Functional Commitments and SNARGs for P/poly from SIS

Hoeteck Wee

NTT Research

$$commit(crs, x) \mapsto \sigma$$



$$\mathbf{commit}(\mathbf{crs}, x) \mapsto \sigma$$

$$open(x,f) \mapsto \pi$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
x \\
\text{commitment}
\end{array}
+
\begin{array}{c}
f \\
\text{opening}
\end{array}$$



$$\mathbf{commit}(\mathbf{crs}, x) \mapsto \sigma$$

$$\mathsf{open}(x,f) \mapsto \pi$$

$$\mathbf{verify}(\mathbf{crs}, \sigma, f, \pi, y) \mapsto 0/1 \ y \stackrel{?}{=} f(x)$$

$$\begin{array}{c} x \\ \hline \\ \text{commitment} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} + \\ \hline \\ \text{opening} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f(x) \\ \hline \end{array}$$



$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{commit}(\mathbf{crs}, x) \mapsto \sigma \ \ \mathbf{small} \ll |x| \\ & \mathbf{open}(x, f) \mapsto \pi \ \ \mathbf{small} \ll |x| \\ & \mathbf{verify}(\mathbf{crs}, \sigma, f, \pi, y) \mapsto 0/1 \ \ \mathbf{fast} \ll \mathsf{time}(f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} x \\ \hline \\ commitment \end{array} \begin{array}{c} + \\ opening \end{array} \begin{array}{c} f(x) \\ \end{array}$$



[LRY16, IKO07]

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{commit}(\mathbf{crs}, x) \mapsto \sigma \quad \mathbf{small} \ll |x| \\ & \mathbf{open}(x, f) \mapsto \pi \quad \mathbf{small} \ll |x| \\ & \mathbf{verify}(\mathbf{crs}, \sigma, f, \pi, y) \mapsto 0/1 \quad \mathbf{fast} \ll \mathsf{time}(f) \end{aligned}$$

binding. hard to find σ that open to $y_0 \neq y_1$



this work

functional commitments for P/poly from SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O(1)$$

$$|\mathsf{commitment}| = O(1)$$

$$|\mathbf{opening}| = O(\mathsf{depth})$$

this work

functional commitments for P/poly from SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O(1) \ \mathbf{transparent}$$

$$|\mathbf{commitment}| = O(1)$$

$$|\mathbf{opening}| = O(\mathsf{depth})$$
 verification time $O(|x|)$ (after pre-processing)



this work

functional commitments for P/poly from SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O(1) \ \mathbf{transparent}$$

$$|\mathbf{commitment}| = O(1)$$

$$|\mathbf{opening}| = O(\mathsf{depth})$$
 verification time $O(|x|)$ (after pre-processing)

prior. non-standard SIS, poly(depth) factors
[ACLMT22, WW23, BCFL23, CLM23, FLV23, W25]

SNARGs for P/poly from SIS

... No random oracles

SNARGs for P/poly from SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O(1)$$

$$|\mathbf{proof}| = O(\mathsf{depth})$$

verification time O(|x|) (after pre-processing)

SNARGs for P/poly from SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O(1)$$
 transparent

 $| extsf{proof}| = O(extsf{depth}) | extsf{unambiguous} | extsf{kpy20}|$

verification time O(|x|) (after pre-processing)



SNARGs for P/poly from SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O(1)$$
 transparent $|\mathbf{proof}| = O(\mathsf{depth})$ unambiguous [kpy20] verification time $O(|x|)$ (after pre-processing)

- ✓ first SNARG from Minicrypt assumption
- ✓ NO Fiat-Shamir, CI-hashing, PCPs, BARGs [JKKZ21, CJJ21, KLVW23, KLV23, CGJJZ23]

SIS assumption [A96]

SIS. given $\mathbf{B} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^{n \times m}$, hard to find a low-norm $\mathbf{z} \neq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{0} \bmod q$.

functional commitments from succinct SIS

$$|\mathbf{crs}| = O_{\mathrm{depth}}(\ell^2)$$
 where $\ell = |x|$

$$|{f commitment}| = O_{{f depth}}(1)$$

$$|\mathbf{opening}| = O_{\mathsf{depth}}(1)$$

slow verification

crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, i, j \in [\ell]$$

$$|\mathbf{W}_j| \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n imes m}$$

$$\boxed{\mathbf{V}_i} \in \{0,1\}^{m \times m}$$

crs: $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, i, j \in [\ell]$

commit(x): $\mathbf{C} = \sum x_j \mathbf{W}_j$

crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, i,j \in [\ell]$$

commit(
$$x$$
): $\mathbf{C} = \sum x_j \mathbf{W}_j$

$$open(x,f)$$
:

I. compute low-norm \mathbb{Z}_i s.t.

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i + x_i\mathbf{G}$$

GSW.enc (\mathbf{B}, x_i)

crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$$

$$commit(x)$$
: $C = \sum x_j W_j$

$$open(x,f)$$
:

