Faster Amortized FHEW Bootstrapping using Ring Automorphisms

Gabrielle De Micheli (UCSD) Joint work with: Duhyeong Kim (Intel), Daniele Micciancio and Adam Suhl (UCSD)

PKC 2024

April 2024

Motivation/Goal

Main approaches to FHE bootstrapping.					
Bootstrapping	BGV/BFV	FHEW/TFHE			
Message space	Large (\mathbb{Z}_p^n)	Small (\mathbb{Z}_2)			
Latency	Slow (several minutes)	Fast (< 1 second)			
Amortized Time	Fast	Slow			

Main approaches to FHE Bootstrapping:

Our work:

- New algorithm to bootstrap *n* FHEW ciphertexts with very small overhead (≪ *n*) to a single FHEW bootstrapping.
- Previous work [M., Sorrell, ICALP 2018]: theoretically promising, but impractical due to very high overhead.
- Our work: Similar asymptotic amortized cost but much smaller overhead.

Bootstrapping for LWE Ciphertext

Bootstrapping: Homomorphic evaluation of decryption circuit

- $\operatorname{Dec}_{s}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n},b) = \lfloor b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \cdot s_{i} \rfloor$
- Bootstrapping keys: Enc(z₁),..., Enc(z_n)
- Bootstrap $(a_1, \ldots, a_n, b) =$

$$\left\lfloor b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot \operatorname{Enc}(z_i) \right\rfloor = \operatorname{Enc}\left(\left\lfloor b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot z_i \right\rfloor \right) = \operatorname{Enc}(m)$$



FHEW Bootstrapping [Ducas, M., Eurocrypt'15]

Homomorphic decryption "in the exponent".

- $Enc(z_i) = RGSW(X^{z_i})$ (i.e., RGSW register).
- A two-step process:
 - 1. Inner Product¹:

$$b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot \mathsf{Enc}(z_i) = \mathsf{RGSW}(X^{b + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot z_i})$$

2. Rounding (msbExtract):

$$\mathsf{RGSW}(X^{b+\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \cdot z_i}) \to \mathsf{LWE}(m)$$

¹Possible optimization: use RLWE ciphertexts with an external product.

Limitation of LWE bootstrapping

- Cost: O(n) homomorphic multiplications per message.
- Bootstrap *n* messages: total cost $O(n^2)$ crypto ops.
- Infeasible computational cost in practical FHE parameters (e.g., $n = 2^{14}$).

Amortized Bootstrapping

Utilize RLWE decryption instead of LWE decryption:

$$\mathsf{Dec}_{\mathsf{z}}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}) = \lfloor \mathsf{a} \cdot \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{b}
ceil$$

A three-step process:

1. Ring packing:

$$\{\mathsf{LWE}_s(m_i)\}_{i=0}^{d-1} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathsf{z}}(m(X)) \in \mathcal{R}_q^2$$

with some packing key.

Inner Product: For (a, b) = RLWE_z(m(X)), homomorphically compute

$$\mathbf{a}\cdot \boxed{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{b}$$

 Rounding (msbExtract): Compute homomorphic rounding for each coefficient of a · [z] + b.

Amortized Bootstrapping

Utilize RLWE decryption instead of LWE decryption:

$$\mathsf{Dec}_{\mathsf{z}}(\mathsf{a},\mathsf{b}) = \lfloor \mathsf{a} \cdot \mathsf{z} + \mathsf{b}
ceil$$

A three-step process:

Inner Product: For (a, b) = RLWE_z(m(X)), homomorphically compute

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}$$

FHEW vs FFT-based Solution

Goal: Compute $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}$ homomorphically.

п

One Solution: Fast Fourier Transform (over finite field)

- homomorphic operations: addition/subtraction and multiplication by "twiddle" factors powers of a primitive root of unity.
- less homomorphic operations $O(n \log n)$ compared to $O(n^2)$.



Previous work [M., Sorrell, ICALP'18]

The first work on amortized FHEW bootstrapping:

- Bottleneck: RGSW registers only support homomorphic addition.
- ▶ In theory: bootstrap *n* messages with $O(3^{\ell} \cdot n^{1+1/\ell})$ crypto ops, which gives $O(3^{\ell} \cdot n^{1/\ell})$ amortized cost.
- In practice: Even worse than O(n) sequential FHEW, due to very high overhead.

Our key observation:

- we "can" efficiently perform homomorphic scalar multiplication in RGSW register,
- ▶ and hence we "can" apply FFT for homomorphic INTT.
- better asymptotic complexity, smaller overhead.

A (very) brief description of FFT

General idea:

- evaluate degree-n polynomials at appropriate values (roots of unity) O(n log n)
- compute pointwise multiplication O(n)

Evaluation:

- compute a remainder tree
- each layer corresponds to a reduction of polynomials modulo other polynomials (operations: $a_0 + a_1\zeta + \cdots + a_k\zeta^k$)
- optimize algorithm: regroup the number of layers (radix).

