# An Algorithmic Approach to (2, 2)-isogenies in the Theta Model and Applications to Isogeny-based Cryptography

Pierrick Dartois, <u>Luciano Maino</u>, Giacomo Pope and Damien Robert

Asiacrypt 2024

 $12^{\text{th}}$  December, 2024

• SIDH attacks relied on the computation of chains of 2-isogenies between elliptic products.

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  In dimension two: Richelot formulae and specific algorithms for gluing and splitting.

- SIDH attacks have introduced a new representation for isogenies between elliptic curves.
  - $\hookrightarrow\,$  KEMs: FESTA and QFESTA.
  - $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  SQI sign variants.

• SIDH attacks relied on the computation of chains of 2-isogenies between elliptic products.

 $\,\hookrightarrow\,$  In dimension two: Richelot formulae and specific algorithms for gluing and splitting.

- SIDH attacks have introduced a new representation for isogenies between elliptic curves.
  - $\hookrightarrow\,$  KEMs: FESTA and QFESTA.
  - $\hookrightarrow\,$  SQI sign variants.

#### Problem

We needed a faster way to compute (2, 2)-isogenies between elliptic products.

• The correct higher-dimensional generalisation of elliptic curves is *principally polarised abelian varieties*.

- The correct higher-dimensional generalisation of elliptic curves is *principally polarised abelian varieties*.
- In dimension two, we have *principally polarised abelian* surfaces (PPASes).
  - Products of elliptic curves,



- The correct higher-dimensional generalisation of elliptic curves is *principally polarised abelian varieties*.
- In dimension two, we have *principally polarised abelian* surfaces (PPASes).
  - Products of elliptic curves,
  - Jacobians of genus-2 curves.



- The correct higher-dimensional generalisation of elliptic curves is *principally polarised abelian varieties*.
- In dimension two, we have *principally polarised abelian* surfaces (PPASes).
  - Products of elliptic curves,
  - Jacobians of genus-2 curves.
- Isogenies between PPASes have kernels of rank two.
- An (N, N)-isogeny is an isogeny between PPASes whose kernel ≃ Z/NZ × Z/NZ.



## Chains of (2, 2)-isogenies between elliptic products

**Goal:** Compute the  $(2^n, 2^n)$ -isogeny  $\Phi: E_1 \times E_2 \to E'_1 \times E'_2$ 

## Chains of (2, 2)-isogenies between elliptic products

**Goal:** Compute the  $(2^n, 2^n)$ -isogeny  $\Phi : E_1 \times E_2 \to E'_1 \times E'_2$ We compute  $\Phi$  as a chain of (2, 2)-isogenies:

$$\Phi = \Phi_n \circ \ldots \circ \Phi_1$$



## Chains of (2, 2)-isogenies between elliptic products

**Goal:** Compute the  $(2^n, 2^n)$ -isogeny  $\Phi : E_1 \times E_2 \to E'_1 \times E'_2$ We compute  $\Phi$  as a chain of (2, 2)-isogenies:

$$\Phi = \Phi_n \circ \ldots \circ \Phi_1$$

- Gluing isogeny  $\Phi_1 : E_1 \times E_2 \to \mathsf{Jac}(\mathcal{C})$  (Howe, Leprévost, and Poonen, 2000).
- Splitting Isogeny  $\Phi_n : \operatorname{Jac}(\mathcal{C}) \to E'_1 \times E'_2$  (Smith, 2005).
- Richelot Isogenies  $\Phi_i : \operatorname{Jac}(\mathcal{C}_i) \to \operatorname{Jac}(\mathcal{C}_{i+1})$ , for  $i = 2, \ldots, n-1$  (Smith, 2005).



- Represent PPASes via the *theta model*.
- Very efficient formulae to perform arithmetic.
- We adapt these formulae to our use case.
- Compared to the state of the art:
  - Codomain computation is **ten** times faster.
  - Isogeny evaluation is **twenty** times faster.
- We can now compute "cryptographic-size" isogenies in matter of ms.