I. compute low-norm \mathbf{Z}_i s.t.

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i + x_i\mathbf{G}$$

 $\mathsf{GSW}.\mathsf{enc}(\mathbf{B},x_i)$

crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$$

$$commit(x)$$
: $C = \sum x_j W_j$

open(x,f):

I. compute low-norm \mathbb{Z}_i s.t.

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i + x_i\mathbf{G}$$

GSW.enc (\mathbf{B}, x_i)

2. homomorphic eval [GSW13,BGGHNSVV14,GVW15]

$$\rightarrow$$
 $\mathbf{C}_f = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_f + f(x)\mathbf{G}$ GSW

 $\mathbf{GSW}.\mathbf{enc}(\mathbf{B},f(x))$



crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$$

$$commit(x)$$
: $C = \sum x_j W_j$

$$open(x,f)$$
: \mathbf{Z}_f

I. compute low-norm \mathbb{Z}_i s.t.

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i + x_i\mathbf{G}$$

GSW.enc (\mathbf{B}, x_i)

2. homomorphic eval [GSW13,BGGHNSVV14,GVW15]

$$\rightarrow \mathbf{C}_f = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_f + f(x)\mathbf{G}$$

GSW.enc(\mathbf{B} ,f(x))



crs: $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$

commit(x): $C = \sum x_j W_j$

open(x,f): \mathbf{Z}_f

verify: $\mathbf{C}_f \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z} + y\mathbf{G}$

crs: $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$

commit(x): $C = \sum x_j W_j$

next. relax $\mathbf{CV}_i = \mathbf{BZ}_i + x_i \mathbf{G}$ cf.[AMR25a]

crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$$

$$commit(x)$$
: $C = \sum x_j W_j$

next. relax
$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i + x_i\mathbf{G}$$

- ✓ binding from standard SIS
 - + transparent set-up

crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$$

$$commit(x)$$
: $C = \sum x_j W_j$

next. relax
$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i + x_i\mathbf{G}$$

✓ binding from standard SIS

$$m{\mathsf{X}}\ \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i
eq \mathbf{GSW}.\mathsf{enc}(\mathbf{B},x_i)$$

 $ightharpoonup {f Z}_i$ have size $O(\ell^2)$, not O(1)



crs:
$$\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{W}_j, \mathbf{V}_i, \mathbf{B}^{-1}(\mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i - \delta_{ij} \mathbf{G})$$

$$commit(x)$$
: $C = \sum x_j W_j$

next. relax
$$\mathbf{CV}_i = \mathbf{BZ}_i + x_i \mathbf{G}$$

- ✓ binding from standard SIS
- $m{\mathsf{X}}\ \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i
 eq \mathbf{GSW}.\mathbf{enc}(\mathbf{B},x_i)$
- $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{Z}_i$ have size $O(\ell^2)$, not O(1)









relax. $CV_i = BZ_i + x_iG$

relax. $CV_i - x_iG = BZ_i$

relax.
$$\mathbf{CV}_i - x_i \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{BZ}_i$$

i. $\mathbf{CV}_i - x_i \mathbf{G} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{CV}_i \\ -x_i \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}$

1

commitment from SIS

relax.
$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i - x_i\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Z}_i$$

i.
$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i - x_i\mathbf{G} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_i \\ -x_i\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix}$$

ii.
$$\mathbf{B} = egin{bmatrix} \cdots & \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{V}_j & \cdots \\ \cdots & -\delta_{ij} \mathbf{G} & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{2n imes \ell^2 m}$$

crs:
$$\mathbf{W}_{j}, \mathbf{V}_{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{i} \\
-x_{i}\mathbf{G}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cdots & \mathbf{W}_{i}\mathbf{V}_{j} & \cdots \\
\cdots & -\delta_{ij}\mathbf{G} & \cdots
\end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{i}$$

1

commitment from SIS

crs:
$$\mathbf{W}_{j}, \mathbf{V}_{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{i} \\
-x_{i}\mathbf{G}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cdots & \mathbf{W}_{i}\mathbf{V}_{j} & \cdots \\
\cdots & -\delta_{ij}\mathbf{G} & \cdots
\end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{i}$$

correctness.

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\sum x_j \mathbf{W}_j) \mathbf{V}_i \\ -x_i \mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{V}_i \\ -\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} x_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{V}_i \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} x_j$$



1

commitment from SIS

crs:
$$\mathbf{W}_{j}, \mathbf{V}_{i}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{i} \\
-x_{i}\mathbf{G}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\cdots & \mathbf{W}_{i}\mathbf{V}_{j} & \cdots \\
\cdots & -\delta_{ij}\mathbf{G} & \cdots
\end{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{i}$$

binding.

$$\mathsf{SIS} \Rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{B}} = \begin{bmatrix} \dots & \mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{V}_j & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$
 is SIS -hard

$oldsymbol{2}$ multiplication x_1x_2

$$egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_1 \ -x_1\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_1 \ egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2 \ -x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$
 goal. $egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{12} \ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_{12}$