Pointwise multiplication: similar operations needed.

What operations are needed homomorphically?



The feasability of these homomorphic operations depends on the encryption schemes considered: GadgetRLWE, RGSW.

More on the homomorphic operations ...

Message encoding: scalar values $v \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ are encoded in the exponent, *i.e.*, mapping it to the monomial X^v .

In our algorithm, we will work with both RGSW and RLWE' registers, i.e., RGSW/RLWE' encryptions of X^v for v ∈ Z_q.

With the schemes:



Ring automorphisms

▶ scalar multiplication: $a \times$ → automorphisms on GadgetRLWE.

Automorphisms: bijective map from the ring \mathcal{R} to itself : $\mathbf{a}(X) \mapsto \mathbf{a}(X^t), \ t \in \mathbb{Z}_q^*.$

Automorphisms in RLWE/RLWE' :

• RLWE ciphertext : $(\mathbf{a}(X), \mathbf{b}(X))$ under **sk**.

•
$$\psi_t : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{R}, \ \mathbf{a}(X) \mapsto \mathbf{a}(X^t).$$

• apply ψ_t to RLWE components:

$$(\mathbf{a}(X^t), \mathbf{b}(X^t)) = \mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathbf{sk}(X^t)}(\mathbf{m}(X^t))$$

▶ apply key switching function to get $RLWE_{sk(X)}(\mathbf{m}(X^t))$

Gadget RLWE \times RGSW Multiplication

• addition:
$$+$$
 \rightarrow GadgetRLWE \times RGSW multiplication

 $\textbf{Multiplication } \textbf{RLWE} \star \textbf{RGSW} \rightarrow \textbf{RLWE:}$

 $\mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathsf{sk}}(\mathsf{m}_1) \star \mathsf{RGSW}_{\mathsf{sk}}(\mathsf{m}_2) = \mathbf{a} \odot \mathit{RLWE}'_{\mathsf{sk}}(\mathbf{s} \cdot \mathsf{m}_2) + \mathbf{b} \odot \mathit{RLWE}'_{\mathsf{sk}}(\mathsf{m}_2)$

This operation allows to multiply two ciphertexts, which in the exponent acts like an addition.

An additional scheme-switching technique

- If we want to multiply a ciphertext by a scalar, we use automorphisms (for RLWE' ciphertexts only!): X^{a×c} = (X^c)^a (allows homomorphic exponentiation).
- ► If we want to **add** two ciphertexts (in the exponent) we use RLWE' × RGSW multiplication: $X^{c_1+c_2} = X^{c_1} \times X^{c_2}$
- A necessary scheme-switch:
 - ▶ In our algorithm, we primarily use RLWE' registers.
 - RGSW is only needed for multiplication.
 - We also introduce a novel scheme-switching method from RLWE' to RGSW.

Using Fast Fourier Transform

The **second step** of amortized boostrapping is: let $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{RLWE}_{z}(m(X))$: homomorphically compute decryption, *i.e.*, compute

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{b}$$

Main goal: compute a single polynomial multiplication $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$ using FFT.

Important: We have an encryption of z.

FFT-based multiplication algorithm: a \longrightarrow FFT(a) FFT(a · z) = FFT(a) * FFT(z) z \longrightarrow FFT(z) \longrightarrow * \longrightarrow FFT(a · z) $\xrightarrow{}$ FFT⁻¹ (a · z) What needs to be computed (homomorphically)

- 1. Compute an FFT of \mathbf{a} in cleartext form.
- 2. Evaluation key: contains RGSW registers of FFT(z).
- Homomorphically compute FFT(a · z) (pointwise multiplication)
- 4. Compute **inverse FFT**: $FFT^{-1}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z})$.

Operations performed:

 $a_i \zeta^j \rightarrow \text{to scalar multiply in the exponent, use$ **automorphisms**. $<math>a_i \zeta^j + a_{i'} \zeta^{j'} \rightarrow \text{to add two encrypted data, use}$ **scheme-switching** GadgetRLWE \rightarrow RGSW and then perform a **multiplication** GadgetRLWE \times RGSW. One layer of FFT: evaluation example Goal: compute $a_0 + a_1\zeta + a_2\zeta^2 + a_3\zeta^3$ • re-write as $\left((\boxed{a_3}\zeta + \boxed{a_2})\zeta + \boxed{a_1} \right)$ $+ a_0$ a_i are RLWE' ciphertexts a₂ a_0 a_1 a₃ SW SW SW a₃ ζ Mult $a_3 \zeta + a_2$ $(|a_3|\zeta + |a_2|)\zeta$ Mult a₁ Mult | a1 a_2 ((a₃ | a₀