Let  ${\mathcal A}$  be a principally polarised abelian surface.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a principally polarised abelian surface. Let  $\mathcal{A}[4] = \langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle$  be a symplectic 4-torsion basis

• 
$$e(S'_1,T'_1)=e(S'_2,T'_2)=\mu,$$

$$\bullet \ e(S_1',S_2')=e(T_1',T_2')=e(S_1',T_2')=e(S_2',T_1')=1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a principally polarised abelian surface. Let  $\mathcal{A}[4] = \langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle$  be a symplectic 4-torsion basis

• 
$$e(S'_1, T'_1) = e(S'_2, T'_2) = \mu$$
,

• 
$$e(S'_1, S'_2) = e(T'_1, T'_2) = e(S'_1, T'_2) = e(S'_2, T'_1) = 1.$$

 $\langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle \rightsquigarrow \theta_{00}, \theta_{10}, \theta_{01}, \theta_{11}$ 

$$P \in \mathcal{A} \to (\theta_{00}(P) : \theta_{10}(P) : \theta_{01}(P) : \theta_{11}(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^3$$



Taken from nLab.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a principally polarised abelian surface. Let  $\mathcal{A}[4] = \langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle$  be a symplectic 4-torsion basis •  $e(S'_1, T'_1) = e(S'_2, T'_2) = \mu$ , •  $e(S'_1, S'_2) = e(T'_1, T'_2) = e(S'_1, T'_2) = e(S'_2, T'_1) = 1$ .

 $\langle S_1', S_2' \rangle \oplus \langle T_1', T_2' \rangle \rightsquigarrow \theta_{00}, \theta_{10}, \theta_{01}, \theta_{11}$ 

$$P \in \mathcal{A} \to (\theta_{00}(P) : \theta_{10}(P) : \theta_{01}(P) : \theta_{11}(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^3$$

The projective point  $(\theta_{00}(0) : \theta_{10}(0) : \theta_{01}(0) : \theta_{11}(0))$  is enough to describe  $\mathcal{A}$ .



Taken from nLab.

## Some operators

#### The Hadamard transform

We define  $(\tilde{\theta}_{00}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{10}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{01}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{11}(P)) = \mathcal{H}(\theta_{00}(P), \theta_{10}(P), \theta_{01}(P), \theta_{11}(P))$  to be the dual coordinates of P. Also  $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{H}(x, y, z, w) = (x, y, z, w)$ .

## Some operators

#### The Hadamard transform

We define  $(\tilde{\theta}_{00}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{10}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{01}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{11}(P)) = \mathcal{H}(\theta_{00}(P), \theta_{10}(P), \theta_{01}(P), \theta_{11}(P))$  to be the dual coordinates of P. Also  $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{H}(x, y, z, w) = (x, y, z, w)$ . The squaring operator:

$$S(x, y, z, w) := (x^2, y^2, z^2, w^2).$$

## Some operators

#### The Hadamard transform

We define  $(\tilde{\theta}_{00}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{10}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{01}(P) : \tilde{\theta}_{11}(P)) = \mathcal{H}(\theta_{00}(P), \theta_{10}(P), \theta_{01}(P), \theta_{11}(P))$  to be the dual coordinates of P. Also  $\mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{H}(x, y, z, w) = (x, y, z, w)$ . The squaring operator:

$$S(x, y, z, w) := (x^2, y^2, z^2, w^2).$$

The  $\star$  operator:

$$(x, y, z, w) \star (x', y', z', w') = (xx', yy', zz', ww').$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}[4] = \langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle$  be a symplectic 4-torsion basis.

Let  $\mathcal{A}[4] = \langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle$  be a symplectic 4-torsion basis. Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ , ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ . For all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\left(\theta_i^{\mathcal{A}}(P+Q)\right)_i \star \left(\theta_i^{\mathcal{A}}(P-Q)\right)_i = \mathcal{H}\left(\left(\tilde{\theta}_i^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P))\right)_i \star \left(\tilde{\theta}_i^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(Q))\right)_i\right).$$

Let 
$$\mathcal{A}[4] = \langle S'_1, S'_2 \rangle \oplus \langle T'_1, T'_2 \rangle$$
 be a symplectic 4-torsion basis.  
Let  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ , ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ .  
For all  $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\left(\theta_i^{\mathcal{A}}(P+Q)\right)_i \star \left(\theta_i^{\mathcal{A}}(P-Q)\right)_i = \mathcal{H}\left(\left(\tilde{\theta}_i^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P))\right)_i \star \left(\tilde{\theta}_i^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(Q))\right)_i\right).$$

We can obtain addition formulae:

- Differential addition: 8S + 17M,
- Doubling:  $8\mathbf{S} + 6\mathbf{M}$ .

