

## SoK: Security of the Ascon Modes

Charlotte Lefevre, Bart Mennink

Radboud University

FSE 2025

March 17, 2025

## Introduction

## **Authenticated Encryption**



- Using key K:
  - Ciphertext C encrypts plaintext P
  - Tag T authenticates (N, A, P)

## **Authenticated Encryption**



- Using key K:
  - Ciphertext C encrypts plaintext P
  - Tag T authenticates (N, A, P)
- Unwrapping needs to satisfy that
  - Plaintext disclosed if tag is correct
  - Plaintext is not leaked if tag is incorrect

## **Cryptographic Competitions**

## **CAESAR** Competition

- 2014-2019
- Call for authenticated encryption scheme
- 57 submissions (of which  $\approx$  10 sponge/duplex-based)
- Ascon selected as winner in category lightweight applications

## **Cryptographic Competitions**

## **CAESAR** Competition

- 2014-2019
- Call for authenticated encryption scheme
- 57 submissions (of which pprox 10 sponge/duplex-based)
- Ascon selected as winner in category lightweight applications

## NIST Lightweight Cryptography Competition

- 2019–2023
- Call for authenticated encryption scheme and, optionally, hash function
- 57 submissions (of which  $\approx$  22 sponge/duplex-based)
- Ascon selected as winner

## Ascon [DEMS21]



## Ascon [DEMS21]



#### **Authenticated Encryption**

• Duplex-based but with additional key blindings

## Ascon [DEMS21]



#### **Authenticated Encryption**

• Duplex-based but with additional key blindings

## Hashing

- Sponge-based hashing and XOFing
- Only included in NIST Lightweight Cryptography submission

Ascon-AE



## Variant of SpongeWrap [BDPV11]

- Outer permutation p and inner permutation q, both on b bits
  - r is the rate, c is the capacity (security parameter)



## Variant of SpongeWrap [BDPV11]

- Outer permutation p and inner permutation q, both on b bits
  - r is the rate, c is the capacity (security parameter)
- Additional key blindings around "outer" permutations



## Variant of SpongeWrap [BDPV11]

- Outer permutation p and inner permutation q, both on b bits
  - r is the rate, c is the capacity (security parameter)
- Additional key blindings around "outer" permutations
- Domain separation simplified and spilled-over into inner part

2011 Bertoni et al. [BDPV11] Duplex and SpongeWrap

2011 Bertoni et al. [BDPV11] Duplex and SpongeWrap

2015

Mennink et al. [MRV15] Full-state duplex and SpongeWrap

- 2011 Bertoni et al. [BDPV11] Duplex and SpongeWrap
- 2015 Mennink et al. [MRV15] Full-state duplex and SpongeWrap
  2017 Daemen et al. [DMV17] Generalized duplex

2011 Bertoni et al. [BDPV11] Duplex and SpongeWrap Mennink et al. [MRV15] 2015 Full-state duplex and SpongeWrap Daemen et al. [DMV17] 2017 Generalized duplex Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19] 2019 Leakage resilience of generalized duplex

2011 Bertoni et al. [BDPV11] Duplex and SpongeWrap Mennink et al. [MRV15] 2015 Full-state duplex and SpongeWrap Daemen et al. [DMV17] 2017 Generalized duplex Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19] 2019 Leakage resilience of generalized duplex 2023 Mennink [Men23] Duplex guide and MonkeySpongeWrap

2011	Bertoni et al. [BDPV11]
	Duplex and SpongeWrap
2014	Jovanovic et al. [JLM14]
	Security of NORX with claim on Ascon
2015	Mennink et al. [MRV15]
	Full-state duplex and SpongeWrap
2017	Daemen et al. [DMV17]
	Generalized duplex
2019	Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19]
	Leakage resilience of generalized duplex
2023	Mennink [Men23]
	Duplex guide and MonkeySpongeWrap

Bertoni et al. [BDPV11] 2011 Duplex and SpongeWrap Jovanovic et al. [JLM14] 2014 Security of NORX with claim on Ascon Mennink et al. [MRV15] 2015 Full-state duplex and SpongeWrap Daemen et al. [DMV17] 2017 Generalized duplex Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19] 2019 Leakage resilience of generalized duplex Mennink [Men23] 2023 Duplex guide and MonkeySpongeWrap

none of these results deals with additional key blindings

#### 2023

#### • Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]

Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting

## 2023 • Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]

Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting

## 2024 Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]

Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting

# 2023 Chakraborty et al. [CDN23] Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting 2024 Lefevre and Mennink [LM24] Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting

