#### Bulletproofs++

#### Next Generation Confidential Transactions via Reciprocal Set Membership Arguments

Liam Eagen <sup>1, 2</sup> Sanket Kanjalkar <sup>2</sup> Jonas Nick <sup>2</sup> Tim Ruffing <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Alpen Labs

<sup>2</sup>Blockstream Research

May 30, 2024

Eagen

1/12

# Motivation

Blockchains

• Blockchains allow decentralizing payments

э

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

#### Motivation Blockchains

- Blockchains allow decentralizing payments
- Users broadcast transactions, which are added to a global ledger

Liam	

#### Motivation Blockchains

- Blockchains allow decentralizing payments
- Users broadcast transactions, which are added to a global ledger
- Everyone can see all transactions

∃ →

# Motivation

Blockchains

- Blockchains allow decentralizing payments
- Users broadcast transactions, which are added to a global ledger
- Everyone can see all transactions
- Problem: there is no privacy!

Motivation Adding Privacy to Blockchains

• How can we recover privacy?

Liam	

イロト イヨト イヨト

э

#### Motivation Adding Privacy to Blockchains

- How can we recover privacy?
- Instead of broadcasting a transaction, broadcast a proof of knowledge of a transaction

< ∃⇒

< (T) >

#### Motivation Adding Privacy to Blockchains

- How can we recover privacy?
- Instead of broadcasting a transaction, broadcast a proof of knowledge of a transaction
- Replace all "coins" with hiding commitments to their value

# Motivation

Adding Privacy to Blockchains

- How can we recover privacy?
- Instead of broadcasting a transaction, broadcast a proof of knowledge of a transaction
- Replace all "coins" with hiding commitments to their value
- Can hide information about transactions by making proofs zero knowledge

• Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

э

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels

э

I ∃ ►

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels
  - Confidential transactions (CT) that just hide "internal transaction information

э

글 제 제 글 제

Image: A matrix and a matrix

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels
  - Confidential transactions (CT) that just hide "internal transaction information
  - **②** Fully private transactions that hide relations betweeen transactions

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels
  - Confidential transactions (CT) that just hide "internal transaction information
  - ② Fully private transactions that hide relations betweeen transactions
- Former includes original CT protocol of Maxwell and Bulletproofs

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels
  - Confidential transactions (CT) that just hide "internal transaction information
  - 2 Fully private transactions that hide relations betweeen transactions
- Former includes original CT protocol of Maxwell and Bulletproofs
- Latter includes original ZeroCash protocol, Zcash, Monero, etc.

- Large body of work on adding private payments to blockchains
- Roughly breaks into two levels
  - Confidential transactions (CT) that just hide "internal transaction information
  - **2** Fully private transactions that hide relations betweeen transactions
- Former includes original CT protocol of Maxwell and Bulletproofs
- Latter includes original ZeroCash protocol, Zcash, Monero, etc.
- Private transaction more powerful, but also more expensive to prove

• In this work, focus on confidential transactions

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

э

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets

< A >

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
  - A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
  - A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"
  - An arithmetization incorporating the reciprocal argument

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
  - A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"
  - ② An arithmetization incorporating the reciprocal argument
  - A variant of the Bulletproof inner product argument for self-inner products called a "norm argument"

- In this work, focus on confidential transactions
- Want to hide amounts and types of assets
- Aim to achieve concretely small proof size, efficient verifier, without a trusted setup
- Four main contributions
  - A new generalization of multiset equality arguments called the "reciprocal argument"
  - An arithmetization incorporating the reciprocal argument
  - A variant of the Bulletproof inner product argument for self-inner products called a "norm argument"
  - Protocols for range proofs and CTs

Recap: Multiset equality arguments

• Recall a multiset equality argument checks (*a<sub>i</sub>*) and (*b<sub>i</sub>*) represent the same multiset

