## SURVIVING THE FO-CALYPSE:

SECURING PQC IMPLEMENTATIONS IN PRACTICE

Melissa Azouaoui, Joppe W. Bos, Björn Fay, Marc Gourjon, Yulia Kuzovkova, Joost Renes, **<u>Tobias Schneider</u>**, Christine van Vredendaal

In Collaboration with UCLouvain: Olivier Bronchain, Clément Hoffmann, François-Xavier Standaert

CONTACT: PQC@NXP.COM

REAL WORLD CRYPTO SYMPOSIUM, APRIL 2022





NXP, THE NXP LOGO AND NXP SECURE CONNECTIONS FOR A SMARTER WORLD ARE TRADEMARKS OF NXP B.V. ALL OTHER PRODUCT OR SERVICE NAMES ARE THE PROPERTY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE OWNERS. © 2021 NXP B.V.



#### POST-QUANTUM CRYPTO IS ON THE HORIZON



What is the impact on the billions of embedded devices?



#### **2021: General Challenges**

- OTA updates
- Secure boot
- Reuse existing co-pros





#### **2021: General Challenges**

- OTA updates
- Secure boot
- Reuse existing co-pros

#### **2022: Physical Security**







- **2021: General Challenges**
- OTA updates
- Secure boot
- Reuse existing co-pros

#### **2022: Physical Security**









- **2021: General Challenges**
- OTA updates
- Secure boot
- Reuse existing co-pros







- **2021: General Challenges**
- OTA updates
- Secure boot
- Reuse existing co-pros

#### **2022: Physical Security**





**Current Cryptography** 











PUBLIC

NKP

## FO-CALYPSE?



#### PUBLIC

NXP, THE NXP LOGO AND NXP SECURE CONNECTIONS FOR A SMARTER WORLD ARE TRADEMARKS OF NXP B.V. ALL OTHER PRODUCT OR SERVICE NAMES ARE THE PROPERTY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE OWNERS. © 2021 NXP B.V.

The Fujisaki-Okamoto (FO) transformation (or slight variants) underlies the IND-CCA security of many KEMs, e.g.:



The Fujisaki-Okamoto (FO) transformation (or slight variants) underlies the IND-CCA security of many KEMs, e.g.:



Exemplary Decapsulation:



The Fujisaki-Okamoto (FO) transformation (or slight variants) underlies the IND-CCA security of many KEMs, e.g.:



Exemplary Decapsulation:



The Fujisaki-Okamoto (FO) transformation (or slight variants) underlies the IND-CCA security of many KEMs, e.g.:



Exemplary Decapsulation:



Attack 1: Chosen Plaintext

• Attacker inputs only valid ciphertexts



Attack 1: Chosen Plaintext

• Attacker inputs only valid ciphertexts



Attack 1: Chosen Plaintext

- Attacker inputs only valid ciphertexts
- Attack focuses on **CPA Decryption**, everything after (and including) **P** is public



Only need to protect CPA Decryption



Attack 2: Chosen Ciphertext

• Attacker inputs specially-crafted invalid ciphertexts



Attack 2: Chosen Ciphertext

• Attacker inputs specially-crafted invalid ciphertexts



Attack 2: Chosen Ciphertext

- Attacker inputs specially-crafted invalid ciphertexts
- Attack focuses on **CPA Decryption +** everything after (and including) **P** is potentially sensitive
- Potentially all (or most) modules need to be hardened







NP





#### Why is it bad?



Millions of Points of Interest



Low number of leakage classes (worst case = 2)



Easy to build templates

NP





Why is it bad?



Millions of Points of Interest



Low number of leakage classes (worst case = 2)



Easy to build templates

Most recently at TCHES-2022:

Curse of Re-encryption: A Generic Power/EM Analysis on Post-Quantum KEMs

Rei Ueno<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Keita Xagawa<sup>4</sup>, Yutaro Tanaka<sup>1,2</sup>, Akira Ito<sup>1,2</sup>, Junko Takahashi<sup>4</sup> and Naofumi Homma<sup>1,2</sup>



# Quantifying the Curse



#### PUBLIC

NXP, THE NXP LOGO AND NXP SECURE CONNECTIONS FOR A SMARTER WORLD ARE TRADEMARKS OF NXP B.V. ALL OTHER PRODUCT OR SERVICE NAMES ARE THE PROPERTY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE OWNERS. © 2021 NXP B.V.



