# Stochastic Secret Sharing with 1-Bit Shares and Applications to MPC

Benny Applebaum Eliran Kachlon









PIR

Sharing a Bit by Bits















 $s \in \{0,1\}$ 

















 $s \in \{0,1\}$ 

#### 1-bit shares!



*S*<sub>n</sub>

• *t*-privacy



 $s \in \{0,1\}$ 



- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness



 $s \in \{0,1\}$ 



- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- Multiplicative for t < n/2





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- Multiplicative for t < n/2





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- Multiplicative for t < n/2





- *t*-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- Multiplicative for t < n/2





- t-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- Multiplicative for t < n/2



#### $s \in \{0,1\}$

#### 1-bit shares!

52







 $S_n$ 

- t-privacy
- (t + 1)-correctness
- Linear over  $\mathbb{F}_2$
- Multiplicative for t < n/2

**Problem:** In Shamir we have  $|\mathbb{F}| > n$ 







**Problem:** In Shamir we have  $|\mathbb{F}| > n$ 

 $\Rightarrow \log(n)$ -bit shares even for 1-bit secrets!



**Problem:** In Shamir we have  $|\mathbb{F}| > n$ 

 $\Rightarrow \log(n)$ -bit shares even for 1-bit secrets!

 $\Omega(\log(n))$  is necessary for threshold secret sharing [CDN15]

• *t*-privacy

- *t*-privacy
- $(t + \epsilon n)$ -correctness

*t*-privacy
(*t* + *\epsilon n*)-correctness
AG codes [CC06]
Random linear codes [CCGHV07]

*t*-privacy
(*t* + *\epsilon n*)-correctness
AG codes [CC06]
Random linear codes [CCGHV07]

Linear and multiplicative

•  $(t + \epsilon n)$ -correctness AG codes [CC06] Random linear codes [CCGHV07]

- Linear and multiplicative
- Share size =  $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$  bits!

AG codes [CC06]

Random linear codes [CCGHV07]

- *t*-privacy
  (*t* + *en*)-correctness
- Linear and multiplicative
- Share size =  $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$  bits!



• **Problem:** 1-bit shares require large gap  $\epsilon \ge 1/3$  [BGK20]



• **Problem:** 1-bit shares require large gap  $\epsilon \ge 1/3$  [BGK20]

• E.g. *t*-privacy vs. 
$$\left(t + \frac{n}{3}\right)$$
-correctness
#### Ramp Secret Sharing



- *t*-privacy
  (*t* + *en*)-correctness
- Linear and multiplicative
- Share size =  $O(\log(1/\epsilon))$  bits!
- **Problem:** 1-bit shares require large gap  $\epsilon \ge 1/3$  [BGK20]

AG codes [CC06] Random linear codes [CCGHV07]

- E.g. *t*-privacy vs.  $\left(t + \frac{n}{3}\right)$ -correctness
- Worse in existing constructions

• Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?

- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?

- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?

- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?



- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?
- Stochastic Secret Sharing



- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?
- Stochastic Secret Sharing
  - Probabilistic corruption



- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?
- Stochastic Secret Sharing
  - Probabilistic corruption
- Static Secret Sharing



- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?
- Stochastic Secret Sharing
  - Probabilistic corruption
- Static Secret Sharing
  - Worst-case corruptions



- Meaningful model for 1-bit shares?
  - Shamir-like features?
  - Useful for MPC?
- Stochastic Secret Sharing
  - Probabilistic corruption
- Static Secret Sharing
  - Worst-case corruptions
- Applications to MPC









• Stochastic corruption model





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability  $\boldsymbol{p}$
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners

• Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners
- Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners
- Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners
- Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about s





- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners



- Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s* (=Authorized set)
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about s



- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners



(=Authorized set)

- Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s* (=Unauthorized set)



- Stochastic corruption model
  - Every party is corrupted with probability p
  - Natural in some areas in cryptography
    - Leakage resilient circuits in the random probing model
    - Combiners
- Except with negligible error probability over the choice of bad parties:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s* (=Authorized set)
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s* (=Unauthorized set)

•  $\Rightarrow p < 1/2$ 



• *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares

- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2

- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$

- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative

- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code



- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code



- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code






- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code







- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code







- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code



- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code



- *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code



 $f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1)$ 

 $f(\alpha_2)$ 

 $s \in \{0,1\}$ 

 $f(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ 

Degree-m/2

 $f(0,\ldots,0)=s$ 

 $(\alpha_n)$ 

• *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares

- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code



- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code











*p*-stochastic secret sharing



*p*-stochastic secret sharing



- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code





• *n*-party SSS with 1-bit shares

- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code



- Based on Reed-Muller code
- Probabilistic construction of SSS with1-bit shares



- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code
- Probabilistic construction of SSS with1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2



- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code
- Probabilistic construction of SSS with1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(n)}$



- For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code
- Probabilistic construction of SSS with1-bit shares
  - For every p < 1/2
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega_p(n)}$
  - Linear









Not multiplicative









• Static secret sharing





- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold t





- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold t
  - Public randomness R





- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R



R



- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R



R



- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R







- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R
  - For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:







- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R



- For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:
- Correctness: Honest parties can recover s





- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold t
  - Public randomness R



- For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:
- **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*
- **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s*

R



- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R
  - For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s* (=Authorized set)
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s*



R

 $S_1$ 



 $S_2 S_3$ 

R

 $S_6$ 

 $S_5$ 

*S*<sub>4</sub>

- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R
  - For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s* (=Authorized set)
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s* (=Unauthorized set)

- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R
  - For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:
  - **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*
  - **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s* (=Unauthorized set)
  - Public randomness chosen once and for all





(=Authorized set)

R



- Static secret sharing
  - Threshold *t*
  - Public randomness R
  - For every *t* corrupt parties, except with negligible probability over *R*:

R

- **Correctness:** Honest parties can recover *s*
- **Privacy:** Corrupt parties have no info about *s* (=Unauthorized set)
- Public randomness chosen once and for all
  - Can be reused by the dealer and other dealers



(=Authorized set)



### From Stochastic to Static







## From Stochastic to Static



 $\boldsymbol{R}$ 



 $p\mbox{-stochastic secret sharing}$  with error  $\delta$ 

## From Stochastic to Static



R














 $\pi$ 







 $\pi$ 



- *n*-party static SS with 1-bit shares
  - Threshold t = 0.499n
  - Error =  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
  - Linear and multiplicative
  - Based on Reed-Muller code



• *n*-party static SS with 1-bit shares

- Threshold t = 0.499n
- Error =  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code

Probabilistic construction with 1-bit shares

Optimal

communication!

- Threshold t = 0.499n
- Error =  $2^{-\Omega(n)}$
- Linear
- Not multiplicative

• *n*-party static SS with 1-bit shares

- Threshold t = 0.499n
- Error =  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- Linear and multiplicative
- Based on Reed-Muller code

Probabilistic construction with 1-bit share

- Threshold t = 0.499n
- Error =  $2^{-\Omega(n)}$
- Linear
- Not multiplicative

Optimal computation! Amortized linear-size circuits!

Optimal

communication!

## **Applications: Communication Efficient MPC**

• Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits
- Error:  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits
- Error:  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits
- Error:  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

Circuit size O(|C|) per party!

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits
- Error:  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

Circuit size O(|C|) per party!

[Damgård,Ishai,Krøigaard10] [Genkin,Ishai,Polychroniadou15] [Garay,Ishai,Ostrovsky,Zikas17] [Goyal, Polychroniadou,Song21]

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits
- Error:  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

Circuit size O(|C|) per party!

[Damgård,Ishai,Krøigaard10] [Genkin,Ishai,Polychroniadou15] [Garay,Ishai,Ostrovsky,Zikas17] [Goyal, Polychroniadou,Song21]

 $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  bits

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication:  $O(|C| \cdot n)$  bits
- Error:  $2^{-\Omega(\sqrt{n})}$
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

Circuit size O(|C|) per party!

 $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  bits

Perfect security

[Damgård,Ishai,Krøigaard10] [Genkin,Ishai,Polychroniadou15] [Garay,Ishai,Ostrovsky,Zikas17] [Goyal, Polychroniadou,Song21]

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- **Online phase:** 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication: O(|C| · log<sup>3</sup> n)
  Error: negl(n)
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

Circuit size O(|C|) per party!

Perfect security

 $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  bits

[Damgård,Ishai,Krøigaard10] [Genkin,Ishai,Polychroniadou15] [Garay,Ishai,Ostrovsky,Zikas17] [Goyal, Polychroniadou,Song21]

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- **Online phase:** 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication: O(|C| · log<sup>3</sup> n)
  Error: negl(n)

•  $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model

• Works even in the real-time model

[Damgård,Ishai,Krøigaard10] [Genkin,Ishai,Polychroniadou15] [Garay,Ishai,Ostrovsky,Zikas17] [Goyal, Polychroniadou,Song21]

 $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  bits

Perfect security

- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication: O(|C| · log<sup>3</sup> n)
  Error: negl(n)
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model
- Works even in the real-time model



- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication: O(|C| · log<sup>3</sup> n)
  Error: negl(n)
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model
- Works even in the real-time model



- Passive static security against t = 0.499n parties
- Offline phase: O(|C|) bits per party
- Online phase: 1-bit per gate!
- Total communication: O(|C| · log<sup>3</sup> n)
  Error: negl(n)
- $O(|C| \cdot \log(n))$  time in RAM model
- Works even in the real-time model



• Stochastic secret sharing

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes  $C, C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Applications to MPC
- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Applications to MPC
  - Secure computation of Boolean circuits

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Applications to MPC
  - Secure computation of Boolean circuits
  - Online communication: 1-bit per gate per party

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Applications to MPC
  - Secure computation of Boolean circuits
  - Online communication: 1-bit per gate per party
  - Real-time model

- Stochastic secret sharing
  - Stochastic corruption model
  - Linear codes C,  $C^{\perp}$  behave well over  $BEC \Rightarrow$  Stochastic secret sharing

**Thank You!** 

- 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Static secret sharing
  - Stochastic  $\Rightarrow$  static
  - 1-bit shares: Reed-Muller, random linear codes
- Applications to MPC
  - Secure computation of Boolean circuits
  - Online communication: 1-bit per gate per party
  - Real-time model