- < - 🖓 ▶ - <

Recap: Multiset equality arguments

- Recall a multiset equality argument checks (*a<sub>i</sub>*) and (*b<sub>i</sub>*) represent the same multiset
- That is there exists permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $a_i = b_{\sigma(i)}$

< 4<sup>3</sup> ► <

Recap: Multiset equality arguments

- Recall a multiset equality argument checks (*a<sub>i</sub>*) and (*b<sub>i</sub>*) represent the same multiset
- That is there exists permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $a_i = b_{\sigma(i)}$
- Simple protocol due to Groth and Bayer
  - Commit to  $(a_i), (b_i)$
  - **2** Choose random challenge  $\beta$
  - **3** Check  $\prod_i (\beta + a_i) = \prod_i (\beta + b_i)$

Recap: Multiset equality arguments

- Recall a multiset equality argument checks (*a<sub>i</sub>*) and (*b<sub>i</sub>*) represent the same multiset
- That is there exists permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $a_i = b_{\sigma(i)}$
- Simple protocol due to Groth and Bayer
  - **1** Commit to  $(a_i), (b_i)$
  - **2** Choose random challenge  $\beta$
  - **3** Check  $\prod_i (\beta + a_i) = \prod_i (\beta + b_i)$
- Completeness follows from commutativity of multiplication

Recap: Multiset equality arguments

- Recall a multiset equality argument checks (*a<sub>i</sub>*) and (*b<sub>i</sub>*) represent the same multiset
- That is there exists permutation  $\sigma$  such that  $a_i = b_{\sigma(i)}$
- Simple protocol due to Groth and Bayer
  - **1** Commit to  $(a_i), (b_i)$
  - **2** Choose random challenge  $\beta$
  - **3** Check  $\prod_i (\beta + a_i) = \prod_i (\beta + b_i)$
- Completeness follows from commutativity of multiplication
- Can we use addition instead of multiplication?

• Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$ 

Image: A mathematical states and a mathem

э

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check

э

< 3 >

< 4<sup>™</sup> ▶

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities
- Given a sequence  $(a_i, m_i)$  check all multiplicities for same  $a_i$  sum to zero

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities
- Given a sequence  $(a_i, m_i)$  check all multiplicities for same  $a_i$  sum to zero
  - Commit to (a<sub>i</sub>, m<sub>i</sub>)

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities
- Given a sequence  $(a_i, m_i)$  check all multiplicities for same  $a_i$  sum to zero
  - Commit to  $(a_i, m_i)$
  - 2 Random  $\beta$

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities
- Given a sequence  $(a_i, m_i)$  check all multiplicities for same  $a_i$  sum to zero
  - Commit to  $(a_i, m_i)$
  - 2 Random  $\beta$
  - 3 Commit to  $r_i = m_i/(\beta + a_i)$

- Instead of products of  $\beta + a_i$  use sums of  $1/(\beta + a_i)$
- This is the "logarithmic derivative" of the Groth Bayer check
- Reciprocal argument generalizes multiset argument to include multiplicities
- Given a sequence  $(a_i, m_i)$  check all multiplicities for same  $a_i$  sum to zero
  - Commit to (a<sub>i</sub>, m<sub>i</sub>)
  - 2 Random  $\beta$
  - 3 Commit to  $r_i = m_i/(\beta + a_i)$
  - Check  $\sum_i r_i = 0$  and  $(\beta + a_i)r_i = m_i$

Applications

• We use the reciprocal argument in two ways

∃ ⇒

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э

Applications

- We use the reciprocal argument in two ways
- First to build a lookup argument

★ ∃ >

< 4<sup>™</sup> ▶

Applications

- We use the reciprocal argument in two ways
- First to build a lookup argument
- Use this to build more efficient range proofs

< ∃⇒

< 1 k

Applications

- We use the reciprocal argument in two ways
- First to build a lookup argument
- Use this to build more efficient range proofs
- Second to build multi-asset confidential transactions

