# **Multimodal Private Signatures**

### Khoa Nguyen, Fuchun Guo, Willy Susilo, Guomin Yang

University of Wollongong, Australia

**CRYPTO 2022** 





### Privacy and Accountability in Multi-user Signatures

### Multimodal Private Signatures: Definitions and Constructions

### > Open Questions



# Ring Signatures [RST'01]





3

# Ring Signatures [RST'01]



## Group Signatures [CvH'91]



ID

### Bifurcated Anonymous Signatures (BiAS) [LNPY'21]



### Bifurcated Anonymous Signatures (BiAS) [LNPY'21]



# Total Tracing vs. Privacy



#### Authorities could only be interested in whether a user



#### ○ **Is > 18**

- Works in company X
- Lives at city Y
- Has annual income > Z
- $\circ~$  Has been fully vaccinated
- **Etc.**

## Our Proposal



## Our Proposal



## Example: Anonymous Financial Transactions

### X: transaction amount

| X < 100      | <ul> <li>Anonymity against everyone</li> </ul>                                |
|--------------|---|
| 100 ≤ X < 1K | <ul> <li>Authority can learn sender's<br/>country</li> </ul>                  |
| 1K ≤ X < 10K | <ul> <li>Authority can learn sender's<br/>country and organization</li> </ul> |
| 10,000 ≤ X   | <ul> <li>Authority can learn sender's full<br/>identity</li> </ul>            |



# New concept: Multimodal Private Signatures (MPS)

- Novel approach for addressing the "privacy vs accountability" tension
- > Anonymous signatures can be opened to some partial info op of ID
- > op can be flexibly defined based on a set of disclosing functions
- Privacy: signer can decide whether to disclose op
- > Accountability: authority can learn op if needed.



# Our Contributions

## • Formalizations of MPS:

- Syntax
- Security definitions

# • Constructing MPS:

Generic construction based on commonly used building blocks.

Concrete constructions: pairing-based (SM), lattice-based (ROM)



 Privacy: each party in the system can only learn the piece of signer's information which the signer intends to disclose.

- 1. Without OA's opening key, one can learn nothing about the signer's private information (akin to CCA-anonymity in GS).
- 2. Even the OA can additionally learn only the value of  $G_i(ID)$ .



### O Unforgeability:

- 1. If  $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{ID}) = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  should not be valid.
- 2. It should be infeasible to mislead the opening (traceability in GS)
- 3. No one can frame an honest user (non-frameability in GS)



• Modular design for arbitrary signing/disclosing functions

- Building blocks: ordinary signatures + PKE + NIZK
- Realizable in the standard model from pairings and from lattices
- "Sign-then-encrypt-then-prove" paradigm
  - **GS**: encrypt **ID**
  - BiAS: encrypt ``ID or 0''

> Here: encrypt **op** =  $G_{F(M,w,ID)}(ID)$  and prove well-formedness.



 $\odot$  Consider the setting with 1 signing function and 4 disclosing functions

- $\succ$  Let M = Com(w), define  $j = F(M, w) \in [0, 4]$  based on integer ranges.
- > Define  $G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4$  as linear transformations:  $G_j(ID) = H_j \cdot ID$
- Pairing-based building blocks: Pedersen com, Kiltz et al.'s SPS (C'15),
   Boneh-Boyen sig (EC'04), Kiltz's PKE (TCC'06), GS proofs (EC'08)
- Lattice-based building blocks: KTX com (AC'08), Libert et al.'s sig (AC'16),
   PKE from GPV IBE (STOC'08) + CHK (EC'04), Stern-like ZKP (C'93, AC'17)



## Some Open Questions

- 1. Practical MPS schemes with expressive signing functions and disclosing functions
- 2. Efficient MPS schemes with post-quantum security
- 3. Theoretical connections between MPS and FE
- 4. MPS with additional functionalities, e.g., verifiable opening, user revocations