The same formulae as in (Gaudry, 2005).

**Goal:** To compute the isogeny  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  with ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ .

**Goal:** To compute the isogeny  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  with ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ . Assume that we have an isotropic group  $\langle T''_1, T''_2 \rangle$  such that  $T'_i = [2]T''_i$ . **Goal:** To compute the isogeny  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  with ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ . Assume that we have an isotropic group  $\langle T''_1, T''_2 \rangle$  such that  $T'_i = [2]T''_i$ . Define  $(\alpha : \beta : \gamma : \delta) = (\tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{00}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{10}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{11}(0))$ . **Goal:** To compute the isogeny  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  with ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ . Assume that we have an isotropic group  $\langle T''_1, T''_2 \rangle$  such that  $T'_i = [2]T''_i$ . Define  $(\alpha : \beta : \gamma : \delta) = (\tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{00}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{10}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{01}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{11}(0))$ . One can prove:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{S}(\theta_{00}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1''), \theta_{10}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1''), \theta_{01}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1''), \theta_{11}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1'')) &= (x\alpha, x\beta, y\gamma, y\delta), \\ \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{S}(\theta_{00}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2''), \theta_{10}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2''), \theta_{01}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2''), \theta_{11}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2'')) &= (z\alpha, w\beta, z\gamma, w\delta), \end{aligned}$$

for some unknown x, y, z, w.

**Goal:** To compute the isogeny  $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  with ker  $\Phi = \langle T_1, T_2 \rangle$ , where  $T_i = [2]T'_i$ . Assume that we have an isotropic group  $\langle T''_1, T''_2 \rangle$  such that  $T'_i = [2]T''_i$ . Define  $(\alpha : \beta : \gamma : \delta) = (\tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{00}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{10}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{01}(0) : \tilde{\theta}^{\mathcal{B}}_{11}(0))$ . One can prove:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{S}(\theta_{00}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1''), \theta_{10}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1''), \theta_{01}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1''), \theta_{11}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_1'')) &= (x\alpha, x\beta, y\gamma, y\delta), \\ \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{S}(\theta_{00}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2''), \theta_{10}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2''), \theta_{01}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2''), \theta_{11}^{\mathcal{A}}(T_2'')) &= (z\alpha, w\beta, z\gamma, w\delta), \end{aligned}$$

for some unknown x, y, z, w.

Hence, we can recover the dual theta-null point  $(\alpha : \beta : \gamma : \delta)$  for  $\mathcal{B}$ , and in turn the theta-null point  $\mathcal{H}(\alpha : \beta : \gamma : \delta)$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ .

| Isogeny Type | Doubling                      | Codor                                      | Evaluation                                 |  |
|--------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
|              |                               | Precomputations                            | Codomain                                   |  |
| Normalised   | $8\mathbf{S} + 6\mathbf{M}$   | $4\mathbf{S} + 24\mathbf{M} + 1\mathbf{I}$ | $8\mathbf{S} + 10\mathbf{M} + 1\mathbf{I}$ | $4\mathbf{S} + 3\mathbf{M}$                |
| Projective   | $8{\bf S}+8{\bf M}$           | $5\mathbf{S} + 14\mathbf{M}$               | $8\mathbf{S} + 7\mathbf{M}$                | $4\mathbf{S} + 4\mathbf{M}$                |
| Gluing       | $12\mathbf{S} + 12\mathbf{M}$ |  | $8\mathbf{S} + 13\mathbf{M} + 1\mathbf{I}$ | $8\mathbf{S} + 10\mathbf{M} + 1\mathbf{I}$ |

- The formulae I showed assume we have  $T_1''$  and  $T_2''$  such that  $\ker(\Phi) = [4]\langle T_1'', T_2'' \rangle$ .
- The correction formula requires  $100\mathbf{M} + 8\mathbf{S} + 4\mathbf{I}$
- At the end of the chain, we are left with an elliptic product in theta coordinates.
- Switching to the Montgomery model for the two curves is not expensive.

