Public-Algorithm Substitution Attacks: Subverting Hashing and Verification

Mihir Bellare (UCSD) **Doreen Riepel (CISPA)** Laura Shea (UCSD)

PKC 2025





The textbook view of cryptography, illustrated for symmetric encryption:



The textbook view of cryptography, illustrated for symmetric encryption:



Here the encryption algorithm Enc_K is assumed to be CORRECTLY and HONESTLY implemented.

Our usual definitions, like IND-CPA, IND-CCA, AEAD, ... are all in this setting.











Here the honest encryption algorithm Enc_K has been replaced by a malicious Enc_K .





Here the honest encryption algorithm Enc_{K} has been replaced by a malicious Enc_{K} .







Here the honest encryption algorithm Enc_{K} has been replaced by a malicious Enc_{K} .





Kleptography [YY96]

The Dark Side of "Black-Box" Cryptography or: Should We Trust Capstone?

Adam Young* and Moti Yung**

First (academic) suggestion of this category of attack.







Kleptography [YY96]

Snowden [2013]

The Dark Side of "Black-Box" Cryptography or: Should We Trust Capstone?

Adam Young* and Moti Yung**

First (academic) suggestion of this category of attack.



Motivation to look at powerful institutional adversaries and surveillance methods.









Kleptography [YY96]

The Dark Side of "Black-Box" Cryptography or: Should We Trust Capstone?

Adam Young* and Moti Yung**

First (academic) suggestion of this category of attack.



Motivation to look at powerful institutional adversaries and surveillance methods.

Security of Symmetric Encryption against Mass Surveillance

Mihir Bellare¹, Kenneth G. Paterson², and Phillip Rogaway³

A new formalism in response, with many extensions to follow...











BPR14 Defined two critical properties:

(1) Exfiltration

The adversary successfully learns Alice's secret K.

(2) Undetectability

Alice and Bob cannot tell that the subversion occurred.

Algorithm Substitution Attack [BPR14]

Security of Symmetric Encryption against Mass Surveillance

Mihir Bellare¹, Kenneth G. Paterson², and Phillip Rogaway³











Symmetric encryption [BPR14, BJK15, DFP15, ...]







Symmetric encryption [BPR14, BJK15, DFP15, ...]

Signing [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...]







Symmetric encryption [BPR14, BJK15, DFP15, ...]

Signing [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...]

Decryption [CHY20, AP19, JLW25, ...]

Protocols and more! [BWP+22, GBPG03, ...]









...with the Adversary's goal to learn K.

Symmetric encryption [BPR14, BJK15, DFP15, ...]

Signing [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...]

Decryption [CHY20, AP19, JLW25, ...]

Protocols and more! [BWP+22, GBPG03, ...]









Symmetric encryption [BPR14, BJK15, DFP15, ...]

Signing [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...]

Decryption [CHY20, AP19, JLW25, ...]

Protocols and more! [BWP+22, GBPG03, ...]





Summary of contributions:

- 1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.
- 2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.
- **3.** Look in more detail at important applications: Hash functions, as used in certificates or password-based authentication. Verification functions, in signatures. **Verification functions**, in Non-Interactive Arguments.





1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.



1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

The target public algorithm:







1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

The target public algorithm:



The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():

Subverted $\widetilde{Alg}()$





1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

The target public algorithm:



The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():





As before, Alg is installed as Alice's code.



1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

The target public algorithm:



The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():





AND, the attacker retains some kind of Exploit algorithm.



As before, Alg is installed as Alice's code.



1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

The target public algorithm:

Public Alg() No secret material

The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():







Q: What exactly is the P-ASA?



1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.



It is a subversion generator:

which takes the target algorithm, and produces the two attack components.

The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():







Q: What exactly is the P-ASA?

$$(\widetilde{\mathsf{Alg}}, \mathsf{Expl}) \xleftarrow{\$} \mathsf{SubGen}(\mathsf{Alg})$$





1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

The target public algorithm:



The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():







Q: What properties would the P-ASA be expected to achieve?



1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

<u>The target public algorithm:</u>





The two components of a **P-ASA** on Alg():







Q: What properties would the P-ASA be expected to achieve?

Expl() allows the attacker to find structured preimages under Alg.





1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.





Q: What properties would the P-ASA be expected to achieve?

Expl() allows the attacker to find structured preimages under Alg.

(ii) Undetectability [BPR14]

It is hard to black-box distinguish Alg and the honest Alg.





