# Counting Unpredictable Bits: Simple PRG from OWFs

Noam Mazor Rafael Pass (Cornell Tech)(Tel Aviv University & Cornell Tech)

## **One-Way Functions**



# **One-Way Functions**

• The minimal assumption for cryptography



# **One-Way Functions**

- The minimal assumption for cryptography
- Can be used to construct many useful primitives



Basic result in crypto [Hastad-Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby]

Basic result in crypto [Hastad-Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby]

[Holenstein], [Haitner-Harnik-Reingold], [Haitner-Reingold-Vadhan], [Vadhan-Zheng], [Haitner-Vadhan]

Basic result in crypto [Hastad-Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby]

[Holenstein], [Haitner-Harnik-Reingold], [Haitner-Reingold-Vadhan], [Vadhan-Zheng], [Haitner-Vadhan]

• Much simpler, better efficiency

Basic result in crypto [Hastad-Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby]

[Holenstein], [Haitner-Harnik-Reingold], [Haitner-Reingold-Vadhan], [Vadhan-Zheng], [Haitner-Vadhan]

- Much simpler, better efficiency
- Still too complicated to teach in graduate course

Basic result in crypto [Hastad-Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby]

[Holenstein], [Haitner-Harnik-Reingold], [Haitner-Reingold-Vadhan], [Vadhan-Zheng], [Haitner-Vadhan]

- Much simpler, better efficiency
- Still too complicated to teach in graduate course

This work: Simple proof for the non-uniform setting

Basic result in crypto [Hastad-Impagliazzo-Levin-Luby]

[Holenstein], [Haitner-Harnik-Reingold], [Haitner-Reingold-Vadhan], [Vadhan-Zheng], [Haitner-Vadhan]

- Much simpler, better efficiency
- Still too complicated to teach in graduate course

<u>This work</u>: Simple proof for the non-uniform setting with better parameters

General approach:

General approach:

1. Start with a weaker notion of pseudorandomness

General approach:

- 1. Start with a weaker notion of pseudorandomness
- 2. Amplify it

General approach:

- 1. Start with a weaker notion of pseudorandomness
- 2. Amplify it

[HILL]: Pseudoentropy

General approach:

- 1. Start with a weaker notion of pseudorandomness
- 2. Amplify it

[HILL]: Pseudoentropy
[HRV]: Next-Block Pseudoentropy

General approach:

- 1. Start with a weaker notion of pseudorandomness
- 2. Amplify it

[HILL]: Pseudoentropy [HRV]: Next-Block Pseudoentropy

Key insight: A simple weak notion of pseudorandomness

General approach:

- 1. Start with a weaker notion of pseudorandomness
- 2. Amplify it

[HILL]: Pseudoentropy [HRV]: Next-Block Pseudoentropy

<u>Key insight</u>: A simple weak notion of pseudorandomness Bits Unpredictability - Counting unpredictable bits

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

• For every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$  by poly-time TM

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

- For every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$  by poly-time TM
- [Yao '82]: Next-bit unpredictability ⇔ Pseudorandomness

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

- For every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$  by poly-time TM
- [Yao '82]: Next-bit unpredictability ⇔ Pseudorandomness

Bits Unpredictability: Some of the bits are unpredictable

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

- For every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$  by poly-time TM
- [Yao '82]: Next-bit unpredictability ⇔ Pseudorandomness

Bits Unpredictability: Some of the bits are unpredictable

• For every  $i \in S \subseteq [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$ 

Let  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

- For every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$  by poly-time TM
- [Yao '82]: Next-bit unpredictability ⇔ Pseudorandomness

Bits Unpredictability: Some of the bits are unpredictable

- For every  $i \in S \subseteq [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$
- *S* is a random variable, can be dependent on *X*

Let  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ 

Next-Bit Unpredictability [Blum-Micali]:

- For every  $i \in [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$  by poly-time TM
- [Yao '82]: Next-bit unpredictability ⇔ Pseudorandomness

Bits Unpredictability: Some of the bits are unpredictable

- For every  $i \in S \subseteq [n]$ ,  $X_i$  is hard to predict given  $X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}$
- *S* is a random variable, can be dependent on *X*
- Want *S* to be large

1. Constructing Bits Unpredictability from one-way function

- 1. Constructing Bits Unpredictability from one-way function
- Converting Bits Unpredictability to pseudorandomness ([HRV], but easier to analyize)

- 1. Constructing Bits Unpredictability from one-way function
- Converting Bits Unpredictability to pseudorandomness ([HRV], but easier to analyize)

Relying on simple tools (Goldriech-Levin, Chernoff, ...)