## 2024 • Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]

Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse setting

Guo et al. [GPPS19] Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting
Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]
Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting
Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]
Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting
Chakraborty et al. [CDN24] Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse setting

2019	Guo et al. [GPPS19]
	Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting
	Multi-user security under leakage resilience
2023	Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]
	Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting
2024	Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]
	Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting
2024	Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]
	Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse setting

2019	Guo et al. [GPPS19]
	Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting
	Multi-user security under leakage resilience
2023	Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]
	Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting
2024	Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]
	Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting
	Multi-user security under state recovery
2024	Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]
	Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse setting

2019	ł.	Guo et al. [GPPS19] Only "proof sketches"
		Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting
		Multi-user security under leakage resilience contain gaps [LM24]
2023	ł.	Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]
		Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting
2024	ł.	Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]
		Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting
		Multi-user security under state recovery
2024	ł.	Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]
		Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse setting

2019	Guo et al. [GPPS19]
	Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting
	Multi-user security under leakage resilience from contain gaps [LM24]
2023	Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]
	Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting
2024	Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]
	Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse setting
	Multi-user security under state recovery
2024	Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]
	Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse setting

2019		Guo et al. [GPPS19]	only "proof sketches"
		Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting Multi-user security under leakage resilience	— contain gaps [LM24]
2023		Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]  Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting	equal versus
2024		Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]	independent $p, q$
		Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-misuse set	ting
		Multi-user security under state recovery	
2024	•	Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]	
		Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-misuse s	etting 🛶
			contains mistake (this work)

2019		Guo et al. [GPPS19]	/	only "proof sketches"
		Multi-user security in nonce-misuse resilience setting	}=	contain gang [LM24]
		Multi-user security under leakage resilience	J	contain gaps [LM24]
2023	•	Chakraborty et al. [CDN23]		
		Single-user security in nonce-respecting setting	>>	$\_$ equal versus independent $p q$
2024	•	Lefevre and Mennink [LM24]		macpenaent $p,q$
		Multi-user security in nonce-respecting and nonce-mis	use setting	5
		Multi-user security under state recovery		
2024		Chakraborty et al. [CDN24]		
		Extended [CDN23] to multi-user security and nonce-m	nisuse sett	ing 🛶
2025	Ļ	Lefevre and Mennink (this work)		
		Let's clean this up!		contains mistake (this work)

## **Our Contribution**

- Three flavors of conventional security:
  - Nonce-respecting security [BN00]
  - **2** Nonce-misuse resistance [RS06]
  - **3** Nonce-misuse resilience [ADL17]

## **Our Contribution**

- Three flavors of conventional security:
  - Nonce-respecting security [BN00]
  - **2** Nonce-misuse resistance [RS06]
  - 3 Nonce-misuse resilience [ADL17]
- Three flavors of leaky security:
  - Bounded leakage resilience in leveled implementation [DP08, PSV15]
  - **2** State-recovery security [LM24]
  - **③** Security under release of unverified plaintext [ABL<sup>+</sup>14]

## **Our Contribution**

- Three flavors of conventional security:
  - Nonce-respecting security [BN00]
  - **2** Nonce-misuse resistance [RS06]
  - 3 Nonce-misuse resilience [ADL17]
- Three flavors of leaky security:
  - Bounded leakage resilience in leveled implementation [DP08, PSV15]
  - **2** State-recovery security [LM24]
  - **③** Security under release of unverified plaintext [ABL<sup>+</sup>14]
- We categorize existing lower and upper bounds
- We derive new security bounds and matching attacks where needed
# **Our Contribution**