#### QUANTIFYING THE CURSE





A lot of attacks published.



Some countermeasures.





#### QUANTIFYING THE CURSE



PUBLIC

NP



#### QUANTIFYING THE CURSE



 $\ominus$ 

Systematic Study of Decryption and Re-Encryption Leakage: the Case of Kyber

Melissa Azouaoui<sup>1</sup>, Olivier Bronchain<sup>2</sup>, Clément Hoffmann<sup>2</sup>, Yulia Kuzovkova<sup>1</sup>, Tobias Schneider<sup>1</sup>, François-Xavier Standaert<sup>2</sup>



Target: 1M traces SCA security



Target: 1M traces SCA security

Noise Level





PUBLIC

NP













Count leaking variables for Chosen Ciphertext Attack





NP







Disclaimer: Not a replacement for practical evaluations!

R.

















• Unprotected Kyber is (unsurprisingly) not sufficient for both noise levels





- Unprotected Kyber is (unsurprisingly) not sufficient for both noise levels
- There is a gap of roughly **x100** between the attacks for high(er) noise



- Unprotected Kyber is (unsurprisingly) not sufficient for both noise levels
- There is a gap of roughly **x100** between the attacks for high(er) noise

Can this be overcome through masking?

#### CASE STUDY: MASKED KYBER

Split variables into *d* shares.

Higher *d* = Higher security + Increased cost

Pre-Quantum: Certified industrial solutions d = 2-3



Number of Shares

#### CASE STUDY: MASKED KYBER

Split variables into *d* shares.

Higher *d* = Higher security + Increased cost

**Pre-Quantum:** Certified industrial solutions **d** = **2-3** 

#### For low noise:

- Known ciphertext  $\rightarrow$  d = 6
- Chosen ciphertext  $\rightarrow$  d = 8

FO leakage causes an increase of 2 shares.





#### CASE STUDY: MASKED KYBER

Split variables into *d* shares.

- Higher *d* = Higher security + Increased cost
- Pre-Quantum: Certified industrial solutions d = 2-3

#### For low noise:

- Known ciphertext  $\rightarrow$  d = 6
- Chosen ciphertext  $\rightarrow$  d = 8

FO leakage causes an increase of 2 shares.

#### For high(er) noise:

- Known ciphertext  $\rightarrow$  d = 2
- Chosen ciphertext  $\rightarrow$  d = 3

FO leakage causes an increase of 1 share.



# Survival in the FO-CALYPSE



#### PUBLIC

NXP, THE NXP LOGO AND NXP SECURE CONNECTIONS FOR A SMARTER WORLD ARE TRADEMARKS OF NXP B.V. ALL OTHER PRODUCT OR SERVICE NAMES ARE THE PROPERTY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE OWNERS. © 2021 NXP B.V.



#### **Higher-Order Masking**

## **Case Study:** Higher-order masked Kyber (M4) from [BGR+21] (with adapted A2B)

Overhead compared to unprotected (d=1):

| d=2  | d=3 | d=4  | d=5  | d=6  | d=7  |
|------|-----|------|------|------|------|
| 3.5x | 64x | 110x | 197x | 293x | 397x |



#### **Higher-Order Masking**

## **Case Study:** Higher-order masked Kyber (M4) from [BGR+21] (with adapted A2B)

Overhead compared to unprotected (d=1):

| d=2  | d=3      | d=4  | d=5  | d=6  | d=7  |
|------|----------|------|------|------|------|
| 3.5x | 64x      | 110x | 197x | 293x | 397x |
|      | High(er) |      |      |      | -    |



#### **Higher-Order Masking**

## **Case Study:** Higher-order masked Kyber (M4) from [BGR+21] (with adapted A2B)

Overhead compared to unprotected (d=1):

| d=  | =2  | d=3      | d=4  | d=5  | d=6  | d=7  |
|-----|-----|----------|------|------|------|------|
| 3.! | 5x  | 64x      | 110x | 197x | 293x | 397x |
|     | 18x | High(er) |      |      |      |      |



#### **Higher-Order Masking**

## **Case Study:** Higher-order masked Kyber (M4) from [BGR+21] (with adapted A2B)

Overhead compared to unprotected (d=1):



\* For this specific implementation + board.

