$(R_0, R_1, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$
  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}, r_b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$   
 $R_{1-b} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$ 

▶ 
$$(K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$$
, where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $R_b := g^{r_b}$ 

- Forget the dlog: Oblivious randomness
- Use oblivious randomness to respond OPEN queries...



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

# CiphertextRandomnessOriginal: $(R = g^r, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R, d))$ $r \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$

**Our:** 
$$(R_0, R_1, d = m \oplus K, t = MAC_k(R_0, R_1, d))$$
  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}, r_b \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$   
 $R_{1-b} \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$ 

▶ 
$$(K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$$
, where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$  and  $R_b := g^{r_b}$ .

- Forget the dlog: Oblivious randomness
- ► Use oblivious randomness to respond OPEN queries...
  - E.g., if  $r_0$  is unknown, return  $(1, r_1, R_0)$ .



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

 $(R_0, R_1, d, t = \mathsf{MAC}_k(R_0, R_1, d))$ , where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}, (K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$ 

Proof sketch

- ► Use *n*-stDH assumption...
- Embed challenge into  $R_0$  or  $R_1$ ...



Our approach: "Dual" Naor-Yung technique

 $(R_0, R_1, d, t = \mathsf{MAC}_k(R_0, R_1, d))$ , where  $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}, (K, k) = H(b, R_0, R_1, pk^{r_b})$ 

Proof sketch

- ► Use *n*-stDH assumption...
- Embed challenge into  $R_0$  or  $R_1$ ...
- Can open any challenge ciphertext  $(R_0, R_1, d, t)$ 
  - E.g., return  $(b', r_{b'}, R_{1-b'})$  if  $r_{1-b'}$  is unknown...



Summary of our tight reduction

- ▶ Based on DHIES in [HJKS15]
- "Dual Naor-Yung" technique
  - Two valid randomness
  - Use oblivious randomness to "forget" the dlog
- Can open any challenge ciphertext (tight reduction)
- Ignore some details: Reprogramming ROs...



Table: (	Comparison	with	some	group-based	SO-CCA	PKE
----------	------------	------	------	-------------	--------	-----

Scheme	Ass.	Tight?	$ c _{\mathbb{G}}$	$ \mathbf{pk} _{\mathbb{G}}$	ROM/StdM?
DHIES [HJKS15]	stDH	×	<b>O</b> (1)	<b>O</b> (1)	ROM
FO [HJKS15]	CDH	×	O(1)	O(1)	ROM
KEM+XAC [LLHG18]	DDH	1	$O(\ell)$	O(1)	StdM
ABO-LTF [JL21]	DH	1	$O(\ell / \log \lambda)$	$O(\ell^2)$	StdM
stDH-based scheme	stDH	1	<b>O</b> (1)	<b>O</b> (1)	ROM
CDH-based scheme	CDH	$\checkmark$	O(1)	O(1)	ROM
DDH-based scheme	DDH	1	O(1)	O(1)	ROM
FO (based on [BHY09])	DDH	$\checkmark$	O(1)	O(1)	ROM



#### Can we have a SIM-SO-CCA scheme achieves

- ► Tight security
- Compact public key
- Compact ciphertext

#### at the same time?



#### Can we have a SIM-SO-CCA scheme achieves

- ► Tight security
- Compact public key
- Compact ciphertext

#### at the same time?

► YES, in the ROM.



#### Can we have a SIM-SO-CCA scheme achieves

- ► Tight security
- Compact public key
- Compact ciphertext

#### at the same time?

- ► YES, in the ROM.
- In the StdM? Still Unknown



Q & A

## Thank you!

