Anonymous Whistleblowing over Authenticated Channels

Thomas Agrikola, Geoffroy Couteau, Sven Maier

November 10, 2022

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ < ■ ▶ ■ ● ○ Q @ 1/12

Motivation Why Anonymous Whistleblowing?



Reality Winner, Former N.S.A. Translator, Gets More Than 5 Years in Leak of Russian Hacking Report



Reality Winner received the longest sentence ever imposed in federal court for an unsufficrized release of government information to the media, prosecutors said. Michael Halahau/The Augusta Chreeicle, via Associated Press

Images taken from https://archive.vanityfair.com/article/2014/5/the-snowden-saga and

https://www.nytimes.com/2018/08/23/us/reality-winner-nsa-sentence.html.

Motivation Anonymous Whistleblowing

> Remedy: Anonymization networks (*e.g.* TOR (Dingledine, Mathewson, and Syverson 2004), Mix-Nets (Chaum 2003), Riposte (Corrigan-Gibbs, Boneh, and Mazières 2015), Blinder (Abraham, Pinkas, and Yanai 2020), DC-nets (Chaum 1988) ...)

Implicit assumptions:

- 1. Sufficient amount of entropy \Rightarrow this is inherent
- Some trusted or non-colluding party is involved at some point.
 ⇒ is this assumption inherent, too?

Formalization

Participants viewpoint:



Correctness: Message is delivered correctly with probability $\geq \varepsilon$.

Receivers viewpoint:



Anonymity: Receiver can determine sender with probability $\leq 1 - rac{\delta}{2}.$

Anonymous Transfer Impossibility

Theorem No asymptotically secure AT protocol can have $\varepsilon \in \text{owhl}(\kappa)$ and $\delta \in \text{owhl}(\kappa)$.

< □ > < @ > < E > < E > ● ● ● ● 5/12

Non-Interactive

Non-Interactive AT:





< □ ▶ < @ ▶ < 볼 ▶ < 볼 ▶ 볼 · ♡ < ♡ 6/12





Intuition:

- Either final round contributes much to the correctness \implies anonymity can be attacked as before.
 - Or output is already fixed from previous rounds
 - \implies round-reduced protocol with (approximately) the same correctness.

Eventually inductive round-reductions yield single-round protocol: can use the argument from above.

Theorem

There is no asymptotically secure Anonymous Transfer protocol with $\varepsilon \in \text{owhl}(\kappa)$ and $\delta \in \text{owhl}(\kappa)$.

Compromises:

- linvestigate fixed anonymity δ .
- Investigate in the fine-grained setting.
- Use a strong form of obfuscation called *Ideal Obfuscation* (the existence of which does not contradict the impossibility proof).

◆□ → < □ → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ の Q ○ 9/12</p>

Seven Worlds



(ロ) (母) (目) (目) (日) (10/12)

Anonymous Transfer Philosophical Implications

Separation between Asymptotic and Fine-Grained Worlds:

Previous work exist.

- Fine-Grained Public-Key Encryption (FG-*Cryptomania*) from Exponentially Secure One-Way Functions (*Minicrypt*) due to Biham, Goren, and Ishai 2008.

- Anonymous Transfer is in *classical impossibilitopia*.
- ▶ Is Anonymous Transfer in *fine-grained obfustopia*?
 - \implies Open question.
 - \implies Requires construction with *overwhelming* anonymity.

Conclusion

Anonymous Transfer: Transfer a bit while hiding in a group of potential senders. Senders are unaware of the transfer and *do not* need to follow any given protocol.

Impossibility: There's no AT with perfect correctness and anonymity.

Instantiation: Even fine-grained instantiations are non-trivial.

Open Question: Is there an instantiation in the fine-grained world with overwhelming anonymity *and* correctness?

⇒ Would imply separation of asymptotic and fine-grained cryptography at the *highest level* of Impagliazzo (1995).