1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.





Q: What properties would the P-ASA be expected to achieve?

Expl() allows the attacker to find structured preimages under Alg.

(ii) Undetectability [BPR14]

It is hard to black-box distinguish Alg and the honest Alg.

(ii) Exclusivity

"Utility is *exclusive* to the holder of Expl."

For anyone else, it's hard to find an input *x* on which Alg and Alg differ.

+ With oracle access to Expl()

+ And with white-box descriptions of Alg and Alg.







1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.





Q: What properties would the P-ASA be expected to achieve?

Expl() allows the attacker to find structured preimages under Alg.

(ii) Undetectability [BPR14]

It is hard to black-box distinguish Alg and the honest Alg.

(ii) Exclusivity

"Utility is *exclusive* to the holder of Expl."

For anyone else, it's hard to find an input *x* on which Alg and Alg differ.

+ With oracle access to Expl()

+ And with white-box descriptions of Alg and Alg.







Summary of contributions:

1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.





Summary of contributions:

1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.

2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.

We construct a P-ASA using an SUF signature scheme, and an "embedding function."

Generalizing GKVZ22 ("ML backdoors")





<u>Summary of contributions:</u>

- 1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.
- 2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.
- **3.** Look in more detail at important applications:

Hash functions, as used in certificates or password-based authentication.

Verification functions, in Non-Interactive Arguments.

Verification functions, in signatures.





<u>Summary of contributions:</u>

- **1.** Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.
- 2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.
- **3.** Look in more detail at important applications:

Hash functions, as used in certificates or password-based authentication.

Our P-ASA allows the attacker to find structured preimages.

For example, for certificate forgery!

Verification functions, in Non-Interactive Arguments.

Our P-ASA allows the attacker to prove arbitrary (false) statements.

Verification functions, in signatures.

Our P-ASA allows the attacker to forge signatures for arbitrary messages and keys.





<u>Summary of contributions:</u>

- 1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.
- 2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.
- **3.** Look in more detail at important applications:

Hash functions, as used in certificates or password-based authentication.

For example, for certificate forgery!

Verification functions, in Non-Interactive Arguments.

Verification functions, in signatures.

Our P-ASA allows the attacker to forge signatures for arbitrary messages and keys.





Introductory picture & overview of results

Public-Algorithm Substitution Attacks on <u>Hash functions</u>

Definitions: Undetectability, Exclusivity, Utility

Construction of a Public-ASA

One application

Concluding remarks on the general case



The honest picture for a Hash function H

$$H \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{HGen}$$

H is selected honestly by generator HGen, and may include a hardcoded key.



Desired security property: CR

H is <u>collision-resistant</u> to any (efficient) adversary, when the adversary is given H.


A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$





A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$





Our Utility asks that:

An attacker with $\text{Expl}(\)$ can use it to find structured preimages under \widetilde{H} .



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$





Our Utility asks that:

An attacker with $\text{Expl}(\)$ can use it to find structured preimages under \widetilde{H} .

For any desired **structure** and <u>target</u>,

 $x \leftarrow \mathsf{Expl}(\mathsf{structure}, \mathsf{target})$

```
should yield:
```

 $\widetilde{H}(x) = \texttt{target}$ and a correctly **structured** *x*.



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$





Our Utility asks that:

An attacker with $\text{Expl}(\)$ can use it to find structured preimages under \widetilde{H} .

```
For any desired structure and <u>target</u>,

x \leftarrow \text{Expl}(\text{structure}, \text{target})

should yield:

\widetilde{H}(x) = \text{target}
```

and a correctly **structured** *x*.

What "structure" is this possible for?

- Requiring a specific prefix or suffix
- Requiring that x be an X.509 cert with certain data
- ...more! The paper gives constraints.



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



Undetectability



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



Undetectability





A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



Undetectability



Undetectability says:

It's hard to correctly decide which of H or H is in the box, for all (efficient) adversaries without Expl.



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$









A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$







Exclusivity says:

Any differences between H and H are only findable by the adversary with the exploit, not by anyone else.



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



Exclusivity



Exclusivity says:

Any differences between H and H are only findable by the adversary with the exploit, not by anyone else.



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates \widetilde{H} and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



Exclusivity



Exclusivity says:

Any differences between H and H are only findable by the adversary with the exploit, not by anyone else.

<u>Remark:</u> This implies undetectability!



