# Reducing the Share Size of Weighted Threshold Secret Sharing Schemes via Chow Parameters Approximation TCC 2024, Milan

### Oriol Farràs — Miquel Guiot

Universitat Rovira i Virgili

6 December 2024





MINISTERIO PARA LA TRANSFORMACIÓN DIGITA Y DE LA FUNCIÓN PÚBLICA







Miquel Guiot (URV)

Theory of Cryptography Conference 2024, Milan

6 December 2024

### Secret Sharing Scheme

### Secret Sharing Scheme



### Secret Sharing Scheme



### Secret Sharing Scheme



### Secret Sharing Scheme



### Secret Sharing Scheme



### Secret Sharing Scheme

Cryptographic primitive that allows a dealer to **share** a secret among a set of parties, so that only **authorized** subsets of them can **recover** it.

#### Access Structure

Family of authorized subsets of a secret sharing scheme. It is denoted by  $\Gamma$ .

#### Secret Sharing Scheme

Cryptographic primitive that allows a dealer to **share** a secret among a set of parties, so that only **authorized** subsets of them can **recover** it.

Access Structure Family of **authorized subsets** of a secret sharing scheme. It is denoted by Γ.



### Secret Sharing Scheme

Cryptographic primitive that allows a dealer to **share** a secret among a set of parties, so that only **authorized** subsets of them can **recover** it.

#### Access Structure

Family of authorized subsets of a secret sharing scheme. It is denoted by  $\Gamma$ .

**Remark**: Access structures are expressed as monotone Boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

### Secret Sharing Scheme

Cryptographic primitive that allows a dealer to **share** a secret among a set of parties, so that only **authorized** subsets of them can **recover** it.

### Access Structure Family of **authorized subsets** of a secret sharing scheme. It is denoted by Γ.

**Remark:** Access structures are expressed as monotone Boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .



Theory of Cryptography Conference 2024, Mila

### Secret Sharing Scheme

Cryptographic primitive that allows a dealer to **share** a secret among a set of parties, so that only **authorized** subsets of them can **recover** it.

### Access Structure Family of **authorized subsets** of a secret sharing scheme. It is denoted by Γ.

**Remark:** Access structures are expressed as monotone Boolean functions  $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .



Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

#### Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme



### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

#### Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme



Theory of Cryptography Conference 2024, Milan

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

#### Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme



Theory of Cryptography Conference 2024, Milan

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme



Miquel Guiot (URV)

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

#### Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme



Miquel Guiot (URV)

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

#### Shamir Secret Sharing Scheme



Miquel Guiot (URV)

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

Theorem [Shamir'79]

Weighted threshold access structures admit schemes with share size  $O(w_i \log W)$ .

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

### Theorem [Shamir'79]

Weighted threshold access structures admit schemes with share size  $O(w_i \log W)$ .

### Problem [Håstadt'94]

There exists a weighted threshold access structure requiring weights of exponential size.

### Weighted Threshold Access Structure (WTAS)

Each party *i* has a weight  $w_i$  and  $\Gamma$  is given by a Weighted Threshold Function: (WTF).

$$\Gamma = \{ X \subseteq [n] : \sum_{i \in X} w_i \ge \sigma \}.$$

### Theorem [Shamir'79]

Weighted threshold access structures admit schemes with share size  $O(w_i \log W)$ .

### Problem [Håstadt'94]

There exists a weighted threshold access structure requiring weights of exponential size.

### Theorem [Beimel, Weinreb'06]

Weighted threshold access structures admit schemes with share size  $n^{O(\log n)}$ .

Miquel Guiot (URV)

Theory of Cryptography Conference 2024, Milan

#### Problem

Multiparty computation protocols and consensus mechanisms require efficient schemes for weighted threshold access structures.

#### Problem

Multiparty computation protocols and consensus mechanisms require efficient schemes for weighted threshold access structures.

Idea

To relax the restrictions on the access structure to obtain more efficient schemes.

#### Problem

Multiparty computation protocols and consensus mechanisms require efficient schemes for weighted threshold access structures.

#### Idea

To relax the restrictions on the access structure to obtain more efficient schemes.

### (t, T)-Ramp Weighted Threshold Access Structure

**Generalization** in which subsets **below** t are forbidden and subsets **above** T are authorized.

#### Problem

Multiparty computation protocols and consensus mechanisms require efficient schemes for weighted threshold access structures.

#### Idea

To relax the restrictions on the access structure to obtain more efficient schemes.

### (t, T)-Ramp Weighted Threshold Access Structure

**Generalization** in which subsets **below** t are forbidden and subsets **above** T are authorized.

Work	Share Size	Access structure
GJMSWZ'23	$w_i = 2^{O(n \log n)}$	$(t, t + \Omega(\lambda))$ -ramp WTAS
BHS'23 Rounding, TF'24	$O\left(\frac{n}{\beta-\alpha}\right)$	$(\alpha W, \beta W)$ -ramp WTAS
BHS'23 BS Channels	$\max\left\{\lambda^2, \operatorname{poly}\left(\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha}\right)\right\}$	$(\alpha W, \beta W)$ -ramp WTAS













# Question: How many subsets change their condition from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma'$ ?

### Introduction: Our Results

Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

### Introduction: Our Results

Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .
Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .



Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .



Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .



Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .



Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .



Goal: To find a good tradeoff between the efficiency and the accuracy of approximation.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .



The n + 1 values  $\hat{f}(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$  and  $\hat{f}(i) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)x_i]$  taking uniform distribution on its domain. The Chow vector is given by  $\chi_f = (\hat{f}(0), \dots, \hat{f}(n))$ .

The n + 1 values  $\hat{f}(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$  and  $\hat{f}(i) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)x_i]$  taking uniform distribution on its domain. The Chow vector is given by  $\chi_f = (\hat{f}(0), \dots, \hat{f}(n))$ .

### Theorem [Chow'61]

Any WTF is uniquely determined in the space of Boolean functions by its Chow parameters.

The n + 1 values  $\hat{f}(0) = E[f(x)]$  and  $\hat{f}(i) = E[f(x)x_i]$  taking uniform distribution on its domain. The Chow vector is given by  $\chi_f = (\hat{f}(0), \dots, \hat{f}(n))$ .

### Theorem [Chow'61]

Any WTF is uniquely determined in the space of Boolean functions by its Chow parameters.

Function & Chow Distance

• dist(f,g) = E[|f(x) - g(x)|]

The n + 1 values  $\hat{f}(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$  and  $\hat{f}(i) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)x_i]$  taking uniform distribution on its domain. The Chow vector is given by  $\chi_f = (\hat{f}(0), \dots, \hat{f}(n))$ .

### Theorem [Chow'61]

Any WTF is uniquely determined in the space of Boolean functions by its Chow parameters.

Function & Chow Distance

• dist(f,g) = E[|f(x) - g(x)|]

• 
$$\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{Chow}}(f,g) = \left\|\chi_f - \chi_g\right\|$$

The n + 1 values  $\hat{f}(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$  and  $\hat{f}(i) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)x_i]$  taking uniform distribution on its domain. The Chow vector is given by  $\chi_f = (\hat{f}(0), \dots, \hat{f}(n))$ .

### Theorem [Chow'61]

Any WTF is uniquely determined in the space of Boolean functions by its Chow parameters.

Function & Chow Distance

$$\operatorname{dist}(f,g) = \operatorname{E}[|f(x) - g(x)|] \quad \bullet \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{Chow}}(f,g) = \left\|\chi_f - \chi_g\right\|$$

Theorem [O'Donnell, Servedio'11 + De, Diakonikolas, Feldman, Servedio'14]

For any two Boolean functions f and g,  $\operatorname{dist}(f,g) = o(1) \iff \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{Chow}}(f,g) = o(1)$ .

The n + 1 values  $\hat{f}(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)]$  and  $\hat{f}(i) = \mathbb{E}[f(x)x_i]$  taking uniform distribution on its domain. The Chow vector is given by  $\chi_f = (\hat{f}(0), \dots, \hat{f}(n))$ .

### Theorem [Chow'61]

Any WTF is uniquely determined in the space of Boolean functions by its Chow parameters.

Function & Chow Distance

• 
$$\operatorname{dist}(f,g) = \operatorname{E}[|f(x) - g(x)|]$$
 •  $\operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{Chow}}(f,g) = ||\chi_f - \chi_g|$ 

Theorem [O'Donnell, Servedio'11 + De, Diakonikolas, Feldman, Servedio'14]

For any two Boolean functions f and g,  $\operatorname{dist}(f,g) = o(1) \iff \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{Chow}}(f,g) = o(1)$ .

### Idea

To use the Chow parameters as the **building block** for approximating WTFs.

Miquel Guiot (URV)

#### Theorem

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a **randomized algorithm** ApproximateWTF that given any monotone WTF over *n* variables *f*, outputs a monotone WTF *g* with the following properties:

#### Theorem

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a **randomized algorithm** ApproximateWTF that given any monotone WTF over *n* variables *f*, outputs a monotone WTF *g* with the following properties: • *g* is  $\varepsilon$ -close to *f*,

#### Theorem

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a **randomized algorithm** ApproximateWTF that given any monotone WTF over *n* variables *f*, outputs a monotone WTF *g* with the following properties:

• g is  $\varepsilon$ -close to f,

• g has weights of size 
$$O\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{O\left(\log^2\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}\right)$$
.

#### Theorem

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a **randomized algorithm** ApproximateWTF that given any monotone WTF over *n* variables *f*, outputs a monotone WTF *g* with the following properties:

• g is  $\varepsilon$ -close to f,

• g has weights of size 
$$O\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{O\left(\log^2\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}\right)$$
.

Remarks

#### Theorem

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a **randomized algorithm** ApproximateWTF that given any monotone WTF over *n* variables *f*, outputs a monotone WTF *g* with the following properties:

• g is  $\varepsilon$ -close to f,

• g has weights of size 
$$O\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{O\left(\log^2\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}\right)$$
.