Applications

- We use the reciprocal argument in two ways
- First to build a lookup argument
- Use this to build more efficient range proofs
- Second to build multi-asset confidential transactions
- This keeps both amounts and kinds of tokens private

• A lookup relation requires every  $x_i$  belong to a table  $t_i$ 

Image: A matrix and a matrix

э

- A lookup relation requires every  $x_i$  belong to a table  $t_i$
- That is,  $\forall i : \exists j : x_i = t_j$

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

- A lookup relation requires every  $x_i$  belong to a table  $t_i$
- That is,  $\forall i : \exists j : x_i = t_j$
- Define *m<sub>j</sub>* to be the number of times *t<sub>j</sub>* occurs in *x<sub>i</sub>*

★ 3 → 3

< 4 → <

- A lookup relation requires every  $x_i$  belong to a table  $t_i$
- That is,  $\forall i : \exists j : x_i = t_j$
- Define *m<sub>j</sub>* to be the number of times *t<sub>j</sub>* occurs in *x<sub>i</sub>*
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((-1, x_i)) \cup ((m_j, t_j))$

Image: A matrix and a matrix

- A lookup relation requires every x<sub>i</sub> belong to a table t<sub>j</sub>
- That is,  $\forall i : \exists j : x_i = t_j$
- Define *m<sub>j</sub>* to be the number of times *t<sub>j</sub>* occurs in *x<sub>i</sub>*
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((-1, x_i)) \cup ((m_j, t_j))$
- Must have number of items smaller than field characteristic

- A lookup relation requires every x<sub>i</sub> belong to a table t<sub>j</sub>
- That is,  $\forall i : \exists j : x_i = t_j$
- Define *m<sub>j</sub>* to be the number of times *t<sub>j</sub>* occurs in *x<sub>i</sub>*
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((-1, x_i)) \cup ((m_j, t_j))$
- Must have number of items smaller than field characteristic
- Use this to build range proof with larger bases

- A lookup relation requires every x<sub>i</sub> belong to a table t<sub>j</sub>
- That is,  $\forall i : \exists j : x_i = t_j$
- Define *m<sub>j</sub>* to be the number of times *t<sub>j</sub>* occurs in *x<sub>i</sub>*
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((-1, x_i)) \cup ((m_j, t_j))$
- Must have number of items smaller than field characteristic
- Use this to build range proof with larger bases
- $x \in [0, b^n) \iff \exists d_i \in [0, b), x = \sum_i d_i b^i$

9/12

• List of inputs I and outputs O

Liam	

- List of inputs I and outputs O
- Each is a pair of an amount *a* and a type *t*

- List of inputs I and outputs O
- Each is a pair of an amount *a* and a type *t*
- Want that the amount of each type in I equals that in O

Liam	

- List of inputs I and outputs O
- Each is a pair of an amount a and a type t
- Want that the amount of each type in I equals that in O
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((a_i, t_i) \in I) \cup ((-a_i, t_i) \in O)$

- List of inputs I and outputs O
- Each is a pair of an amount a and a type t
- Want that the amount of each type in I equals that in O
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((a_i, t_i) \in I) \cup ((-a_i, t_i) \in O)$
- Must also verify amounts are small compared to characteristic

- List of inputs I and outputs O
- Each is a pair of an amount a and a type t
- Want that the amount of each type in I equals that in O
- Apply reciprocal argument to sequence  $((a_i, t_i) \in I) \cup ((-a_i, t_i) \in O)$
- Must also verify amounts are small compared to characteristic
- More fundamental advantage of reciprocal argument

• Norm argument

Liam	

æ

ヨト・イヨト

Image: A matrix and a matrix

- Norm argument
- Arithmetic circuits

< □ > < 同 >

э

- Norm argument
- Arithmetic circuits
- Incorporating reciprocal argument into arithmetic circuits

Liam		

- Norm argument
- Arithmetic circuits
- Incorporating reciprocal argument into arithmetic circuits
- How to build MACT protocol

# *Questions?* ia.cr/2022/510

Liam	

э

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト