Additive-Homomorphic Functional Commitments and Applications to Homomorphic Signatures

Dario Catalano University of Catania Italy

IMDEA Software Institute Madrid, Spain



Asiacrypt 2022

Dario Fiore

■ Institute

Ida Tucker

Zondax AG





Established by the European Commission



Generalization of vector commitments and polynomial commitments





[Libert-Ramanna-Yung 16]





Generalization of vector commitments and polynomial commitments







[Libert-Ramanna-Yung 16]





Generalization of vector commitments and polynomial commitments



 $Com(x) \rightarrow$



[Libert-Ramanna-Yung 16]





Generalization of vector commitments and polynomial commitments





[Libert-Ramanna-Yung 16]







Generalization of vector commitments and polynomial commitments





[Libert-Ramanna-Yung [6]

• Succinctness: commitments and openings "short" w.r.t. $|\mathbf{x}|$: $|C_{\mathbf{x}}| \le p(\lambda)$ and $|\pi_f| \le m \cdot p(\lambda)$







Generalization of vector commitments and polynomial commitments



- Succinctness: commitments and openings "short" w.r.t. $|\mathbf{x}|$: $|C_{\mathbf{x}}| \le p(\lambda)$ and $|\pi_f| \le m \cdot p(\lambda)$
- Compactness [LMI9]: openings "short" w.r.t. |x| and |y|



[Libert-Ramanna-Yung 16]

 $|C_{\mathbf{x}}|, |\pi_{f}| \leq p(\lambda)$









Evaluation binding



 $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{X}}$ $f, \pi_f, \mathbf{y}, \pi_f', \mathbf{y}'$

Open to two different outputs for the same function

 $\blacktriangleright \operatorname{Ver}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}, f, \mathbf{y}, \pi_{f}) = 1$ $\operatorname{Ver}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}}, f, \mathbf{y}', \pi_{f}') = 1$ $\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{y}'$





Potentially, a replacement for SNARGs in some applications

• Other properties: hiding, zero-knowledge openings (see paper, not focus in this talk)

• FCs can be seen as weaker version of (commit-and-prove) SNARGs (Eval. binding vs. Soundness)











assumptions	
falsifiable	
non-falsifiable	



















• In which applications evaluation-binding is sufficient?



• In which applications evaluation-binding is sufficient?









- In which applications evaluation-binding is sufficient?
- Motivating Result: (FC





- Motivating Result: FC





- Motivating Result: FC



Implications: new pairing-based homomorphic signatures









Homogeneous polynomials of degree d



- Homogeneous polynomials of degree d

 $f: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F} \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell} f_{\ell} \cdot x_1^{d_{\ell,1}} \cdots x_n^{d_{\ell,n}} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{i} d_{\ell,i} = d$



Homogeneous polynomials of degree d

$$f: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F} \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{n} f$$

 $\sum_{\ell} f_{\ell} \cdot x_1^{d_{\ell,1}} \cdots x_n^{d_{\ell,n}} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j} d_{\ell,j} = d$ $\exists \hat{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{F}^{n^d} : f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} \rangle \quad \text{where, for } \delta = \log d, \quad \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \delta = 0 \\ \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} & \delta > 1 \end{cases}$



Homogeneous polynomials of degree d

$$f: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F} \qquad \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{n} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{n}$$

$$\exists \hat{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{F}^{n^d} : f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} \rangle$$
 where, fo

• FC for linear forms \Rightarrow FC for deg-d polynomials, d=O(1)

$$\operatorname{Com}(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{\operatorname{Com}}(\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$$

- $\sum_{\ell} f_{\ell} \cdot x_1^{d_{\ell,1}} \cdots x_n^{d_{\ell,n}} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j} d_{\ell,j} = d$
- or $\delta = \log d$, $\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \delta = 0\\ \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} & \delta > 1 \end{cases}$

$$\pi_f = \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\mathrm{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$$



Homogeneous polynomials of degree d

$$f: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F} \qquad \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

$$\exists \hat{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{F}^{n^d} : f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} \rangle$$
 where, fo

• FC for linear forms \Rightarrow FC for deg-d polynomials, d=O(1)

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{Com}(\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$$
not homomorphic
(even if so is \overline{Com})

- $\sum_{\ell} f_{\ell} \cdot x_1^{d_{\ell,1}} \cdots x_n^{d_{\ell,n}} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_{j} d_{\ell,j} = d$
- or $\delta = \log d$, $\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \delta = 0\\ \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} & \delta > 1 \end{cases}$

$$\pi_f = \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\mathrm{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$$