$oldsymbol{2}$ multiplication x_1x_2

$$egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_1 \ -x_1\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_1 \ egin{bmatrix} x_1\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2 \ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}\cdot x_1\mathbf{Z}_2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$
 goal. $egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{12} \ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{Z}_{12}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{1}\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2}) \\ -x_{1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{1}\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2})$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2} \\ -x_{1}x_{2}\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B} \cdot x_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{2}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathbf{CV}_{12} \\
-x_1x_2\mathbf{G}
\end{array} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{12}$$

multiplication x_1x_2

$$egin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2) \ -x_1\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2 \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{B}} \ \underline{\mathbf{B}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2) \ \begin{bmatrix} x_1\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2 \ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} \overline{\mathbf{B}} \ \underline{\mathbf{B}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot x_1\mathbf{Z}_2 \ \end{bmatrix}$$

goal.
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{12} \\ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{12}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{1}\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2}) \\ -x_{1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\overline{B}} \\ \mathbf{\underline{B}} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{Z}_{1}\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2})$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ x_{1}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_{2} \\ -x_{1}x_{2}\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{\overline{B}} \\ \mathbf{\underline{B}} \end{bmatrix} \cdot x_{1}\mathbf{Z}_{2}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2) \\ \mathbf{0} \\ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\overline{B}} \\ \mathbf{\underline{B}} & \mathbf{\overline{B}} \\ & \mathbf{\underline{B}} \end{bmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{12} \\ \mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2) \\ x_1\mathbf{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix}}_{\mathbf{Z}_{12}}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2) \\ \mathbf{0} \\ -x_1x_2\mathbf{G} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\overline{B}} \\ \mathbf{\underline{B}} & \mathbf{\overline{B}} \\ & \mathbf{\underline{B}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Z}_{12} \\ \mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2) \\ x_1\mathbf{Z}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

next. write
$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_1\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}_2)=\mathbf{C}^{(2)}\cdot\mathbf{V}_{12}$$
 [w25]

⇒ fast verification for deg two polynomials

problem. depth d circuits incurs 2^d blow-up

problem. depth d circuits incurs 2^d blow-up solution. chainable FC [BCFL23, GR19]

problem. depth d circuits incurs 2^d blow-up solution. chainable FC [BCFL23, GR19] open(x,f):

- commit to each layer of the circuit f
- provide openings for adjacent layers

problem. depth d circuits incurs 2^d blow-up solution. chainable FC [BCFL23, GR19] open(x,f):

- commit to each layer of the circuit f
- provide openings for adjacent layers

new. FC for deg two $f:\{0,1\}^\ell \to \{0,1\}^{\ell_{\text{out}}}$ that supports opening to $\operatorname{commit}(f(x))$

3 compressing openings

IDEA. Merkle-style recursion [w25,AMR25a]

3 compressing openings

base case. $\ell = 2m^2$

Compressing openings

base case. $\ell=2m^2$ recursion. $\ell/2\mapsto \ell$ commit $([\mathbf{x}_0\mid \mathbf{x}_1])$

Compressing openings

base case.
$$\ell=2m^2$$
 recursion. $\ell/2\mapsto \ell$ commit $([\mathbf{x}_0\mid \mathbf{x}_1])$

$$\mathbf{C}_0 := \mathbf{commit}(\mathbf{x}_0), \mathbf{C}_1 := \mathbf{commit}(\mathbf{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_q^{n imes m}$$



3 compressing openings

base case.
$$\ell=2m^2$$
 recursion. $\ell/2\mapsto \ell$ commit $([\mathbf{x}_0\mid \mathbf{x}_1])$
$$\underbrace{_{\in\{0,1\}^{2m^2}}_{\in\{0,1\}^{2m^2}}}_{\text{bits}(\mathbf{C}_0\mid \mathbf{C}_1)}$$
 $\mathbf{C}_0:=\text{commit}(\mathbf{x}_0), \mathbf{C}_1:=\text{commit}(\mathbf{x}_1)\in \mathbb{Z}_a^{n\times m}$



Compressing openings

base case.
$$\ell=2m^2$$
 recursion. $\ell/2\mapsto \ell$ commit $([\mathbf{x}_0\mid \mathbf{x}_1]):=$
$$\underbrace{\in\{0,1\}^{2m^2}}_{\in\{0,1\}^{2m^2}}$$
 commit $(\underbrace{\mathsf{bits}(\mathbf{C}_0\mid \mathbf{C}_1)})$ $\mathbf{C}_0:=\mathsf{commit}(\mathbf{x}_0), \mathbf{C}_1:=\mathsf{commit}(\mathbf{x}_1)\in\mathbb{Z}_a^{n\times m}$



conclusion

FC & SNARGs for P/poly from SIS

conclusion

FC & SNARGs for P/poly from SIS open problems.

- P without pre-processing
- $-|\mathbf{proof}| = O(\mathsf{depth}) \mapsto O(1)$

conclusion

FC & SNARGs for P/poly from SIS open problems.

- P without pre-processing
- $-|\mathbf{proof}| = O(\mathsf{depth}) \mapsto O(1)$

// merci!