Overview of the amortized bootstrapping scene

Scheme	Amortized	Modulus	Pros	Cons
	cost			
FHEW/TFHE	$\tilde{O}(n)$	Polynomial	-	Cost
[MS18]	$ ilde{O}(3^{rac{1}{\epsilon}}\cdot n^{\epsilon})$	Polynomial	Promising	Large overhead
Our work	$O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot n^{\epsilon})$	Polynomial	smaller over-	non-power-2
			head	cycl/Impractical
Guimarães,	$O(rac{1}{\epsilon} \cdot n^{\epsilon})$	Polynomial	smaller over-	Impractical
Pereira, Van			head	
Leeuwen, AC23				
Liu, Wang,	$\tilde{O}(n^{.75})$	Polynomial	_	Worse complex-
EC23				ity
Liu, Wang,	$ ilde{O}(1)$	Polynomial	Good com-	Impractical
EC23			plexity	
Liu, Wang,	$\tilde{O}(1)$	Super-	Best practi-	Large modulus
AC23		polynomial	cal perf.	

Conclusion and future work

Where we stand now:

- New methods to amortize FHEW bootstrapping overcoming practical limitations of [MS'18].
- No clear winning candidate in terms of practical performance.
- ▶ Performance gap between amortized FHEW and BGV/BFV.

What about an efficient implementation?

 Much needed: (better) support for general cyclotomics (other than powers-of-two) in FHE libraries.

Thank you !

What encryption schemes to use ?

Let $\mathcal{R}_q = q^{th}$ prime cyclotomic ring, q prime.

GadgetRLWE (RLWE'): consider a gadget vector

$$\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{k-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_q^k.$$

GadgetRLWE is expressed as a vector of RLWE ciphertexts:

 $\mathsf{RLWE'}(\mathbf{m}) = (\mathsf{RLWE}(v_0 \cdot \mathbf{m}), \cdots, \mathsf{RLWE}(v_{k-1} \cdot \mathbf{m}))$

▶ **RGSW:** For a message $\mathbf{m} \in \mathcal{R}_q$ and a secret key $\mathbf{z} \leftarrow \chi$, we define

 $\mathsf{RGSW}_{\mathsf{z}}(\mathsf{m}) = (\mathsf{RLWE'}(\mathsf{z} \cdot \mathsf{m}), \mathsf{RLWE'}(\mathsf{m})) \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathsf{q}}^{2 \times 2k}$

An important operation: \odot

- Main operation in our algorithm: scalar multiplication by arbitrary ring elements.
- One uses RLWE' with gadget vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \cdots, v_{k-1})$.
- ► The scalar multiplication: $\mathcal{R} \odot \mathsf{RLWE'}$ corresponds to $\odot : \mathcal{R} \times \mathsf{RLWE'} \rightarrow \mathsf{RLWE}$ defined as

$$\mathbf{t} \odot RLWE'_{\mathbf{sk}}(\mathbf{m}) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{t}_i \cdot RLWE_{\mathbf{sk}}(v_i \cdot \mathbf{m})$$
$$= RLWE_{\mathbf{sk}}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} v_i \cdot \mathbf{t}_i \cdot \mathbf{m}\right) = RLWE_{\mathbf{sk}}(\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{m})$$

where $\sum_{i} v_i \mathbf{t}_i = \mathbf{t}$ is the gadget decomposion of \mathbf{t} into "short" vectors \mathbf{t}_i .

RLWE'-to-RGSW scheme switching

Input RLWE'_{sk}(m)

 $\mathsf{Output} \ \mathsf{RGSW}_{sk}(m) = (\mathsf{RLWE}'_{sk}(sk \cdot m), \mathsf{RLWE}'_{sk}(m))$

- Goal: compute $RLWE'_{sk}(sk \cdot m)$.
- 1. Use $\mathsf{RLWE}'_{sk}(sk^2)$ given as part of the evaluation key.
- 2. Operate in parallel on each of the $RLWE_{sk}(v_i \cdot \mathbf{m})$, lifting each $RLWE_{sk}(v_i \cdot \mathbf{m})$ to $RLWE_{sk}(v_i \cdot \mathbf{sk} \cdot \mathbf{m})$.
- 3. For each $\mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathsf{sk}}(v_i \cdot \mathbf{m}) := (\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, compute

 $\mathbf{a} \odot \textit{RLWE}_{\mathbf{sk}}'(\mathbf{sk}^2) + (\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0}).$

Scheme switching (cont.)

