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## Adding Privacy to Blockchains

- How can we recover privacy?
- Instead of broadcasting a transaction, broadcast a proof of knowledge of a transaction
- Replace all "coins" with hiding commitments to their value
- Can hide information about transactions by making proofs zero knowledge
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## Related Work

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels
(1) Confidential transactions (CT) that just hide "internal transaction information
(2) Fully private transactions that hide relations betweeen transactions
- Former includes original CT protocol of Maxwell and Bulletproofs
- Latter includes original ZeroCash protocol, Zcash, Monero, etc.
- Private transaction more powerful, but also more expensive to prove


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
(1) A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
(1) A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"
(2) An arithmetization incorporating the reciprocal argument


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
(1) A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"
(2) An arithmetization incorporating the reciprocal argument
(3) A variant of the Bulletproof inner product argument for self-inner products called a "norm argument"


## This Work

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
(1) A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"
(2) An arithmetization incorporating the reciprocal argument
(3) A variant of the Bulletproof inner product argument for self-inner products called a "norm argument"
(9) Protocols for range proofs and CTs
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Recap: Multiset equality arguments

- Recall a multiset equality argument checks $\left(a_{i}\right)$ and $\left(b_{i}\right)$ represent the same multiset
- That is there exists permutation $\sigma$ such that $a_{i}=b_{\sigma(i)}$
- Simple protocol due to Groth and Bayer
(1) Commit to $\left(a_{i}\right),\left(b_{i}\right)$
(2) Choose random challenge $\beta$
(3) Check $\prod_{i}\left(\beta+a_{i}\right)=\prod_{i}\left(\beta+b_{i}\right)$
- Completeness follows from commutativity of multiplication
- Can we use addition instead of multiplication?
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## Reciprocal Argument

- Instead of products of $\beta+a_{i}$ use sums of $1 /\left(\beta+a_{i}\right)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities
- Given a sequence $\left(a_{i}, m_{i}\right)$ check all multiplicities for same $a_{i}$ sum to zero
(1) Commit to $\left(a_{i}, m_{i}\right)$
(2) Random $\beta$
(3) Commit to $r_{i}=m_{i} /\left(\beta+a_{i}\right)$
(9) Check $\sum_{i} r_{i}=0$ and $\left(\beta+a_{i}\right) r_{i}=m_{i}$
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Applications

- We use the reciprocal argument in two ways
- First to build a lookup argument
- Use this to build more efficient range proofs
- Second to build multi-asset confidential transactions
- This keeps both amounts and kinds of tokens private
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## Lookup Argument

- A lookup relation requires every $x_{i}$ belong to a table $t_{j}$
- That is, $\forall i: \exists j: x_{i}=t_{j}$
- Define $m_{j}$ to be the number of times $t_{j}$ occurs in $x_{i}$
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence $\left(\left(-1, x_{i}\right)\right) \cup\left(\left(m_{j}, t_{j}\right)\right)$
- Must have number of items smaller than field characteristic
- Use this to build range proof with larger bases
- $x \in\left[0, b^{n}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \exists d_{i} \in[0, b), x=\sum_{i} d_{i} b^{i}$
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## Multi-Asset Confidential Transactions

- List of inputs I and outputs $O$
- Each is a pair of an amount a and a type $t$
- Want that the amount of each type in I equals that in $O$
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence $\left(\left(a_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in I\right) \cup\left(\left(-a_{i}, t_{i}\right) \in O\right)$
- Must also verify amounts are small compared to characteristic
- More fundamental advantage of reciprocal argument
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## What I Have Not Discussed

- Norm argument
- Arithmetic circuits
- Incorporating reciprocal argument into arithmetic circuits
- How to build MACT protocol


# Questions? <br> ia.cr/2022/510 