### Complete Overview of Generic Security of the Ascon-AE Mode

- Three flavors of conventional security:
  - Nonce-respecting security [BN00]
  - **2** Nonce-misuse resistance [RS06]
  - 3 Nonce-misuse resilience [ADL17]
- Three flavors of leaky security:
  - Bounded leakage resilience in leveled implementation [DP08, PSV15]
  - **2** State-recovery security [LM24]
  - **③** Security under release of unverified plaintext [ABL<sup>+</sup>14]
- We categorize existing lower and upper bounds
- We derive new security bounds and matching attacks where needed
- All results assume that p = q is a random permutation

nonce-respecting security

confidentiality

authenticity

nonce-respecting security	
confidentiality	$\frac{\mu \mathcal{N}}{2^k} + \frac{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}}{2^b} + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2^c}$
authenticity	$\frac{Q_D}{2^t} + \frac{\mu \mathcal{N}}{2^k} + \frac{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{N}}{2^b} + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2^c}$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mu & \text{number of users} \\ Q_E/\mathcal{M}_E & \text{encryption queries/complexity} \\ Q_D/\mathcal{M}_D & \text{decryption queries/complexity} \\ Q/\mathcal{M} & \text{construction queries/complexity} \\ \mathcal{N} & \text{permutation queries} \end{array}$ 





- $Q/\mathcal{M}$  construction queries/complexity
- $\mathcal{N}$  permutation queries





 $Q_E/\mathcal{M}_E$  encryption gueries/complexity  $Q_D/\mathcal{M}_D$  decryption queries/complexity Q/Mconstruction gueries/complexity N

permutation gueries



nonce-misuse resistance	
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$



$\mu$	number of users
$Q_E / M_E$	encryption queries/complexity
$Q_D/M_D$	decryption queries/complexity
Q/M	construction queries/complexity
$\mathcal{N}$	permutation queries

1	analysis of [GPPS19] incomplete	1
(	new: security bounds	1
1	and matching attacks	į.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

nonce-misuse resilience	
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{M_E N}{2^c}$
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$

nonce-misuse resistance	
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$



	number of users
$_E/M_E$	encryption queries/complexity
$_D/\mathcal{M}_D$	decryption queries/complexity
/M	construction queries/complexity
	permutation queries

nonce-misuse resilience		
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{M_E N}{2^c}$	¢
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$	

nonce-misuse r	esistance
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$

QQQ Ň

μ





 $\begin{array}{ll} \mu & \mbox{number of users} \\ Q_E/\mathcal{M}_E & \mbox{ercyption queries/complexity} \\ Q_D/\mathcal{M}_D & \mbox{decryption queries/complexity} \end{array}$ 

 $Q/\mathcal{M}$  construction queries/complexity

N permutation queries

nonce-misuse	resilience	
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_E \mathcal{N}}{2^c}$	
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$	

nonce-misuse re	sistance
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$

leakage	resilience,	no	leakage
confidentiality			
authenticity			

leakage resilience, limited confidentiality authenticity

leakage resilience, unlimited
confidentiality
authenticity



 $Q_E/\mathcal{M}_E$  encryption queries/complexity  $Q_D/\mathcal{M}_D$  decryption queries/complexity  $Q/\mathcal{M}$  construction queries/complexity  $\mathcal{N}$  permutation queries



	Ŷ		
leakage i	resilience,	no	leakage
confidentiality			$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_E \mathcal{N}}{2^c}$
authenticity			$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$



leakage resilience, limited	
confidentiality	
authenticity	

leakage resilience, unlimited
confidentiality
authenticity

nonce-misuse re	sistance
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$





$\uparrow$		
leakage resilience, no leakage		
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_E \mathcal{N}}{2^c}$	
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$	

leakag	e resilience, unlimited
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$

nonce-misuse i	resistance
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$

	leakage	resilience,	limited
confident	iality		
authentic	ity		





leakage resilience, no leakage

confidentiality

authenticity

 $(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_E \mathcal{N}}{2^c}$ 

 $(\star) + \frac{MN}{2c}$ 

nonce-misuse resistance	
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$

II		
leakage resilience, limited		
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$	
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$	
	↑ ∥o	