Requires further stack usage optimization.





#### **Higher-Order Masking**

## **Case Study:** Higher-order masked Kyber (M4) from [BGR+21] (with adapted A2B)

Overhead compared to unprotected (d=1):



\* For this specific implementation + board

Requires further stack usage optimization.







**Alternative Solution:** Encrypt-then-Sign KEM (*work-in-progress*)

#### Replace FO check by **signature verification** for some use cases

- Uses less shares because no FO leakage
- Verification only with public values (no SCA protection)



**Alternative Solution:** Encrypt-then-Sign KEM (*work-in-progress*)

Replace FO check by **signature verification** for some use cases

- Uses less shares because no FO leakage
- Verification only with public values (no SCA protection)

#### **Example:** Kyber + Dilithium





Alternative Solution: Adapt the FO before standardization

Add a mechanism to avoid SCA-relevant chosen ciphertexts.

- Filter low-entropy ciphertexts [XPR+20]
- Does not cover border-failure SCA strategies

[XPR+20] Xu et al.:

Magnifying Side-Channel Leakage of Lattice-Based Cryptosystems with Chosen Ciphertexts: The Case Study of Kyber, IEEE-TC 2021

PUBLIC



Alternative Solution: Adapt the FO before standardization

Add a mechanism to avoid SCA-relevant chosen ciphertexts.

- Filter low-entropy ciphertexts [XPR+20]
- Does not cover border-failure SCA strategies

#### Randomize the re-encryption.

- Determinism a big factor in the SCA on the FO.
- Would reduce number of variables that can be (easily) predicted.

[XPR+20] Xu et al.:

Magnifying Side-Channel Leakage of Lattice-Based Cryptosystems with Chosen Ciphertexts: The Case Study of Kyber, IEEE-TC 2021



Alternative Solution: Adapt the FO before standardization

Add a mechanism to avoid SCA-relevant chosen ciphertexts.

- Filter low-entropy ciphertexts [XPR+20]
- Does not cover border-failure SCA strategies

#### Randomize the re-encryption.

- Determinism a big factor in the SCA on the FO.
- Would reduce number of variables that can be (easily) predicted.

#### Replace it with something completely new.

• Discussion zero-knowledge proof alternative [ABH+21]

[XPR+20] Xu et al.:

Magnifying Side-Channel Leakage of Lattice-Based Cryptosystems with Chosen Ciphertexts: The Case Study of Kyber, IEEE-TC 2021

[ABH+21] Azouaoui et al.: Systematic Study of Decryption and Re-Encryption Leakage: the Case of Kyber, COSADE 2022





FO leakage will complicate the integration of PQC KEM's.



FO leakage will complicate the integration of PQC KEM's.

#### Will it make it impossible?

Probably not in noisy environments.



FO leakage will complicate the integration of PQC KEM's.

#### Will it make it impossible?

Probably not in noisy environments.

But it comes at a price.

Further research required.



FO leakage will complicate the integration of PQC KEM's.

#### Will it make it impossible?

Probably not in noisy environments.

But it comes at a price.

Further research required.

CONTACT: <u>PQC@NXP.COM</u> | NXP.COM/PQC PQC-FORUM: <u>PQC-FORUM/C/IVBJKCYTOOG</u>







### SECURE CONNECTIONS FOR A SMARTER WORLD

NXP, THE NXP LOGO AND NXP SECURE CONNECTIONS FOR A SMARTER WORLD ARE TRADEMARKS OF NXP B.V. ALL OTHER PRODUCT OR SERVICE NAMES ARE THE PROPERTY OF THEIR RESPECTIVE OWNERS. © 2021 NXP B.V.