Homogeneous polynomials of degree d

$$f: \mathbb{F}^n \to \mathbb{F} \qquad f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\ell} f_{\ell} \cdot x_1^{d_{\ell,1}} \cdots x_n^{d_{\ell,n}} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \sum_j d_{\ell,j} = d$$

$$\exists \hat{\mathbf{f}} \in \mathbb{F}^{n^d} : f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} \rangle$$
 where, fo

• FC for linear forms \Rightarrow FC for deg-d polynomials, d=O(1)

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{Com}(\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$$
not homomorphic
(even if so is \overline{Com}) e.g., $\overline{Com}(\dots, x_i \cdot x_j)$

or $\delta = \log d$, $\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x} & \delta = 0\\ \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} \otimes \mathbf{x}^{(\delta-1)} & \delta > 1 \end{cases}$

$$\pi_f = \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\mathrm{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$$

 $(x_i, x_i \cdot x_{i+1}, \cdots))$



Our FC for polynomials ... seen from the space

Our approach

Additive-homomorphic $\overline{FC} = (\overline{Com}, \overline{Open}, \overline{Ver})$ for linear maps over \mathbb{F}^{n^d}

 $Com(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{Com}(\mathbf{x})$









Our FC for polynomials ... seen from the space

Our approach

Additive-homomorphic $\overline{FC} = (\overline{Com}, \overline{Open}, \overline{Ver})$ for linear maps over \mathbb{F}^{n^d}

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{Com}(\mathbf{x})$$

 $X_{\delta} = \overline{\mathrm{Com}}(\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$ $\pi_f = \operatorname{Open}(f, \mathbf{x}) - \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\operatorname{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)})$ w.r.t. X_{δ}









Our FC for polynomials \dots seen from the space \checkmark

Our approach

Additive-homomorphic $\overline{FC} = (\overline{Com}, \overline{Open}, \overline{Ver})$ for linear maps over \mathbb{F}^{n^d}

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{Com}(\mathbf{x})$$











Our FC for polynomials ... seen from the space

Our approach

Additive-homomorphic $\overline{FC} = (\overline{Com}, \overline{Open}, \overline{Ver})$ for linear maps over \mathbb{F}^{n^a}

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) = \overline{Com}(\mathbf{x})$$

 $\pi_f = \operatorname{Open}(f, \mathbf{x})$





$$\begin{split} X_{\delta} &= \overline{\mathrm{Com}}(\mathbf{x}^{(\delta)}) \\ \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} &= \overline{\mathrm{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(\delta)}) \text{ w.r.t. } X_{\delta} \\ \pi_{\delta} &= \mathrm{proof \ that } X_{\delta} = \overline{\mathrm{Com}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{x}) \text{ w.r.t. } \mathbf{x} \text{ in } \mathbf{C} \end{split}$$

• Our construction: we "open the box" of the FC for linear maps of [Lai-Malavolta 19]







Our FC for polynomials ... from 10Km

• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$

Setup $(1^{\lambda}) \rightarrow \mathbf{ck} = [\alpha^1, ..., \alpha^{n^d}]_{1,2} \dots$ and more elements











Our FC for polynomials ... from 10Km

• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow C_{\mathbf{x}} =$$

$$X_0 = [\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \alpha^j] = [$$















• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$

$$\operatorname{Setup}(1^{\lambda}) \to \operatorname{ck} = [\alpha^1]$$



$$\operatorname{Com}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_0 = [\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \alpha^j] = \\ y_{j=1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\operatorname{Dpen}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 = \overline{\operatorname{Com}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}) = \\ \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\operatorname{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)}) \end{bmatrix}$$





• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$

$$\operatorname{Setup}(1^{\lambda}) \to \operatorname{ck} = [\alpha^1]$$



$$\operatorname{Com}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_0 = [\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \alpha^j] = \\ y_{j=1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\operatorname{Dpen}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 = \overline{\operatorname{Com}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}) = \\ \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\operatorname{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)}) \end{bmatrix}$$





• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$

$$\operatorname{Setup}(1^{\lambda}) \to \operatorname{ck} = [\alpha^1]$$

 $\mathsf{Open}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f = \left[X_1 = \overline{\mathsf{Com}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^k \right]_1 = \left[p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \right]_1 \right]$ $\pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\text{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)})$ w.r.t. X_1

$$\int p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \text{ factors in two polynomials linear in } \mathbf{x}.$$

$$p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} x_k^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^k = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^{i+n(j-1)} = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^i)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^j) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^i + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(0)} \cdot$$





• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$ Let me help you... $\operatorname{Com}(\mathbf{x}) \to \operatorname{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \cdot \alpha^j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}_1$ $\mathsf{Open}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f = \left[X_1 = \overline{\mathsf{Com}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^k \right]_1 = \left[p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \right]_1 \right]$ $\pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\text{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)})$ w.r.t. X_1

$$\int p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \text{ factors in two polynomials linear in } \mathbf{x}.$$

$$p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} x_k^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^k = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^{i+n(j-1)} = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^i)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^j)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^j)(\sum_{j=1}^n$$





• Bilinear pairings $e : \mathbb{G}_1 \times \mathbb{G}_2 \to \mathbb{G}_T$, Notation: $[\alpha]_i = g_1^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{G}_i$ Let me help you... $\operatorname{Com}(\mathbf{x}) \to \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j \cdot \alpha^j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}(\alpha) \end{bmatrix}_1$ $\mathsf{Open}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f = \left| X_1 = \overline{\mathsf{Com}}(\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^k \right]_1 = \left[p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \right]_1$ $\pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\text{Open}}(\hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{x}^{(1)})$ w.r.t. X_1

$$\int p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) \text{ factors in two polynomials linear in } \mathbf{x}.$$

$$p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(1)}(\alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^{n^2} x_k^{(1)} \cdot \alpha^k = \sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i^{(0)} x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^{i+n(j-1)} = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^i)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^j)(\sum_{j=1}^n x_j^{(0)} \cdot \alpha^j)(\sum_{j=1}^n$$



Our FC for

$$Com(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow C_{\mathbf{x}} =$$

polynomials ..almost down to earth

$$x_{0} = [\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \cdot \alpha^{j}] = [p_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_{1} \quad \hat{X}_{0} = [\hat{p}_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_{2} = [p_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha^{n})/\alpha^{n}]_{2}$$

$$K_{0} = [p_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_{1} \quad \hat{X}_{0} = [\hat{p}_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_{2} = [p_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha^{n})/\alpha^{n}]_{2}$$

$$How do l check validity of $\hat{X}_{k} w.t. C_{x}^{2}$

$$Verification:$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{er}(X_{\delta}, \hat{\mathbf{f}}, \mathbf{y}, \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}}) \stackrel{?}{=} 1}{\Rightarrow y = (\hat{\mathbf{f}}_{x}^{(d)})}$$

$$\frac{e(X_{0}, \hat{X}_{0}) \stackrel{?}{=} e(X_{1}, [1]_{2})}{\Rightarrow X_{1} = Com(x^{(1)} = x^{(0)} \otimes x^{(0)})}$$$$

 $\mathsf{Open}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f =$

Our FC for polynomials ...almost down to earth

$$\operatorname{Com}(\mathbf{x}) \to \operatorname{C}_{\mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} X_0 = [\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \alpha^j] = [p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_1 \\ X_0 = [\sum_{j=1}^n x_j \cdot \alpha^j] = [p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_1 \\ X_{\delta} = [p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(\delta)}(\alpha)]_1 \quad \pi_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} = \overline{\operatorname{Ope}}_{\hat{\mathbf{f}}} \\ \{X_k = [p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\alpha)]_1, \quad \hat{X}_k = [\hat{p}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\alpha)]_1, \quad \hat{X}_k = [\hat{p}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\alpha)]_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Generalization: $\hat{p}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ factors in 2^k polynomials linear in \mathbf{x} :

$$\hat{p}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\alpha) = \prod_{\ell=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{(\ell)}(\alpha)$$

Our FC for

FC for polynomials ..almost down to earth

$$\int \frac{d}{degree d = 2^{n}} \int_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \cdot \alpha^{i} [= |p_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha)|_{1} \quad \hat{x}_{0} = [\hat{p}_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha)]_{2} = [p_{x}^{(0)}(\alpha^{n})/\alpha^{n}]_{2}$$

$$\int \frac{d}{degree d = 2^{n}} \int_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{degree d = 2^{n}} \int_{j=1}^{$$