A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates H and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



Exclusivity





A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates H and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

 \widetilde{H} and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



"CR Exclusivity" for a Hash function





A P-ASA is a "subversion generator" which generates H and Expl given H.

$$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$$

H and Expl may include hardcoded keys.

$$if x \to y = \widetilde{H}(x)$$



"CR Exclusivity" for a Hash function



We can ask for "CR Exclusivity" as well.

It turns out to be implied by **Exclusivity** on the prior slides, and **CR** of the original H.

Other styles of subversion CR were considered by [FJM18, AAEMS14], specifically for Hash functions.





Introductory picture & overview of results

Public-Algorithm Substitution Attacks on <u>Hash functions</u>

Output Definitions: Undetectability, Exclusivity, Utility

Construction of a Public-ASA

One application

Concluding remarks on the general case





























Utility? Not Really.

The attacker, with Expl, can find preimages, but they can only be structured as $y \parallel s$.







Utility? Not Really.

The attacker, with Expl, can find preimages, but they can only be structured as $y \parallel s$.

Black-box undetectable? Yes, assuming OWF.







Utility? Not Really.

The attacker, with Expl, can find preimages, but they can only be structured as $y \parallel s$.

Black-box undetectable? Yes, assuming OWF.

Exclusive? NO.

Seeing one Expl-produced $x = y \parallel s$ reveals s, which allows finding preimages (breaking CR).





Our construction

$(\widetilde{H}, \mathsf{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \mathsf{SubGen}(H)$





Our construction











Running " $x \leftarrow \text{Embed}(y, struc, \sigma)$ " means x has this desired struc.







Black-box undetectable? YES, assuming UF-CMA of signature scheme S.



Exclusive? YES.

Assuming SUF-CMA of the signature scheme S, and that the embedding "works."



Exclusive? YES.

Assuming SUF-CMA of the signature scheme S, and that the embedding "works."

CR Exclusive? YES.

<u>Additionally</u> assuming that the original H is CR.

Introductory picture & overview of results

Public-Algorithm Substitution Attacks on <u>Hash functions</u>

Output Definitions: Undetectability, Exclusivity, Utility

Construction of a Public-ASA

One application

Concluding remarks on the general case



Application: Password-based authentication





Application: Password-based authentication







salt

 $y := H(pw \parallel salt)$

Does H(pw' || salt) = y? If so, the client is allowed!




pw' over TLS

Server

salt

 $y := H(pw \parallel salt)$

Does H(pw' || salt) = y? If so, the client is allowed!



The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (salt, y)





pw' over TLS



salt

 $y := H(pw \parallel salt)$

Does H(pw' || salt) = y? If so, the client is allowed! The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, *y*)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$





pw' over TLS



salt

 $y := H(pw \parallel salt)$

Does H(pw' || salt) = y? If so, the client is allowed! The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, y)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$

For our construction, the attacker must select an **SUF signature scheme**

AND give an **invertible embedding function** for the <u>desired structure</u>.





pw' over TLS



salt

 $y := H(pw \parallel salt)$

Does H(pw' || salt) = y?If so, the client is allowed!

The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, *y*)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$

For our construction, the attacker must select an SUF signature scheme

AND give an **invertible embedding function** for the desired structure.

> Here, that a hash input $x = pw^* || salt$ has the particular salt as a suffix.







pw' over TLS

Server

salt

 $y := H(pw \parallel salt)$

Does H(pw' || salt) = y?If so, the client is allowed!

The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, *y*)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$

For our construction, the attacker must select an SUF signature scheme

AND give an **invertible embedding function** for the desired structure.

> Here, that a hash input $x = pw^* || salt$ has the particular salt as a suffix.

2. Through some means, installs H as the Server's hash function









Does \overline{H} (pw' || salt) = y? If so, the client is allowed!

The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, y)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$

For our construction, the attacker must select an SUF signature scheme

AND give an **invertible embedding function** for the desired structure.

> Here, that a hash input $x = pw^* || salt$ has the particular salt as a suffix.

2. Through some means, installs H as the Server's hash function

3. The attacker uses Expl to find a preimage $x = pw^* || salt$ which

(i) Has the particular *salt* as a suffix

(ii) AND satisfies $\widetilde{H}(pw^* \parallel salt) = y$, for the Server's y







The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, y)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$

For our construction, the attacker must select an SUF signature scheme

AND give an **invertible embedding function** for the desired structure.