- (b,0) = noiseless RLWE encryption of b · sk under secret key sk.
- Above computation gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \odot RLWE'_{\mathbf{sk}}(\mathbf{sk}^2) + (\mathbf{b}, 0) &= \mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathbf{sk}}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{sk}^2 + \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{sk}) \\ &= \mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathbf{sk}}((\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{sk} + \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{sk}) \\ &= \mathsf{RLWE}_{\mathbf{sk}}((v_i \cdot \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}) \cdot \mathbf{sk}) \end{aligned}$$

- We get RLWE_{sk}(v_i · sk · m), but with an additional error e · sk.
- Choose the secret key sk with small norm (e.g., binary) so that this multiplicative error growth remains small.

We are now ready for our homomorphic FFT!

Homomorphic pointwise multiplication

Goal: compute $FFT(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z})$.

What we have so far:

- FFT(a) = list of polynomials ã_i = a(x) (mod x^k − ζ_i) : computed in the clear for different values of ζ.
- encryption of FFT(z) = list of polynomials ž_i = z(x) (mod x^k − ζ_i) as part of the evaluation key.

What we do: we multiply $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i(x)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_i(x)$ modulo $(x^k - \zeta_i)$ (for all *i*).

Example:

$$a(x) \pmod{x^k - \zeta} = \tilde{a}_0 + \tilde{a}_1 x + \tilde{a}_2 x^2$$
$$z(x) \pmod{x^k - \zeta} = \boxed{\tilde{z}_0} + \boxed{\tilde{z}_1} x + \boxed{\tilde{z}_2} x^2$$

As $(x^k - \zeta)$ has such a nice form (!), we get a "simple" formula: Constant term: $\tilde{a}_0[\tilde{z}_0] + \zeta(\tilde{a}_1[\tilde{z}_2] + \cdots)$ More generally, the *j*-th coefficient of $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_i$ is equal to an **inner product**:

$$v_j = \langle (\boxed{\tilde{z}_0}, \cdots, \boxed{\tilde{z}_{k-1}}), (\tilde{a}_j, \tilde{a}_{j-1}, \dots, \tilde{a}_0, \zeta \tilde{a}_{k-1}, \dots, \zeta \tilde{a}_{j+1}) \rangle$$

 Compute this inner product homomorphically in a telescoping manner (see formula in paper).





The next step: inverse FFT

After pointwise multiplication, we have:

$$\overline{\mathsf{FFT}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z})} = \mathsf{list} \text{ of RLWE' encryptions of } X^{v_j}$$

 v_j : all coefficients of all products $\tilde{\mathbf{a}}_i \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_i$. What we want now: $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$, i.e. RLWE encryptions of the coefficients of $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$.

Goal: compute an homomorphic inverse FFT.

 can be reduced to a forward FFT with an additional multiplication.

Operations for Homomorphic inverse FFT

Input RLWE' registers, outputs of pointwise multiplication Output RLWE encryptions of $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{z}$.

- 1. Split registers into groups.
- 2. For each group, perform a standard (not primitive) forward FFT.
- 3. Homomorphically multiply each output register in each group by a power of the root of unity. (automorphism).

Focus on operations in forward FFT (step 2):

- FFT works with a remainder tree,
- At each layer, a child node is produced by taking an input polynomial and reducing it modulo X^k − ζ.
- Each reduction results in a computation of the form $\sum_{i} |a_i| \zeta^i$.

Analysis of our algorithm

How to evaluate the performance of our algorithm?

- ▶ we count the number of \odot operations, i.e, the number of $\mathcal{R} \odot$ RLWE' operations.
- we quantify the error growth in our algorithm (necessary for correctness).
 - in previous work, error analysis is done for power-of-2 cyclotomics.
 - in this work, we use prime cyclotomic rings
 - new error growth analysis (in the paper).
- The amortized cost per message is O(n^{1/ℓ} · log n · ℓ) homomorphic operations (in terms of the number of R ⊙ RLWE' operations).

Concurrent and follow-up works

- Amortized Bootstrapping Revisited: Simpler, Asymptotically-faster, Implemented, Antonio Guimarães, Hilder V. L. Pereira and Barry van Leeuwen at Asiacrypt 2023
 - Very similar algorithm with same asymptotic amortized cost.
 - Some technical differences:
 - Uses circular rings (Ours: cyclotomic rings),
 - Focuses on RGSW Register (Ours: RLWE).
- Batch Bootstrapping I:: A New Framework for SIMD Bootstrapping in Polynomial Modulus, Feng-Hao Liu and Han Wang at Eurocrypt 2023
- Batch Bootstrapping II: Bootstrapping in Polynomial Modulus Only Requires O(1) FHE Multiplications in Amortization, Feng-Hao Liu and Han Wang at Eurocrypt 2023
- 4. Amortized Functional Bootstrapping in less than 7ms, with $\tilde{O}(1)$ polynomial multiplications, Zeyu Liu and Yunhao Wang, at Asiacrypt 2023