≙



	↓	
leakage resilience, unlimited		
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$	
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$	





leakage resilience, no leakage

 $(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_E \mathcal{N}}{2^c}$ 

 $(\star) + \frac{MN}{2c}$ 

 $\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k} \right\}$  $\left\{ \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k} \right\}$ 

nonce-misuse resistance		
confidentiality	1	
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$	

	leaka	ge resilience, limited
	confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{MN}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{MN}}{2}\right\}$
41	authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{MN}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{MN}}{2}\right\}$
		∯ ↓o

confidentiality

authenticity



leakage resilience, unlimited		
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$	
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$	

state-recovery security	
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}$





	v
leakage resilie	nce, no leakage
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}_E \mathcal{N}}{2^c}$
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{MN}}{2^c}$



leakage resilience, limited			
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$		
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$		
↑ ↓o			
leakage resilience, unlimited			
confidentiality	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$		
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{\mathcal{MN}}{2^c} + \min\left\{\frac{\mathcal{N}^2}{2^c}, \frac{Q\mathcal{N}}{2^k}\right\}$		

	nonce-misuse resistance	
;	confidentiality	1
	authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$

RUP security	
confidentiality	1
authenticity	$(\star) + \frac{MN}{2^c}$



setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting		
nonce-misuse resilience		
nonce-misuse resistance		
state-recovery security		

setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}$ , $Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resilience		
nonce-misuse resistance		
state-recovery security		

# **Simplified Numerical Interpretation**

setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}, Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resilience	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resistance		
state-recovery security		

setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting nonce-misuse resilience nonce-misuse resistance state-recovery security	$ \mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\} $ $ \mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\} $	$ \begin{split} \mathcal{N} &\ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}, Q_D \ll 2^t \\ \mathcal{N} &\ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t \\ \mathcal{N} &\ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t \end{split} $

# **Simplified Numerical Interpretation**

setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}, Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resilience	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resistance	_	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t$
state-recovery security	—	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^{c/2}\}, \qquad Q_D \ll 2^t$

setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting nonce-misuse resilience	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}$ $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}, Q_D \ll 2^t$ $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resistance	_	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^t$
state-recovery security	—	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^{c/2}\}, \qquad Q_D \ll 2^t$

#### **Application to Ascon-AEAD Parameters**

• 
$$(k, b, c, r, t) = \begin{cases} (128, 320, 256, 64, 128) \text{ for Ascon-128} \\ (128, 320, 192, 128, 128) \text{ for Ascon-128a} \\ (160, 320, 256, 64, 128) \text{ for Ascon-80pq} \end{cases}$$

• Assume online complexity of  $Q, \mathcal{M} \ll 2^{64}$  (could be taken higher)

setting	confidentiality as long as	authenticity as long as
nonce-respecting	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}$ $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^b/\mathcal{M}, 2^c\}, Q_D \ll 2^t$ $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^c/\mathcal{M}\} \qquad Q_D \ll 2^t$
nonce-misuse resistance	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2 / \mu, 2 / \mathcal{N}\}$	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{\prime}/\mu, 2^{\prime}/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^{t}$ $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{k}/\mu, 2^{c}/\mathcal{M}\},  Q_D \ll 2^{t}$
state-recovery security	—	$\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^k/\mu, 2^{c/2}\}, \qquad Q_D \ll 2^t$

#### **Application to Ascon-AEAD Parameters**

- $(k, b, c, r, t) = \begin{cases} (128, 320, 256, 64, 128) \text{ for Ascon-128} \\ (128, 320, 192, 128, 128) \text{ for Ascon-128a} \\ (160, 320, 256, 64, 128) \text{ for Ascon-80pq} \end{cases}$
- Assume online complexity of  $Q, \mathcal{M} \ll 2^{64}$  (could be taken higher)
- Generic security as long as  $\mathcal{N} \ll 2^{128}/\mu$ (exceptions:  $\mathcal{N} \ll 2^{160}/\mu$  for Ascon-80pg;  $\mathcal{N} \ll 2^{96}$  for Ascon-128a under state-recovery)

Ascon-Hash/Ascon-(C)XOF

# Ascon-Hash/Ascon-(C)XOF



# Ascon-Hash/Ascon-(C)XOF



# Sponge [BDPV07]

- Permutation p on b bits
  - r is the rate
  - c is the capacity (security parameter)
- Output of  $\nu$  bits (256 for Ascon-Hash, unlimited for the XOFs)

• Sponge indifferentiable from random up to bound  $\mathcal{N}^2/2^c$  [BDPV08]