# Part I: OWF $\Rightarrow$ Bits Unpredictability

## OWF ⇒ Bits Unpredictability

# OWF ⇒ Bits Unpredictability

• Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function

# OWF ⇒ Bits Unpredictability

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let *M* be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$
- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let *M* be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

$$\begin{split} M(x) &= M \cdot x = \langle M_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle M_n, x \rangle \\ \text{For } M &= (M_1, \dots, M_n) \end{split}$$

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let M be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

The construction:

$$\begin{split} M(x) &= M \cdot x = \langle M_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle M_n, x \rangle \\ \text{For } M &= (M_1, \dots, M_n) \end{split}$$

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let *M* be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$

The construction:

$$M \qquad \begin{array}{c} n \\ M(f(X)) \\ M(X) \end{array}$$

 $g_M(X) = M(f(X)), M(X)$ 

$$\begin{split} M(x) &= M \cdot x = \langle M_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle M_n, x \rangle \\ \text{For } M &= (M_1, \dots, M_n) \end{split}$$

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let M be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$



 $g_M(X)$  is not pseudorandom (given M)

$$\begin{split} M(x) &= M \cdot x = \langle M_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle M_n, x \rangle \\ \text{For } M &= (M_1, \dots, M_n) \end{split}$$

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let *M* be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$



g<sub>M</sub>(X) is not pseudorandom (given M)
Can find X from M, M(X)

$$\begin{split} M(x) &= M \cdot x = \langle M_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle M_n, x \rangle \\ & \text{For } M = (M_1, \dots, M_n) \end{split}$$

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let *M* be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$



g<sub>M</sub>(X) is not pseudorandom (given M)
Can find X from M, M(X)
What happens if we read it bit-by-bit?

$$\begin{split} M(x) &= M \cdot x = \langle M_1, x \rangle, \dots, \langle M_n, x \rangle \\ \text{For } M &= (M_1, \dots, M_n) \end{split}$$

- Let  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be a one-way function
- Let M be a random  $n \times n$  binary matrix, and  $X \leftarrow \{0,1\}^n$



g<sub>M</sub>(X) is not pseudorandom (given M)
Can find X from M, M(X)

What happens if we read it bit-by-bit?

<u>Thm</u>:

 $g_M(X)$  has  $(n + \log n)$  Bits Unpredictability (given M).



Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $\left(\left|f^{-1}(f(x))\right| = 2^r\right)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]



Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$$

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X) \\ n - r$$

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.



Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.  $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

n-r  $r + \log n$ Pf: Similar to construction of PRG from regular one-way functions.

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.  $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

n-r

 $r + \log n$ 

<u>Pf</u>: Similar to construction of PRG from regular one-way functions.

• f(X) is a flat distribution over  $2^n/2^r$  images.  $(H_{\infty}(f(X)) = n - r)$ 

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.  $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

n-r

 $r + \log n$ 

<u>Pf</u>: Similar to construction of PRG from regular one-way functions.

- f(X) is a flat distribution over  $2^n/2^r$  images.  $(H_{\infty}(f(X)) = n r)$ 
  - Can extract  $\approx n r$  random bits (Leftover hash lemma)

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

Claim: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.

M(f(X))

n-r

M(X)

 $r + \log n$ 

М

<u>Pf</u>: Similar to construction of PRG from regular one-way functions.

- f(X) is a flat distribution over  $2^n/2^r$  images.  $(H_{\infty}(f(X)) = n r)$ 
  - Can extract  $\approx n r$  random bits (Leftover hash lemma)
- $X|_{f(X)}$  is a flat distribution over  $2^r$  pre-images.  $(H_{\infty}(X|_{f(X)}) = r)$

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

Claim: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.

M(f(X))

n-r

M(X)

 $r + \log n$ 

M

<u>Pf</u>: Similar to construction of PRG from regular one-way functions.

- f(X) is a flat distribution over  $2^n/2^r$  images.  $(H_{\infty}(f(X)) = n r)$ 
  - Can extract  $\approx n r$  random bits (Leftover hash lemma)
- $X|_{f(X)}$  is a flat distribution over  $2^r$  pre-images.  $(H_{\infty}(X|_{f(X)}) = r)$ 
  - Can extract *r* random bits + log *n* pseudorandom bits (GL)

Assume  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  is r-regular  $(|f^{-1}(f(x))| = 2^r)$  [Goldreich-Krawczyk-Luby]

<u>Claim</u>: The first  $\approx n - r$  bits of M(f(X)), and the first  $r + \log n$  bits of M(X) are unpredictable.



When reading  $g_M(X)$  bit-by-bit, there are  $n + \log n$  unpredictable bits!

What about arbitrary OWFs?

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$$

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

 $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

 $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

<u>Main observation</u>: Every function is a combination of regular functions.

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

 $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

<u>Main observation</u>: Every function is a combination of regular functions.

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

 $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

<u>Main observation</u>: Every function is a combination of regular functions.