### Remarks

• It is an adaptation of the work of De, Diakonikolas, Feldman, Servedio'14 to the monotone setting.

#### Theorem

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a **randomized algorithm** ApproximateWTF that given any monotone WTF over *n* variables *f*, outputs a monotone WTF *g* with the following properties:

• g is  $\varepsilon$ -close to f,

• g has weights of size 
$$O\left(\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{O\left(\log^2\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\right)}\right)$$
.

### Remarks

- It is an adaptation of the work of De, Diakonikolas, Feldman, Servedio'14 to the monotone setting.
- The approximation preserves the influence of the coordinates and the weight hierarchy.

 $f(x) = sign(w_1x_1 + ... + w_nx_n - t)$ 

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(w_1 x_1 + \ldots + w_n x_n - t)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\chi_f$$

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n - t)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\chi_f$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$g(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{f}(1) x_1 + \dots + \hat{f}(n) x_n - \hat{f}(0))$$

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n - t)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\chi_f$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$g(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{f}(1) x_1 + \dots + \hat{f}(n) x_n - \hat{f}(0))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\chi_g$$

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sign}(w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n - t)$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\chi_f$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$g(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\hat{f}(1)x_1 + \dots + \hat{f}(n)x_n - \hat{f}(0))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\chi_g \longrightarrow \|\chi_f - \chi_g\| \le 2\varepsilon?$$

$$h(x) = \hat{g}(0) - \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{f}(i) - \hat{g}(i))x_i \longleftarrow \mathsf{No}$$

### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

#### Idea

• Define a new access structure f' from f by discarding all parties with  $\hat{f}(i) < \frac{1}{2n \log^k(n)}$ .

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

- Define a new access structure f' from f by discarding all parties with  $\hat{f}(i) < \frac{1}{2n\log^k(n)}$ .
- **Q** Approximate f' using the algorithm ApproximateWTF to obtain g.

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

- Define a new access structure f' from f by discarding all parties with  $\hat{f}(i) < \frac{1}{2n \log^k(n)}$ .
- **2** Approximate f' using the algorithm ApproximateWTF to obtain g.
- So The weighted threshold access structure given by g has weights of size  $n^{1+o(1)}$ .

#### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

- Define a new access structure f' from f by discarding all parties with  $\hat{f}(i) < \frac{1}{2n \log^{k}(n)}$ .
- **2** Approximate f' using the algorithm ApproximateWTF to obtain g.
- **③** The weighted threshold access structure given by g has weights of size  $n^{1+o(1)}$ .
- Apply Shamir secret sharing scheme to g.

### Theorem

For any weighted threshold access structure  $\Gamma$ , there exists a secret sharing scheme with share size  $n^{1+o(1)}$  whose access structure  $\Gamma'$  is o(1)-close to  $\Gamma$ .

#### Idea

- Define a new access structure f' from f by discarding all parties with  $\hat{f}(i) < \frac{1}{2n \log^k(n)}$ .
- **2** Approximate f' using the algorithm ApproximateWTF to obtain g.
- **③** The weighted threshold access structure given by g has weights of size  $n^{1+o(1)}$ .
- Apply Shamir secret sharing scheme to g.

### Side Note

Efficient schemes for **any** weighted threshold access structure can be build from **computational assumptions**.
**Closing Remarks** 

• The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.
- Our proposal ensures almost linear share size at the cost of o(1) error.

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.
- Our proposal ensures almost linear share size at the cost of o(1) error.
- Moving towards the computational setting allows more efficient schemes.

# **Closing Remarks**

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.
- Our proposal ensures almost linear share size at the cost of o(1) error.
- Moving towards the computational setting allows more efficient schemes.

**Open Questions** 

# **Closing Remarks**

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.
- Our proposal ensures almost linear share size at the cost of o(1) error.
- Moving towards the computational setting allows more efficient schemes.

#### **Open Questions**

• Is there any scheme with polynomial share size for weighted threshold access structures?

# **Closing Remarks**

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.
- Our proposal ensures almost linear share size at the cost of o(1) error.
- Moving towards the computational setting allows more efficient schemes.

#### **Open Questions**

- Is there any scheme with polynomial share size for weighted threshold access structures?
- Can we improve the  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  lower bound for these access structures?

# **Closing Remarks**

- The share size of existing schemes for weighted threshold access structures have a strong dependency on the size of the weights.
- The state-of-the-art solution is to find a good tradeoff between efficiency and accuracy.
- Our proposal ensures almost linear share size at the cost of o(1) error.
- Moving towards the computational setting allows more efficient schemes.

#### **Open Questions**

- Is there any scheme with polynomial share size for weighted threshold access structures?
- Can we improve the  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  lower bound for these access structures?
- Can we relate the notion of distance with the ramp criteria?

# **Thank You!**



# Check the Full Version of our Paper!