> Here, that a hash input $x = pw^* || salt$ has the particular salt as a suffix.

2. Through some means, installs H as the Server's hash function

3. The attacker uses Expl to find a preimage $x = pw^* || salt$ which

(i) Has the particular *salt* as a suffix

(ii) AND satisfies $\widetilde{H}(pw^* || salt) = y$, for the Server's y







The Public-ASA attack

0. The attacker learns the Server's (*salt*, y)

1. The attacker generates $(\widetilde{H}, \text{Expl}) \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow} \text{SubGen}(H)$

For our construction, the attacker must select an SUF signature scheme

AND give an **invertible embedding function** for the desired structure.

> Here, that a hash input $x = pw^* || salt$ has the particular salt as a suffix.

2. Through some means, installs H as the Server's hash function

3. The attacker uses Expl to find a preimage $x = pw^* || salt$ which

Has the particular *salt* as a suffix (i)

(ii) AND satisfies $\widetilde{H}(pw^* || salt) = y$, for the Server's y









81

Introductory picture & overview of results

Public-Algorithm Substitution Attacks on <u>Hash functions</u>

Output Definitions: Undetectability, Exclusivity, Utility

Minimum Construction of a Public-ASA

M One application

Concluding remarks on the general case





Signature subversion:

Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A **Public-ASA** on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:



Signature subversion:

Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A **Public-ASA** on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(vk, m, \sigma) \mapsto 0$ or 1



Signature subversion:

Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A **Public-ASA** on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(vk, m, \sigma) \mapsto 0$ or 1

Target output: 1



Signature subversion:

Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A **Public-ASA** on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(vk, m, \sigma) \mapsto 0 \text{ or } 1$

Target output: 1

Structure: The preimage (vk, m, σ) contains a desired vk and m for a forgery.





Signature subversion:

Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A Public-ASA on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(vk, m, \sigma) \mapsto 0 \text{ or } 1$

Non-Interactive Argument (NIA / NIZK) subversion: Prior work [BFS16, F18] considers malicious CRS or Secret-ASAs [CGS23]. A **Public-ASA** applies to verification, as:

Target output: 1	Structure: The preimage (vk, m, σ) cor
	a desired vk and m for a forgery.





Signature subversion:

Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A **Public-ASA** on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(vk, m, \sigma) \mapsto 0 \text{ or } 1$

Non-Interactive Argument (NIA / NIZK) subversion Prior work [BFS16, F18] considers malicious CRS or Se A **Public-ASA** applies to verification, as:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(\phi, \pi) \mapsto 0$ or 1

	Target output: 1	Structure: The preimage (vk, m, σ) core a desired vk and m for a forgery.
	on:	
e	cret-ASAs [CGS23].	
	Target output: 1	Structure: The preimage (ϕ, π) contain a desired (false) statement ϕ to forge.









Prior work [AMV15, CS03, TBEL21, ...] gives Secret-ASAs which work on <u>randomized</u> schemes only. A Public-ASA on verification applies to any scheme, including deterministic ones, as follows:

Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(vk, m, \sigma) \mapsto 0$ or 1



Target public algorithm: Vfy : $(\phi, \pi) \mapsto 0$ or 1

Subversion of other public algorithms? For example, GKVZ22 considered a Machine Learning classifier.

Target public algorithm: Classify : $x \mapsto -1$ or +1

Target output: +1 or -1 Structure: The preimage x is "close to" a desired x'.

Target output: 1	Structure: The preimage (vk, m, σ) core a desired vk and m for a forgery.
sion: ecret-ASAs [CGS23].	
Target output: 1	Structure: The preimage (ϕ, π) contain a desired (false) statement ϕ to forge.









Public-Algorithm Substitution Attack (P-ASA)

Summary of contributions:

- 1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.
- 2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.
- **3.** Look in more detail at important applications: Hash functions, as used in certificates or password-based authentication. Verification functions, in signatures. **Verification functions**, in Non-Interactive Arguments.





Public-Algorithm Substitution Attack (P-ASA)

Summary of contributions:

- 1. Give a definition for an ASA on a *public* algorithm.
- 2. Design a construction satisfying the definition.
- **3.** Look in more detail at important applications: Hash functions, as used in certificates or password-based authentication. Verification functions, in signatures. **Verification functions**, in Non-Interactive Arguments.



Thank you for listening! Any questions?

ePrint 2024 / 536