- Sponge indifferentiable from random up to bound  $\mathcal{N}^2/2^c$  [BDPV08]
- Security of sponge truncated to  $\nu$  bits against classical attacks [AMP10]:

Collision resistance: Second preimage resistance: Preimage resistance:  $\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{N}^2/2^c + \mathcal{N}^2/2^{\nu+1} \\ &\mathcal{N}^2/2^c + \mathcal{N}/2^{\nu} \\ &\mathcal{N}^2/2^c + \mathcal{N}/2^{\nu} \end{aligned}$ 

- Sponge indifferentiable from random up to bound  $\mathcal{N}^2/2^c$  [BDPV08]
- Security of sponge truncated to  $\nu$  bits against classical attacks [AMP10]:



- Sponge indifferentiable from random up to bound  $\mathcal{N}^2/2^c$  [BDPV08]
- Security of sponge truncated to  $\nu$  bits against classical attacks [AMP10]:

• Attacks already described in [BDPV07]

- Sponge indifferentiable from random up to bound  $\mathcal{N}^2/2^c$  [BDPV08]
- Security of sponge truncated to  $\nu$  bits against classical attacks [AMP10]:

• Attacks already described in [BDPV07]

- Sponge indifferentiable from random up to bound  $\mathcal{N}^2/2^c$  [BDPV08]
- Security of sponge truncated to  $\nu$  bits against classical attacks [AMP10]:



- Attacks already described in [BDPV07]
- Tightened preimage resistance bound by Lefevre and Mennink [LM22]:

Preimage resistance:  $\min \left\{ \mathcal{N}/2^{\nu-r}, \mathcal{N}/2^{c/2} \right\} + \mathcal{N}/2^{\nu} \leftarrow \text{attack in } \min \{2^{\nu-r}+2^{c/2}, 2^{\nu}\}$ 

• 
$$(b, c, r, \nu) = \begin{cases} (320, 256, 64, 256) \text{ for Ascon-Hash} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-XOF} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-CXOF} \end{cases}$$

• 
$$(b, c, r, \nu) = \begin{cases} (320, 256, 64, 256) \text{ for Ascon-Hash} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-XOF} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-CXOF} \end{cases}$$

• Generic collision resistance as long as

 $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{128}, 2^{\nu/2}\}$ 

• 
$$(b, c, r, \nu) = \begin{cases} (320, 256, 64, 256) \text{ for Ascon-Hash} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-XOF} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-CXOF} \end{cases}$$

- Generic collision resistance as long as  $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{128}, 2^{\nu/2}\}$
- Generic second preimage resistance as long as  $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{128}, 2^{\nu}\}$

• 
$$(b, c, r, \nu) = \begin{cases} (320, 256, 64, 256) \text{ for Ascon-Hash} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-XOF} \\ (320, 256, 64, \infty) \text{ for Ascon-CXOF} \end{cases}$$

- Generic collision resistance as long as  $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{128}, 2^{\nu/2}\}$
- Generic second preimage resistance as long as  $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{128}, 2^{\nu}\}$
- Generic preimage resistance as long as  $\mathcal{N} \ll \min\{2^{192}, 2^{\nu}\}$

# **Bonus: Ascon-PRF**

# Bonus: Ascon-PRF [DEMS24]



### Variant of Full-State Keyed Sponge [BDPV12, MRV15]

- Permutation p on b bits
  - r is the rate, c is the capacity (security parameter)

# Bonus: Ascon-PRF [DEMS24]



### Variant of Full-State Keyed Sponge [BDPV12, MRV15]

- Permutation p on b bits
  - r is the rate, c is the capacity (security parameter)
- Domain separation to avoid squeezed tags being misused in absorption
2015

Mennink et al. [MRV15] Security of FSKS but with proof-inherent "multiplicity term"

## 2015

## Mennink et al. [MRV15]

Security of FSKS but with proof-inherent "multiplicity term"

## 2017 Daemen et al. [DMV17]

Generalized duplex Applies to Ascon-PRF but with non-tight term  $\mathcal{MN}/2^c$ 

```
2015 Mennink et al. [MRV15]
Security of FSKS but with proof-inherent "multiplicity term"
2017 Daemen et al. [DMV17]
Generalized duplex
Applies to Ascon-PRF but with non-tight term MN/2<sup>c</sup>
2019 Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19]
Leakage resilience of generalized duplex
Applies to Ascon-PRF
```