 $\mathsf{Open}(f, \mathbf{x}) \to \pi_f$

Generalization: $\hat{p}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\alpha)$ fac

$$\hat{p}_{\mathbf{x}}^{(k)}(\alpha) = \prod_{\ell=2^k}^{2^{k+1}-1} \phi_{\mathbf{x}}^{(\ell)}(\alpha)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \cdot \alpha^{j}\right] = \left[p_{\mathbf{x}}^{(0)}(\alpha)\right]_{1}$$
$$\Phi_{\ell} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \cdot \alpha^{n^{\ell}(j-1)}\right]_{b} = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} \cdot \alpha^{n^{\ell}(j-1)}\right]_{b}$$

Our FC for NC^I

Our FC for NC¹

sQAP: semi-Quadratic Arithmetic Programs

- $f: \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^m \to \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \text{ defined by matrix } \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$
 - $f(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{true} \iff \exists \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}$

Our FC for NC¹

sQAP: semi-Quadratic Arithmetic Programs

High-level ideas of our approach

 $\bigcirc \bigcirc$

(I) linearize the system c

 $f: \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^m \to \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \text{ defined by matrix } \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$

 $f(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{true} \iff \exists \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}$

of equations
$$\mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{F} \circ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$$

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}}}_{\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{z}}}$$

Our FC for NC^I

sQAP: semi-Quadratic Arithmetic Programs

High-level ideas of our approach

(2) Adapt the linear-map FC of LM19 to prove satisfiability of $(\mathbf{F}_z | \mathbf{y})$ for committed z and y

 $f: \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^m \to \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \text{ defined by matrix } \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$

 $f(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{true} \iff \exists \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}$

(1) linearize the system of equations
$$\mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \left(\mathbf{F} \circ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^\top \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}^\top \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$$

Our FC for NC¹

sQAP: semi-Quadratic Arithmetic Programs

 $f(\mathbf{Z},$

High-level ideas of our app

(1) linearize the system of

(*) Prove strong evaluation binding of LMI9 from a falsifiable assumption (LMI9 proved it in the GGM); this implies a falsifiable SNARG for linear systems (of independent interest).

 $f: \mathbb{F}^n \times \mathbb{F}^m \to \{\text{true}, \text{false}\} \text{ defined by matrix } \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times m}$

$$\mathbf{y}$$
) = true $\iff \exists \mathbf{w} : \mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{y}$
proach

of equations
$$\mathbf{F} \cdot (\mathbf{w} \circ \mathbf{z}) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{F} \circ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{bmatrix} \right) \cdot \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{y}$$

(2) Adapt the linear-map FC of LM19 to prove satisfiability of $(\mathbf{F}_z | \mathbf{y})$ for committed z and y

Summary of our results

FC scheme	Functions $f: \mathbb{Z}_q^n \to \mathbb{Z}_q^m$	pp	 C 	π	Add Hom	Assumption
[LM19]	linear maps	O(mn)	1 G	1 G	yes	n-DHE
[LP20]	semi-sparse polynomials	$O(\mu)$	<i>O(m)</i>	O(1)	no	
Ours (FC _{poly})	polynomials of deg $d=O(1)$	$O(mn^d)$	$d/2 \mathbb{G}_1 +d/2 \mathbb{G}_2 $	$d/2 \mathbb{G}_1 +d/2 \mathbb{G}_2 $	yes	n ^d -DHE
Ours (FC _{sQAP})	sQAP	$O(mn^2)$	$2 \mathbb{G}_1 $	$2 \mathbb{G}_1 +1 \mathbb{G}_2 $	yes	(n,m)-DP-BDH
Weak ev. binding, yet sufficient to build H	S					
Homomor	phic Signatures hig	hlights:				
First HS v	with compact signatures	(size con	istant in numbe	r of outputs)		
First mult	i-input HS for NC ¹ base	ed on pair	rings (prior HS	for NCI [KNY	YI9] only	single-input)

Conclusions

Main results: First additive-homomorphic FCs for functions beyond linear New pairing-based homomorphic signatures

Conclusions

Main results: New pairing-based homomorphic signatures

Recent works: First FC for circuits from falsifiable assumptions!

First additive-homomorphic FCs for functions beyond linear

Conclusions

Main results:

Open problems: Compact&constant-size FC for NC¹? FC for circuits with shorter openings? More applications?

First additive-homomorphic FCs for functions beyond linear

- New pairing-based homomorphic signatures
- **Recent works:** First FC for circuits from falsifiable assumptions!