• For every  $x | \text{et } r(x) = \log |f^{-1}(f(x))|$ 

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$$

<u>Main observation</u>: Every function is a combination of regular functions.

- For every  $x \operatorname{let} r(x) = \log |f^{-1}(f(x))|$
- Let  $D_j = \{x: r(x) = j\}$  for j = 1, ..., n

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$$

<u>Main observation</u>: Every function is a combination of regular functions.

- For every  $x \operatorname{let} r(x) = \log |f^{-1}(f(x))|$
- Let  $D_j = \{x: r(x) = j\}$  for j = 1, ..., n
- $f_j = f|_{D_j}: D_j \to \{0,1\}^n$  is *j*-regular

What about arbitrary OWFs?

Same construction works

 $M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$ 

Main observation: Every function is a combination of regular functions.

- For every  $x | \text{et } r(x) = \log |f^{-1}(f(x))|$
- Let  $D_j = \{x: r(x) = j\}$  for j = 1, ..., n
- $f_j = f|_{D_j}: D_j \to \{0,1\}^n$  is *j*-regular

 $g_M(X)$  is a convex combination of distributions with  $(n + \log n)$  Bits Unpredictability.

# Part II: Bits Unpredictability ⇒ PRG

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$$

For any OWF f,  $g_M(X)$  has  $(n + \log n)$ -bits unpredictability

$$M \qquad M(f(X)) \qquad M(X)$$

For any OWF f,  $g_M(X)$  has  $(n + \log n)$ -bits unpredictability

 $g_M(X)$  is not pseudorandom

M(f(X))M(X)

For any OWF f,  $g_M(X)$  has  $(n + \log n)$ -bits unpredictability

 $g_M(X)$  is not pseudorandom

• Must use the next-bit property

M(f(X))M(X)

For any OWF f,  $g_M(X)$  has  $(n + \log n)$ -bits unpredictability

 $g_M(X)$  is not pseudorandom

• Must use the next-bit property

M(f(X)) M(X)

Simple construction ([HRV])

Problem: We don't know which bits are unpredictable

Problem: We don't know which bits are unpredictable

• Maybe the *i*-th bit is always predictable

Problem: We don't know which bits are unpredictable

• Maybe the *i*-th bit is always predictable


# Step 1: Bits Unpred. $\rightarrow$ Random Bits Unpred.

Problem: We don't know which bits are unpredictable

• Maybe the *i*-th bit is always predictable



# Step 1: Bits Unpred. $\rightarrow$ Random Bits Unpred.

Problem: We don't know which bits are unpredictable

• Maybe the *i*-th bit is always predictable



### Step 1: Bits Unpred. $\rightarrow$ Random Bits Unpred.

Problem: We don't know which bits are unpredictable

• Maybe the *i*-th bit is always predictable



 $g_M^n(X_1, \dots, X_n, I) = g_M(X_1)_{\geq I}, g_M(X_2), \dots, g_M(X_n)_{< I}$ 







Here we improve parameters over [HRV]



Bits Unpredictabilty

Bits Unpredictabilty

• Simpler Proof

Bits Unpredictabilty

- Simpler Proof
- Better Parameters

Bits Unpredictabilty

- Simpler Proof
- Better Parameters

 $OWF \rightarrow Bits Unpredictability$ 

Bits Unpredictabilty

- Simpler Proof
- Better Parameters

 $OWF \rightarrow Bits Unpredictability$ 

•  $g_M(X) = M(f(X)), M(X)$ 

Bits Unpredictabilty

- Simpler Proof
- Better Parameters

OWF → Bits Unpredictability •  $g_M(X) = M(f(X)), M(X)$ Bits Unpredictabity → PRG

Bits Unpredictabilty

- Simpler Proof
- Better Parameters

 $OWF \rightarrow Bits Unpredictability$ 

•  $g_M(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X})), \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X})$ 

Bits Unpredictabity  $\rightarrow$  PRG

<u>Question</u>: Simplifying other proofs/constructions

Bits Unpredictabilty

- Simpler Proof
- Better Parameters

 $OWF \rightarrow Bits Unpredictability$ 

•  $g_M(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X})), \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{X})$ 

Bits Unpredictabity  $\rightarrow$  PRG

<u>Question</u>: Simplifying other proofs/constructions

Thanks!

# The Final Construction



# Bits Unpredictability – Formal Definition

<u>Def</u>:

 $g_M: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^m$  has k bit unpredictability if the following holds for every  $\epsilon \in 1/poly$ .

For every  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$  there exists a set  $S_x \subseteq [m]$ , such that  $|S_x| \ge k$ , and,  $\Pr_{M,X}[P(M, g_M(X)_{< i}) = g_M(X)_i \mid i \in S_X] \le \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ 

For *any* PPT *P*.