2015	Mennink et al. [MRV15]
	Security of FSKS but with proof-inherent "multiplicity term"
2017	Daemen et al. [DMV17]
	Generalized duplex
	Applies to Ascon-PRF but with non-tight term $\mathcal{MN}/2^c$
2019	Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19]
	Leakage resilience of generalized duplex
	Applies to Ascon-PRF
2023	Mennink [Men23]
	Duplex guide and improved analysis of Ascon-PRF

2015	ł.	Mennink et al. [MRV15]
		Security of FSKS but with proof-inherent "multiplicity term"
2017	ł	Daemen et al. [DMV17]
		Generalized duplex
		Applies to Ascon-PRF but with non-tight term $\mathcal{MN}/2^c$
2019	ł.	Dobraunig and Mennink [DM19]
		Leakage resilience of generalized duplex
		Applies to Ascon-PRF
2023	ł.	Mennink [Men23]
		Duplex guide and improved analysis of Ascon-PRF
2025	ł.	Lefevre and Mennink (this work)
		Adapt bound of [Men23] with improved multicollision strategy
	-	

## Generic Security of Ascon-PRF (2/2)



#### **Generic Security Bound**

• Ascon-PRF is multi-user secure up to bound  $\frac{\mu N}{2^k} + \frac{N}{2^{c'}} + \frac{MN}{2^b}$ 

## Generic Security of Ascon-PRF (2/2)



## **Generic Security Bound**

• Ascon-PRF is multi-user secure up to bound  $\frac{\mu N}{2^k} + \frac{N}{2^{c'}} + \frac{MN}{2^b}$ 

#### **Application to Ascon-PRF Parameters**

- $(k, b, c, r, c', r', t) = (128, 320, 64, 256, 192, 128, \infty)$
- Assume online complexity of  $\mathcal{M}\ll 2^{64}$  (could be taken higher)

## Generic Security of Ascon-PRF (2/2)



## **Generic Security Bound**

• Ascon-PRF is multi-user secure up to bound  $\frac{\mu N}{2^k} + \frac{N}{2^{c'}} + \frac{MN}{2^b}$ 

#### **Application to Ascon-PRF Parameters**

- $(k, b, c, r, c', r', t) = (128, 320, 64, 256, 192, 128, \infty)$
- Assume online complexity of  $\mathcal{M}\ll 2^{64}$  (could be taken higher)
- Generic security as long as  $\mathcal{N}\ll 2^{128}/\mu$

#### More in Paper: https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/1969

- Exact security models, settings, and discussions
- Discussion on multicollision bounding, assumption on  $p, q, \ldots$
- All proofs and generic attacks

#### More in Paper: https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/1969

- Exact security models, settings, and discussions
- Discussion on multicollision bounding, assumption on  $p, q, \ldots$
- All proofs and generic attacks

#### What We Did Not Cover

- Related-key security and security for arbitrary key distributions
- Security under fault attacks
- Variant with nonce masking [DM24]
- Committing security  $\longrightarrow$  next talk!

#### More in Paper: https://eprint.iacr.org/2024/1969

- Exact security models, settings, and discussions
- Discussion on multicollision bounding, assumption on  $p, q, \ldots$
- All proofs and generic attacks

#### What We Did Not Cover

- Related-key security and security for arbitrary key distributions
- Security under fault attacks
- Variant with nonce masking [DM24]
- Committing security  $\longrightarrow$  next talk!

hank you for you

## References i

Elena Andreeva, Andrey Bogdanov, Atul Luykx, Bart Mennink, Nicky Mouha, and Kan Yasuda.

How to Securely Release Unverified Plaintext in Authenticated Encryption. In Palash Sarkar and Tetsu Iwata, editors, *Advances in Cryptology - ASIACRYPT* 2014 - 20th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Kaoshiung, Taiwan, R.O.C., December 7-11, 2014. Proceedings, Part I, volume 8873 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 105–125. Springer, 2014.