Table 1: Running times of computing the codomain and evaluating a  $(2^n, 2^n)$ -isogeny between elliptic products over the base field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ . Times were recorded on a Intel Core i7-9750H CPU with a clock-speed of 2.6 GHz with turbo-boost disabled.

|          |     |                      | Codomain            |                     |                      | Evaluation           |                     |  |
|----------|-----|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--|
| 1        |     | Theta                | Theta               | Richelot            | Theta                | Theta                | Richelot            |  |
| $\log p$ | n   | Rust                 | SageMath            | SageMath            | Rust                 | SageMath             | SageMath            |  |
| 254      | 126 | $2.13 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $108 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $1028~{\rm ms}$     | $161~\mu{ m s}$      | $5.43 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $114~\mathrm{ms}$   |  |
| 381      | 208 | $9.05 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $201 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $1998~\mathrm{ms}$  | $411~\mu{ m s}$      | $8.68 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $208 \mathrm{\ ms}$ |  |
| 1293     | 632 | $463 \mathrm{\ ms}$  | $1225~\mathrm{ms}$  | $12840~\mathrm{ms}$ | $17.8 \mathrm{\ ms}$ | $40.8~\mathrm{ms}$   | $1203~\mathrm{ms}$  |  |

- We have shown formulae to compute  $(2^n, 2^n)$ -isogenies between elliptic products.
- Significant improvements in isogeny-based cryptography.
- Generalisation to four-dimensional elliptic products (Dartois, 2024).

# Thanks for your attention!

Questions?

#### Elliptic curves

In the case of an elliptic curve E:

 $P \in E \to (\theta_0(P) : \theta_1(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^1.$ 

#### Elliptic curves

In the case of an elliptic curve E:

$$P \in E \to (\theta_0(P) : \theta_1(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Consider  $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$  and let  $\alpha$  be a solution of  $\alpha + 1/\alpha = A$ .

#### Elliptic curves

In the case of an elliptic curve E:

$$P \in E \to (\theta_0(P) : \theta_1(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Consider  $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$  and let  $\alpha$  be a solution of  $\alpha + 1/\alpha = A$ . Define  $a = \sqrt{1 + \alpha}$  and  $b = \sqrt{\alpha - 1}$ .

 $E \rightsquigarrow (a:b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$ 

#### Elliptic curves

In the case of an elliptic curve E:

$$P \in E \to (\theta_0(P) : \theta_1(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Consider  $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$  and let  $\alpha$  be a solution of  $\alpha + 1/\alpha = A$ . Define  $a = \sqrt{1 + \alpha}$  and  $b = \sqrt{\alpha - 1}$ .

$$E \rightsquigarrow (a:b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$$

$$P = (X:Z) \mapsto (\theta_0(P):\theta_1(P)) = (a(X-Z):b(X+Z))$$

#### Elliptic curves

In the case of an elliptic curve E:

$$P \in E \to (\theta_0(P) : \theta_1(P)) \in \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Consider  $E: y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$  and let  $\alpha$  be a solution of  $\alpha + 1/\alpha = A$ . Define  $a = \sqrt{1 + \alpha}$  and  $b = \sqrt{\alpha - 1}$ .

$$E \rightsquigarrow (a:b) \in \mathbb{P}^1$$

$$P = (X:Z) \mapsto (\theta_0(P):\theta_1(P)) = (a(X-Z):b(X+Z))$$

Product theta structure on  $E_1 \times E_2$ 

 $(P_1, P_2) \in E_1 \times E_2 \mapsto (\theta_0^{E_1}(P_1)\theta_0^{E_2}(P_2) : \theta_1^{E_1}(P_1)\theta_0^{E_2}(P_2) : \theta_0^{E_1}(P_1)\theta_1^{E_2}(P_2) : \theta_1^{E_1}(P_1)\theta_1^{E_2}(P_2))$ 

We can also evaluate the isogeny  $\Phi$  at any point P:

$$\begin{split} (\tilde{\theta}_{00}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \tilde{\theta}_{10}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \tilde{\theta}_{01}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \tilde{\theta}_{11}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P))) &= \\ (\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}, \delta^{-1}) \star \mathcal{H} \circ \mathcal{S}\left((\theta_{i}^{\mathcal{A}}(P))_{i}\right), \end{split}$$

from which we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_{00}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \theta_{10}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \theta_{01}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \theta_{11}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P))) &= \\ \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\theta}_{00}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \tilde{\theta}_{10}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \tilde{\theta}_{01}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P)), \tilde{\theta}_{11}^{\mathcal{B}}(\Phi(P))). \end{aligned}$$