## References ii

## Tomer Ashur, Orr Dunkelman, and Atul Luykx.

# Boosting Authenticated Encryption Robustness with Minimal Modifications.

In Jonathan Katz and Hovav Shacham, editors, *Advances in Cryptology* -*CRYPTO 2017 - 37th Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 20-24, 2017, Proceedings, Part III,* volume 10403 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 3–33. Springer, 2017.

## References iii

Elena Andreeva, Bart Mennink, and Bart Preneel.

## Security Reductions of the Second Round SHA-3 Candidates.

In Mike Burmester, Gene Tsudik, Spyros S. Magliveras, and Ivana Ilic, editors, Information Security - 13th International Conference, ISC 2010, Boca Raton, FL, USA, October 25-28, 2010, Revised Selected Papers, volume 6531 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 39–53. Springer, 2010.

Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michaël Peeters, and Gilles Van Assche. **Sponge Functions.** 

Ecrypt Hash Workshop 2007, May 2007.

#### References iv

Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michaël Peeters, and Gilles Van Assche. On the Indifferentiability of the Sponge Construction.

In Nigel P. Smart, editor, Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2008, 27th Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques, Istanbul, Turkey, April 13-17, 2008. Proceedings, volume 4965 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 181–197. Springer, 2008.

 Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michaël Peeters, and Gilles Van Assche.
 Duplexing the Sponge: Single-Pass Authenticated Encryption and Other Applications.

In Ali Miri and Serge Vaudenay, editors, *Selected Areas in Cryptography - 18th International Workshop, SAC 2011, Toronto, ON, Canada, August 11-12, 2011,* 

*Revised Selected Papers*, volume 7118 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 320–337. Springer, 2011.

Guido Bertoni, Joan Daemen, Michaël Peeters, and Gilles Van Assche. **Permutation-based encryption, authentication and authenticated encryption.** 

Directions in Authenticated Ciphers, July 2012.

Mihir Bellare and Chanathip Namprempre.

Authenticated Encryption: Relations among Notions and Analysis of the Generic Composition Paradigm.

In Tatsuaki Okamoto, editor, Advances in Cryptology - ASIACRYPT 2000, 6th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and

## References vi

*Information Security, Kyoto, Japan, December 3-7, 2000, Proceedings*, volume 1976 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 531–545. Springer, 2000.

Bishwajit Chakraborty, Chandranan Dhar, and Mridul Nandi. Exact Security Analysis of ASCON.

In Jian Guo and Ron Steinfeld, editors, *Advances in Cryptology - ASIACRYPT* 2023 - 29th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Guangzhou, China, December 4-8, 2023, Proceedings, Part III, volume 14440 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 346–369. Springer, 2023.

## References vii

- Bishwajit Chakraborty, Chandranan Dhar, and Mridul Nandi.
   Tight Multi-user Security of Ascon and Its Large Key Extension.
   In Tianqing Zhu and Yannan Li, editors, Information Security and Privacy 29th Australasian Conference, ACISP 2024, Sydney, NSW, Australia, July 15-17, 2024, Proceedings, Part I, volume 14895 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 57–76. Springer, 2024.
- Christoph Dobraunig, Maria Eichlseder, Florian Mendel, and Martin Schläffer.
   Ascon v1.2: Lightweight Authenticated Encryption and Hashing.
   J. Cryptol., 34(3):33, 2021.

## References viii

Christoph Dobraunig, Maria Eichlseder, Florian Mendel, and Martin Schläffer.
Ascon MAC, PRF, and Short-Input PRF - Lightweight, Fast, and Efficient Pseudorandom Functions.

In Elisabeth Oswald, editor, *Topics in Cryptology - CT-RSA 2024 - Cryptographers' Track at the RSA Conference 2024, San Francisco, CA, USA, May 6-9, 2024, Proceedings*, volume 14643 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 381–403. Springer, 2024.

## References ix

Christoph Dobraunig and Bart Mennink.

## Leakage Resilience of the Duplex Construction.

In Steven D. Galbraith and Shiho Moriai, editors, *Advances in Cryptology* -*ASIACRYPT 2019 - 25th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Kobe, Japan, December 8-12, 2019, Proceedings, Part III*, volume 11923 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 225–255. Springer, 2019. Christoph Dobraunig and Bart Mennink.

## Generalized Initialization of the Duplex Construction.

In Christina Pöpper and Lejla Batina, editors, *Applied Cryptography and Network Security - 22nd International Conference, ACNS 2024, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, March 5-8, 2024, Proceedings, Part II,* volume 14584 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 460–484. Springer, 2024.

 Joan Daemen, Bart Mennink, and Gilles Van Assche.
 Full-State Keyed Duplex with Built-In Multi-user Support.
 In Tsuyoshi Takagi and Thomas Peyrin, editors, Advances in Cryptology -ASIACRYPT 2017 - 23rd International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptology and Information Security, Hong Kong, China, December 3-7, 2017,

## References xi

*Proceedings, Part II*, volume 10625 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 606–637. Springer, 2017.

Stefan Dziembowski and Krzysztof Pietrzak.

## Leakage-Resilient Cryptography.

In 49th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, FOCS 2008, October 25-28, 2008, Philadelphia, PA, USA, pages 293–302. IEEE Computer Society, 2008.

 Chun Guo, Olivier Pereira, Thomas Peters, and François-Xavier Standaert.
 Towards Low-Energy Leakage-Resistant Authenticated Encryption from the Duplex Sponge Construction.

Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/193, 2019. http://eprint.iacr.org/2019/193 (full version of [GPPS20]).

## References xii

Chun Guo, Olivier Pereira, Thomas Peters, and François-Xavier Standaert. Towards Low-Energy Leakage-Resistant Authenticated Encryption from the Duplex Sponge Construction.

IACR Trans. Symmetric Cryptol., 2020(1):6–42, 2020.

Philipp Jovanovic, Atul Luykx, and Bart Mennink.
 Beyond 2<sup>c/2</sup> Security in Sponge-Based Authenticated Encryption Modes.
 In Palash Sarkar and Tetsu Iwata, editors, Advances in Cryptology - ASIACRYPT 2014 - 20th International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Kaoshiung, Taiwan, R.O.C., December 7-11, 2014.
 Proceedings, Part I, volume 8873 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 85–104. Springer, 2014.

## References xiii

## Charlotte Lefevre and Bart Mennink.

## Tight Preimage Resistance of the Sponge Construction.

In Yevgeniy Dodis and Thomas Shrimpton, editors, *Advances in Cryptology* -*CRYPTO 2022 - 42nd Annual International Cryptology Conference, CRYPTO 2022, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 15-18, 2022, Proceedings, Part IV*, volume 13510 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 185–204. Springer, 2022.

## Charlotte Lefevre and Bart Mennink.

Generic Security of the Ascon Mode: On the Power of Key Blinding. In Maria Eichlseder and Sébastien Gambs, editors, *Selected Areas in Cryptography, 31st International Workshop, SAC 2024, Montréal, Quebec, Canada, August 26-27, Revised Selected Papers*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2024.

to appear.

#### References xiv

Bart Mennink.

Understanding the Duplex and Its Security.

IACR Trans. Symmetric Cryptol., 2023(2):1–46, 2023.

 Bart Mennink, Reza Reyhanitabar, and Damian Vizár.
 Security of Full-State Keyed Sponge and Duplex: Applications to Authenticated Encryption.

In Tetsu Iwata and Jung Hee Cheon, editors, *Advances in Cryptology -ASIACRYPT 2015 - 21st International Conference on the Theory and Application of Cryptology and Information Security, Auckland, New Zealand, November 29 -December 3, 2015, Proceedings, Part II,* volume 9453 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 465–489. Springer, 2015.

#### References xv

Olivier Pereira, François-Xavier Standaert, and Srinivas Vivek. Leakage-Resilient Authentication and Encryption from Symmetric Cryptographic Primitives.

In Indrajit Ray, Ninghui Li, and Christopher Kruegel, editors, *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGSAC Conference on Computer and Communications Security, Denver, CO, USA, October 12-16, 2015*, pages 96–108. ACM, 2015.

Phillip Rogaway and Thomas Shrimpton.

## A Provable-Security Treatment of the Key-Wrap Problem.

In Serge Vaudenay, editor, Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT 2006, 25th Annual International Conference on the Theory and Applications of Cryptographic Techniques, St. Petersburg, Russia, May 28 - June 1, 2006, Proceedings, volume 4004 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 373–390. Springer, 2006.